BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WEST CHICAGO SITTING AS A POLLUTION CONTROL SITING AUTHORITY

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION FOR LOCAL SITING
APPROVAL FOR LAKESHORE
RECYCLING RECYCLING SYSTEMS,
LLC, FOR THE WEST DU PAGE
RECYCLING AND TRANSFER
STATION, 1655 POWIS ROAD,
WEST CHICAGO.

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the hearing of the above-entitled matter, at 900 Prince Crossing Road, West Chicago, Illinois, on the 3rd day of January, A.D. 2023, at the hour of 6:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

- MR. DERKE PRICE, Hearing Officer;
- MR. DENNIS WALSH, City Council Attorney;
- MR. PHILLIP A. LUETKEHANS, Attorney for Protect West Chicago;
- MR. RICARDO MEZA, Attorney for Protect West Chicago;
- MR. GERALD CALLAGHAN, Attorney for city staff;
- MR. STEVE DeLaROSA, Representative for People Opposing DuPage Environmental Racism (PODER);
- MR. GEORGE MUELLER, Attorney for Lakeshore Recycling Systems, LLC.

<u>INDEX</u>	
OPENING STATEMENT	PAGE
On behalf of the Applicantby Mr. Mueller	15
On behalf of Protect West Chicago by Mr. Leutkehans	21
On behalf of PODERby Mr. DeLaRosa	27
WITNESS	<u>PAGE</u>
JOHN HOCK, P.E.	
Direct Examination by Mr. Mueller	28
Cross-Examination By Mr. Leutkehans	91
Cross-Examination By Mr. Callaghan	184
<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
APPLICANT EXHIBIT ID ADM	ITTED
No. 1 14	
Nos. 2, 3 and 4 15	
PWC EXHIBIT ID ADM	ITTED
No. 151-A 121	
No. 23 169	

1 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: It is the appointed hour of 6:00 o'clock, so we're going 2 3 to commence the hearing on the application for a 4 waste transfer station in the City of West 5 Chicago. 6 Good evening. My name is Derke 7 Price. I have been appointed the hearing officer for purposes of this hearing as part of 8 9 the application process. I'm a local government attorney, and I'll be serving as the hearing 10 11 officer for the hearing on the application. To 12 my right is the court reporter. I'll explain 13 her role in a minute. And surrounding me are people who have registered as parties. 14 15 This entire process is governed by 16 Section 39.2 of the Environmental Protection Act 17 in the State of Illinois. It's also governed by 18 the City of West Chicago's siting ordinance for 19 pollution control facilities. Both of those are 20 available on online for you to look up and have 21 as a reference. 22 For the sake of the public, I want

06:01:25PM

06:01:58PM

to outline some of the procedures that will be 1 2 used throughout the hearing process. 3 application was filed by the applicant on 4 September 16th, 2022. The act states that this 5 hearing must be held no sooner than 90 days and 6 no later than 120 days after the filing. So if 7 you do the calendar math, we're in the proper window for holding the hearing. 8 The city 9 council must act on the application within 10 180 days or the filing is deemed approved by 11 operation of law. There is also, under the 12 statute, a mandatory 30-day period following the 13 conclusion of the hearing for people to submit 14 written comment to the corporate authorities for 15 their consideration. So we have a tight window 16 for getting this done in a mandatory 30-day 17 period and then time for the city council to consider it all and act. We need to be 18 19 efficient and we'll move forward in that way. 20 We are scheduled to be here 21 tonight, tomorrow, and on Thursday. Then again 22 on the 10th, if needed, and on the 12th at the

06:02:31PM

06:03:03PM

1 high school, if needed. The hearing will be adjourned from time to time to the next date 2 3 until we are concluded. We will start each 4 night at 6:00 p.m. 5 Now, a transfer station is a 6 pollution control facility, as defined by the act, and whether a pollution control facility 7 can be sited and operated is determined by the 8 9 process set forth in Section 39.2. 10 involves notice to various parties, filing of 11 the application with the municipality, an 12 examination period, the 90 days, and then this hearing on the application, followed by public 13 comment, and then the city council must 14 15 determine if it meets the proposed -- if the 16 proposed facility meets the State of Illinois 17 criteria as set out in the act. It is the criteria in the act that 18 19 controls, not local zoning. This is an 20 important distinction for the public. Although, 21 we may make reference to the local zoning code, 22 that is not what is in place. It is the

06:03:36PM

06:04:06PM

criteria of Section 39.2. And that's what we 1 will be focused on. There are, essentially, ten 2 criteria, but not all of them apply to this 3 case, and it will be not uncommon to jump around 4 5 from one to another, depending on timing and 6 witness availability. We don't necessarily have to take them in order one to ten. 7 The city council has to make its 8 9 decision based on the record that's developed 10 here concerning application and also on the 11 public comment and other things. We have a 12 court reporter for this purpose, to create the 13 record. The record includes the application, the evidence and sworn testimony we'll hear in 14 15 the hearing, and also public comment. 16 comment, which is not sworn, which is not 17 subject to cross-examination or testing, is given less weight than sworn testimony and the 18 19 evidence in the record, but it is nevertheless 20 still important. 21 This brings us to a very important 22 procedural aspect of this case. The city

06:04:41PM

06:05:10PM

council is essentially acting as a jury. 1 under the siting ordinance, the city council 2 3 doesn't actually have to be present. They can read the transcripts. They can pay attention to 4 the hearing. They can review the record. 5 6 don't actually have to be here for this in order 7 to go forward. But while they are a jury, it means they are not allowed to talk to you about 8 9 the case. You may be used to confronting the 10 mayor or your alderman at the grocery store or 11 at church or places of worship and getting their 12 attention on matters of importance. You can't 13 do that in this case. They are not allowed to 14 talk to you because they are acting as a jury. 15 Just as jurors can't talk about a criminal case 16 in front of them, they can't talk to you about 17 this application. Please understand they are not being rude. They are simply following the 18 19 law and doing their job to have a fundamentally 20 fair process. So, again, please respect that 21 and do not try to talk to the elected officials 22 during the pendency of this application.

06:05:44PM

06:06:09PM

1 Up here on the stage are all of the 2 parties who have taken the steps necessary under 3 the city's siting ordinance to be a party. 4 party allows them to present and test the 5 evidence that is being put in. To my left is 6 the applicant. They are represented by an 7 attorney, Mr. George Mueller, who will present their case through various witnesses. 8 9 right is the city staff that is represented by 10 Mr. Gerald Callaghan, who is the attorney. 11 city has been reviewing the application, has 12 comments and has things that are important that 13 staff will love to recommend to city council and 14 the mayor. Behind them are two organizations 15 that have signed up as parties. The first is 16 Protect West Chicago, which is represented by 17 Mr. Leutkehans and Mr. Meza. Also, next to them 18 is a group called PODER, People Opposing DuPage Environmental Racism, and they are represented 19 20 by Mr. Steve DeLaRosa. These are the parties. 21 They have a right to cross-examine witnesses and 22 present evidence in due course.

06:06:42PM

06:07:13PM

1 Now, there are also opportunities 2 for the public to participate that do not 3 involve testing and cross-examination. 4 the public comment period. I have 25 people who 5 have signed up to provide public comment, when 6 we get to that part of the hearing, and there 7 will be a time and place to do that. anticipating it will either be the 10th or the 8 12th, depending on how things go. I have two 9 10 people who have signed up for public comment, 11 though, who we need to squeeze in sometime this 12 week. They will not be around on the 10th or 13 the 12th. If there's anybody else who needs 14 that accommodation, please see me. 15 Under West Chicago siting ordinance 16 if you wish to provide oral public comment, you 17 need to sign up by the conclusion of this 18 evening, and the forms are down here in front. 19 Again, there is no sign up necessary if you want 20 to put in written comment at the conclusion of 21 this during the 30-day period afterwards. 22 At present, it's my intention to

06:07:45PM

06:08:08PM

1 devote the 10th and the 12th to this but, again, 2 we'll see how it goes. And, again, we will be 3 at the high school on the evening of the 12th, 4 but I'm not certain we are going to need that. 5 After the oral public comment is 6 received and the hearing is closed, that will then begin the 30-day clock for the notice --7 for people to put in their public comment. 8 Again, no need to register for written public 9 10 After that time period, the parties comment. 11 will submit, under the siting ordinance, sort of 12 closing briefs and proposed findings of fact to 13 Under the siting ordinance, I'm supposed to me. 14 point the recommendation and the report to the 15 city council. The city council will then take all of that up and consider it at a future 16 17 meeting where they will vote on whether or not 18 to move forward with the application and approve 19 it. 20 A very important point often 21 misunderstood is that the public -- by the 22 public is, again, the city council does not need

06:08:39PM

06:09:02PM

1 to be here, but the city is represented by their 2 attorney, Mr. Walsh, who is down here at this 3 table. You may see elected officials come from 4 time to time, confer with them, because they 5 have the opportunity to ask questions also. 6 Again, there's a distinction 7 between evidence and submittals like public 8 comment. Evidence is tested in a formal way 9 that public comment is not. If you want to give 10 public comment, you're not going to get 11 cross-examined, but that means it has a little 12 less weight. Again, they've agreed here, these 13 parties, to submit themselves to the same 14 process of testing and cross-examination. 15 Whether something constitutes 16 evidence or comes in is initially a matter for 17 me to determine as the hearing officer, and it's not the strict rules of court. It's not what 18 19 you have seen on TV. The standard here is 20 fundamental fairness. We're trying to create as 21 complete a record as possible for the city 22 council to make their decision.

06:09:32PM

06:09:56PM

1 One of the main differences you'll 2 see is in a courtroom lots of questions have to 3 be asked of the witness so we know what's about to be said and whether or not that's 4 5 objectionable or competent evidence. Here, we 6 do it by more of a narrative form. Essentially, 7 Mr. Mueller will ask a few questions of the witness and the witness will take over and 8 present their testimony, and that's perfectly 9 10 acceptable in these kinds of hearings. 11 the West Chicago siting ordinance -- and I'll 12 make this part of my future report -- with its opportunities for the public to participate in 13 various ways, meets this requirement of 14 fundamental fairness, and so we will be 15 16 following those procedures. 17 The order of things will be the 18 applicant will put in its case sort of one 19 criteria at a time by one witness at a time. 20 And so I believe we're beginning with 21 Criteria 1, and the witness will put it in the 22 The parties then will have an opportunity case.

06:10:28PM

06:10:51PM

to ask questions. If Mr. Mueller feels he needs 1 to follow up with the witness, he will. Then 2 3 there will be a second round or any further 4 questions and then that's that, and we move onto 5 the next part of the case that the applicant wants to put in. Once the applicant has 6 7 concluded presenting their case, then the city staff can call witnesses if they wish, then it 8 will be up to Protect West Chicago and PODER 9 10 will have the opportunity to call witnesses, all 11 of which can be cross-examined by the other 12 parties and then Mr. Mueller. In everv instance, the city will have the opportunity to 13 ask questions after everybody else has gone in 14 15 each round so that they can get what they need 16 to make the decision they need to make. 17 That brings us to the pre-testimony 18 administrative filings. The application was 19 filed on September 16th, I've seen it and 20 reviewed it. I have accepted it into evidence 21 as Exhibit 1. It's on file with the clerk. 22 It's also available on the city's website. So

06:11:55PN

06:11:24PM

that's Exhibit 1. 1 Mr. Mueller, with that, are there 2 any other pre-testimony administrative findings 3 4 that you wish to present? (Exhibit No. 1 marked for 5 6 identification.) 7 MR. MUELLER: Mr. Price, thank you. 8 Prior to the commencement of the hearings, I handed out some additional exhibits. For the 9 10 record. Exhibit 2 are the documents and our 11 affidavit of compliance with the prehearing 12 notice requirements. I would note that the 13 proof of compliance with the pre-filing notice requirements is contained in the application, 14 which you have admitted as Exhibit No. 1. 15 Exhibit No. 3, for the applicant, 16 is the John Hock resume. And Exhibit No. 4 17 18 would be John Hock's PowerPoints, which he will 19 use during his presentation. We'll have 20 additional resumes and PowerPoints as additional 21 witnesses are called. 22 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: At this point,

06:12:24PM

06:13:10PM

then, looking at Exhibit 2, the parties have had 1 2 that. Any objection to admitting No. 2? 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: Which was 2? 4 5 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: 2 was the 6 affidavit of compliance that's in evidence. we'll take 3 and 4 after Mr. Hock testifies. 7 8 If there are no other pre-administrative hearings, then, Mr. Mueller, 9 10 I believe -- is there any desire to present a 06:13:43PM brief opening statement of any kind? 11 12 (Applicant Exhibit Nos. 2, 3 13 and 4 marked for 14 identification.) 15 OPENING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT 16 17 18 MR. MUELLER: Very briefly, Mr. Price. 19 First of all, I want to introduce my client, who 20 is a representative of Lakeshore Recycling 21 Services. Lakeshore is a privately-owned 22 company out of the Chicago suburban area.

06:14:06PM

1 have been around for a while, and we hope to 2 have their presence add to competition and the 3 economic health of the Waste Management industry 4 in this part of Illinois. 5 With that said, the first criterion 6 that the applicant needs to prove is whether or 7 not the facility is necessary to accommodate the 8 waste needs of the area it is intended to serve. 9 Our evidence will show that our service area 10 will be most of DuPage County. The area of the county west of 355, small portions of Kane 11 12 County, and small portions of Will County. You're going to hear that there is 13 another waste transfer station located pretty 14 15 close to our proposed site. And so the question 16 becomes: Why is this necessary? Our 17 presentation with regard to need is based upon 18 the competitive economic and environmental 19 benefits that flow to the community as a result 20 of additional competition in the service area. 21 Mr. Hock will explain that in significant 22 detail.

06:15:06PM

06:15:49PM

1 With regard to the other criteria, 2 Mr. Hock, who is a man for all seasons, at this 3 hearing at least, will testify as to the nature 4 of the operation and proposal itself. You may 5 What is a transfer station? A transfer ask: 6 station is an enclosed facility where garbage 7 trucks that pick up local waste at the curb discharge their loads and then those loads are 8 9 transferred into a tractor-trailer, which is 10 covered and sent to a remote landfill in western 11 Illinois. There are no more landfills in DuPage 12 County, nor are there any allowed. So all of the waste in this county that's generated has to 13 14 move out of the county in one way or another. 15 Transfer stations are, as I said, They have to be clean at the end of 16 enclosed. 17 the day, meaning that the floor is cleaned. 18 Waste is not allowed to sit overnight. This 19 particular transfer station is located on a 20 luxuriously large piece of property, from our 21 perspective. We have a 28-acre site, and that 22 means we have had plenty of opportunity to

06:16:37PM

06:17:17PM

design this with ample space and ample 1 2 opportunity for safe movement of vehicles. 3 Now, I have heard, in anticipation 4 of this hearing, a number of possible critiques, 5 all of which we believe we will answer. The 6 first of those is that the facility will 7 generate odors. That's not going to be the case because the operations all take place indoors. 8 It's located in the far northwest corner of West 9 10 Chicago, across the street from the DuPage Airport. And, in fact, you're going to hear 11 12 evidence that the DuPage Airport, which is our closest neighbor, has already signed off on the 13 safety of the facility from an aviation 14 15 perspective. So there are not going to be any odors from the site because the operations are 16 17 enclosed. You're going to hear that there are 18 19 environmental harms. All of this takes place on 20 a solid concrete surface. None of the waste or 21 liquids generated with waste are going to be 22 discharged into the community in any way, shape,

06:18:04PM

06:18:35PM

or form. 1 2 Then I have heard that this 3 facility is going to generate large amounts of 4 traffic. The garbage trucks that will come to 5 the facility and discharge their loads are 6 already on the road doing their work. 7 just now going to come here to discharge. coming to our facility, we believe, means they 8 will drive less miles, use less fuel, put less 9 10 carbon emissions in the atmosphere, and less 11 wear and tear on the roads. Transfer trailers that will to take the waste are going to be 12 13 routed in such a way that they are not going through any residential or built-up urban areas. 14 Generally the route from the transfer station is 15 16 going to be Powis Road north to Route 64 -- and, 17 John, correct me if I make a mistake on this -east to Kirk Road -- or west to Kirk Road --18 19 see, I know I needed his help. And from the 20 Kirk Road, they will then go south to the 21 east-west tollway, thereby avoiding downtown 22 West Chicago and avoiding downtown St. Charles.

06:19:17PM

06:19:58PM

1 The last criticism I have heard is that this facility is going to negatively impact 2 property values in West Chicago. We will have 3 an expert, who is actually here today, Dale 4 5 Kleszynski, who will testify that, by his study, 6 this is an industrial area with heavy industry; 7 and, if anything, this facility is going to improve the character of the area and certainly 8 is not going to be incompatible with the 9 activities there and, therefore, he believes 10 11 that this transfer station will be -- and this 12 is a technical appraisal term -- the highest and best use of the property. 13 We will address all of the other 14 15 criteria through our witnesses, but I think 16 after the testimony is completed and the 17 evidence is all in, I'll be justified and 18 comfortable in asking the city council to approve this siting application. 19 Thank you. 20 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Thank you, 21 Mr. Mueller. Mr Callaghan, any opening 22 statement for the city?

06:20:44PM

06:21:13PN

1 MR. CALLAGHAN: I have no opening. 2 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Mr. Leutkehans? 3 Mr. Meza? Anything for Protect West Chicago. 4 5 OPENING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF PROTECT WEST CHICAGO 6 7 MR. LEUTKEHANS: Yes. Thank you, 8 everyone, for coming. I'd like to give a little background here. The City of West Chicago has 9 10 done their job and has vetted this process, at least to a staff level, by hiring Mr. Moose to 11 12 critique and provide information on the application. As many of us know, Mr. Moose has 13 been doing this now for a number of years and 14 15 has sited many landfills and waste transfer 16 stations. 17 He reported the nature of the 18 facility was done properly and safely; however, 19 you will hear or see, not from Mr. Moose, but 20 from his memos that he wrote to LRS throughout 21 the process, of all of the concerns he had with 22 their application, the problems with their

06:21:40PM

06:22:15PM

1 application. Some of which LRS responded and tried to solve. Many of which they said, Oh, 2 we're just not going to talk about that in our 3 application. We're going to remove that from 4 5 our application. In essence, it's time will 6 Normally we wouldn't even know that, see. 7 except we were able to get a bunch of documents 8 through FOIA that showed all these memos and this back and forth and the fact that LRS has 9 10 not taken the advice of Mr. Moose in proceeding with this application. 11 12 For example, there's a question of 13 a 1,000-foot setback. Mr. Moose questioned that, questioned the letter that was going to be 14 Instead of coming up with a 15 the basis for that. real solution, they -- LRS asked the city to 16 17 modify the letter. 18 Mr. Moose brought up tipping floor 19 and stockpiling capacity. What was in response 20 done? It was removed -- The whole concept was 21 removed from the final application. The storm 22 water pollution and prevention plan, again,

06:22:50PM

06:23:26PN

removed from the application. 1 2 There was a report -- and this one is really, very interesting -- a wildlife report 3 done by a company called Loomacres. 4 It was in 5 the first draft of the application, and it 6 talked about the fact that the facility could be a wildlife attraction. 7 The second draft of the 8 9 application -- rather the third, removed that 10 report. And when APTIM asked about that, What 11 are you going to do about the wildlife issues? 12 LRS said, Oh, we're just removing it from the our application. You don't have to worry about 13 This was a game of hide and seek. 14 it anymore. 15 On at least two different occasions 16 in their needs analysis, instead of resolving 17 Mr. Moose's concerns, LRS just deleted the 18 language in the section. 19 We heard about the service area. 20 The service area originally included two other 21 transfer stations. After the application, the 22 service area was changed so it only included one

06:24:02PM

06:24:38PN

transfer station. And just for the record, that 1 one transfer station is about a quarter of a 2 3 mile away and is at less than full capacity. So 4 the question becomes: Does West Chicago need two transfer stations within a quarter of a mile 5 6 when there's no others in the entire County of 7 DuPage, and there are other transfer stations within the service area. 8 We're going to talk about 9 10 competition. What you'll also here is there is 11 not one portion of the service area that does 12 not have competition, not one. There is a 13 transfer station that has a service area for 14 every portion -- actually, two already existing -- two -- at least two, sometimes up to 15 16 five or six transfer stations that already serve 17 every area of the service area, every one of them. 18 19 So LRS manipulated the service area 20 to ensure that there was only one other waste 21 transfer station in the service area. 22 MR. MUELLER: Mr. Price, I've got to

06:25:44PM

06:25:12PM

1 make an objection now. Counsel knows it is the 2 absolute prerogative of the applicant to 3 designate its own service area. 4 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: That is true. isn't it? 5 6 MR. LEUTKEHANS: It is. I don't have 7 any -- I don't have any doubt about it. The point is it goes to the intent of what they were 8 trying to do with the area, but we'll move on. 9 10 When you hear about Criterion 1 and 11 the need and the competition this is going to 12 create, you'll hear about vertical integration. LRS is already vertically integrated in the 13 Chicago area. You'll hear vertical integration 14 15 means hauling waste transfer to landfills. LRS 16 They already have three transfer has that. 17 stations, many of which already serve this exact 18 same area, or portions of this exact area. What 19 you won't hear from LRS or what is not in the 20 application is actual data showing any of this 21 supposed competition is needed, is not already 22 occurring, or is in any way going to save money

06:26:56PN

06:26:20PM

1 to us. the consumers. It's not there. It's iust a bunch of conclusory statements. 2 3 I don't know where Mr. Mueller got 4 his critiques because you won't hear any of them 5 from us. You will hear some of them. But the 6 reality is this application has been modified 7 because they couldn't answer the questions. They couldn't answer -- provide answers to those 8 9 memos that Mr. Moose did and Mr. Fallon did that 10 LRS received and they couldn't respond to. So 11 what did they do? They deleted stuff. 12 They are not -- We will do our best 13 to show you the truth of what this application is really about. And at the end, we will -- I 14 15 think we will have a basis for you to say -- for the city council to say, without any doubt, that 16 17 this application should be denied because it fails to meet the criteria of Section 39.2. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Thank you, 20 Mr. Leutkehans. 21 Mr. DeLaRosa, do you have an 22 opening statement?

06:27:46PM

06:28:18PM

1 2 OPENING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF PODER 3 MR. DeLaROSA: Steve DeLaRosa from 4 5 PODER in DuPage. I'll make my opening remarks 6 very brief. 7 West Chicago has a working class history with a history of upper mobility of its 8 9 residents. West Chicago is also a majority 10 minority community whose residents have lived 06:28:47PM through historical episodes of waste pollution 11 12 in its town. West Chicago, though, is not the 13 only party setting up the conditions for the 14 permitting process for this transfer station. 15 DuPage County has, for over 20 years, through 16 17 its waste transfer -- through its waste transfer 18 legislation, which reacts with the nine criteria 19 to be used for the permitting process, has also 20 done nothing to provide other options besides 06:29:42PN 21 West Chicago. 22 So it's going to be an interesting

	1	hearing, and I look forward to it. In the	
	2	interest of brevity, I conclude. Thank you.	
	3	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Thank you.	
	4	Mr. Walsh, anything for the city.	
	5	MR. WALSH: Not at this time.	
	6	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: With that,	
	7	we'll turn to the applicant and the presentation	
	8	of the testimony and evidence.	
	9	Mr. Mueller.	
06:30:16PM	10	MR. MUELLER: We'll call John Hock to	
	11	testify.	
	12	(Witness sworn.)	
	13	WHEREUPON:	
	14	JOHN HOCK,	
	15	called as a witness herein, having been first	
	16	duly sworn, was examined and testified as	
	17	follows:	
	18	EXAMINATION	
	19	BY MR. MUELLER:	
06:30:51PM	20	Q. State your name, please, and spell	
	21	your last name.	
	22	MR. LEUTKEHANS: Mr. Hearing Officer,	

Mr. DeLaRosa didn't get a copy of the 1 PowerPoint. 2 Do you have an extra copy? 3 I have an extra copy for 4 MR. MUELLER: 5 him. 6 BY MR. MUELLER: Let's start that again. Would you 7 0. state your name, spell your last name, and tell 8 us your profession. 9 10 My name is John Hock. Last name Α. spelling is H-o-c-k, and I work for Civil & 11 Environmental Consultants as the vice president. 12 13 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: The court 14 reporter says --THE COURT REPORTER: It's muffled. 15 Ι couldn't even hear the name of the company. 16 17 THE WITNESS: The name of the company is Civil & Environmental Consultants. 18 19 MR. MUELLER: John, if you could move 20 the microphone closer to you. 21 BY MR. MUELLER: 22 What is your role in this project, Q.

06:31:36PM

06:32:11PM

1	Mr. Hock?		
2	Α.	I am the principal engineer for the	
3	entire pro	ocess.	
4	Q.	Have you signed various reports that	
5	are contained in the siting application?		
6	Α.	I have.	
7	Q.	And you are the principal signatory on	
8	the application?		
9	Α.	Yes.	
10	Q.	And have you, Mr. Hock, prepared a	
11	presentati	ion as to the areas of the application	
12	that you v	were responsible for?	
13	Α.	Yes.	
14	Q.	Were all of these reports written	
15	either by	you or under your direct supervision?	
16	Α.	Yes.	
17	Q.	Mr. Hock, did you prepare a report on	
18	Criterion	1, which is whether the West DuPage	
19	Recycling	and Transfer Station is necessary to	
20	accommodat	te the waste needs of the area it is	
21	intended t	to serve?	
22	Α.	I did.	

06:32:46PM

06:33:19PM

John, why don't you tell us why we 1 0. need this transfer station? 2 All right. I'm going to go ahead and 3 Α. 4 stand, if that's okay. 5 My name is John Hock. Again, this 6 presentation is going to be about Criterion 1, 7 which is why the facility is necessary, and at 8 its core, why we're here tonight. 9 Before we -- Before I do that 10 overview, I'm going to give a little background 11 on myself, a little background on the applicant, 12 and I'm going to describe the existing 13 operations and the proposed operations sufficiently for everyone to help you understand 14 15 why this facility is necessary. We will get 16 into more detail on the design and operation in 17 a later presentation in this hearing. Quickly, a little information about 18 19 I have worked in governance for solid mvself. 20 waste business for over 35 years in this market, 21 which is the Chicagoland area. I have worked 22 for large publicly traded companies and smaller

06:34:35PN

06:34:01PM

privately owned companies, and I have also 1 provided services on the consulting side to all 2 of those same type of companies. 3 4 As I mentioned, I work for Civil & 5 Environmental Consultants. We have an office 6 right in Naperville. CEC is a growing, 7 predominantly, Midwest Company. We are a national and local leader in providing services 8 9 to the waste business. And over the years, I 10 have developed an expertise and a focus on 11 transfer stations and recycling facilities, as 12 we're going to be discussing tonight. 13 The applicant is Lakeshore Recycling Systems. They are a relatively young, 14 15 growing, midsize company. They grew up in the 16 Chicagoland area and this is still their largest 17 market. They are up to over 100 franchise agreements, which our agreements are with 18 19 municipalities, townships, special use areas in 20 Illinois. They have a diverse workforce right 21 in West Chicago at the facility on Powis Road of 22 about 125 people.

06:35:23PM

06:36:00PM

1 They provide a variety of services 2 in the waste industry. They provide the full 3 life cycle, so all the way from collection 4 through disposal. 5 And they have only entered the 6 landfill business in 2019. So historically and 7 currently, they still have a large focus on 8 diverting as much material from landfills as 9 possible. And they provide other services 10 related to the waste business or similar, I 11 should say, with portable restroom services and 12 street sweeping. 13 As George mentioned, our facility 14 is located on Powis Road, directly across the 15 street from the DuPage Airport. It's the 16 northwest portion of West Chicago, and it's on 17 the western extent of a large commercial and industrial area. It is a very unique facility 18 19 due to its large size. Many facilities of this 20 type are maybe 25 percent or a quarter of the 21 size and that allows a nice flight of operations 22 to occur on the property and give us space to

06:36:46PM

06:37:24PM

expand our operations like our proposal. 1 This is an aerial photograph of the 2 3 facility. Again, you can see Powis Road to the 4 left, you can see the runways of DuPage Airport 5 also on the left. There is a closed landfill 6 directly south of us. It's owned by the DuPage 7 Airport Authority. There is railroad tracks, followed by air control tower to the east on the 8 right side of the figure, and there is 9 10 commercial and industrial property to the north for the top of the drawing. We have it color 11 12 coded to give you an idea of the type of operations and where they are located. 13 So our main operation is recycling 14 15 construction and demolition debris. We have 16 been performing that operation since the 17 inception of the start of the business. We 18 recycle for unofficially reuse at least 19 75 percent of the material that comes in. 20 that, basically, happens in the central portion 21 of the facility that's highlighted, or hatched, 22 in that kind of light greenish color.

06:38:16PM

06:38:51PM

1 We do park a number of vehicles currently at the facility. Lakeshore is in the 2 hauling business. So the exact type of trucks 3 that we're proposing to bring waste into the 4 5 facility currently park and enter and exit this 6 facility every day. The parking all occurs just 7 to the -- just to the west or left of our C&D 8 recycling operation. We also stage or store a number of containers, so roll-off boxes or 9 10 totes. We refer to totes as the large bins that 11 in our households where we throw all of our 12 waste and recyclables in. We also store 13 portable restrooms out there as well. Those are basically on the north and south sides with the 14 15 yellow highlighting. 16 There is a dispatch and customer 17 service center that's in our office up front. That's highlighted with the pink rectangle on 18 19 the aerial photo. And then on both the far west and the far east, there's storm water controls 20 21 at the front of the property. So at the front 22 of the property on Powis Road, you drive down

06:39:32PM

06:40:03PM

1 and there's a pond and there's trees. If you go to the far east, there's a very large detention 2 3 pond and then there's also a buffer pond before 4 you get to the railroad tracks. 5 MR. MUELLER: John, if I can interrupt. 6 Just to be clear, you're describing activities that LRS performs on the property now? 7 8 Α. Correct. These are existing 9 operations. 10 In terms of the proposed facility improvements, the list of activities are shown 11 12 In essence, the physical improvements are here. relatively minor. We're going to build a 13 building for the transfer of municipal solid 14 15 waste and single-stream recyclables. We will 16 build a smaller and separate building for the 17 solidification and transfer of hydro-excavation waste. We would add a second scale. 18 There is 19 currently a scale out there now, which weighs 20 vehicles coming in and, if needed, going out to 21 establish how much is in each truck. When I say 22 "how much," how much waste is in each truck.

06:40:35PM

06:41:14PM

1 would add a second scale. We would -- Right now 2 there is one access drive that all trucks come 3 in from and leave. We would actually establish the second driveway that is already in place at 4 5 the property that is just currently unused. would open that driveway end up using current --6 the current driveway for just vehicles entering 7 and they would all leave in the northern 8 improved driveway. We also establish a drop-off 9 10 area for residents for both recyclables and 11 electronic waste. Associated with all of that, 12 we would have some utility improvements, being electric and water. This is a plan view of the 13 improvements to the western portion of the 14 15 I'll show you the eastern portion in facility. 16 a moment. Again, the facility we're talking 17 about, we broke it into two pieces. 18 So, again, you see Powis Road to 19 the left, and the DuPage Airport would be across 20 the street, again, off the left of the plan 21 view. The existing entrance is labeled and 22 shown. We add a second scale up front.

06:41:56PM

06:42:27PM

would add a municipal solid waste single stream 1 2 recyclable building over on the lower right-hand 3 corner. That actually would be connected to the 4 existing building where we recycle and transfer 5 the trucks with construction and demolition 6 debris. You can see it's kind of tucked in back 7 there and behind it. If you were looking at Powis Road, it would be very difficult to tell 8 that building would be there. The hydro 9 10 excavation building would kind of be in the 11 middle of the facility, again, a smaller 12 building. And the northern driveway is labeled 13 up by Powis Road where -- again, that's where all vehicles would exit. 14 15 The bathrooms and the resident 16 drop-off area would be right up front, so it 17 would be gated for residents to come in, drop 18 off those materials without getting in the back 19 where all the larger trucks will be moving 20 around. 21 These are proposed improvements to 22 the east, which is essentially next to nothing.

06:43:04PM

06:43:39PM

What we're showing is that large retention pond 1 2 right in the middle of it. The shaded areas are, actually, paved areas. All we would be 3 4 doing is converting an area on the south that is 5 currently used to store or stage containers and 6 such and parking trucks right there. 7 Before we move forward, I just want 8 to make sure people are clear on some of the 9 terms we're using. The materials that are 10 coming into the facility, the first is 11 construction and demolition debris. It should 12 be, you know, what it kind of sounds like. wood, concrete, shingles, metal. It's basically 13 materials from constructing, remodeling, 14 15 demolitioning -- demolishing structures or 16 roads, that type of thing. Again, what the 17 facility currently does, that mixture of materials comes in and we use mechanical and 18 19 physical means to make the material into 20 component parts and send it out to the various 21 other entities to be recycled. 22 Municipal solid waste, it's

06:44:24PM

06:44:59PM

garbage. It's material that you and I all throw 1 away out of our houses, and it's the same type 2 3 of material that comes from commercial 4 facilities. So it's just garbage. It's just 5 waste. 6 I'm going to jump down to the 7 single-stream recyclables. It's a little bit of a fancy word. The recycling piece should be 8 9 fairly obvious. That is paper, cardboard, 10 bottles, cans. Again, the things we all throw 11 into our recycling bins that are picked up and 12 eventually, again, broken into their component 13 parts and recycled and reused. The single 14 stream part of the word comes from the fact 15 we're all just putting them in the same 16 container. So the one container, it is 17 single-stream recyclables that are pulled apart 18 and separated later down the road. 19 Hydro-excavation waste, that's 20 basically water. It is material that is generated from a process using high-pressure 21 22 water and air. Since the hydro-excavation waste

06:45:37PM

06:46:09PM

may be new to some of you, I want to spend a few 1 2 more moments talking about it. 3 Again, it's created through using 4 high-pressure water and an air vacuum. It is a 5 process that's used to excavate using water 6 around other infrastructure that we cannot 7 damage or do not want to damage. So it's around gas lines, electric lines, communication lines. 8 9 We've probably all experienced somebody doing 10 excavating work, so the buildings in the area 11 loses power, loses communication because a large 12 piece of yellow equipment hit a line they weren't supposed to. This is a process where 13 14 you can expose those type of lines and you can 15 excavate without damaging the underlying 16 structure. 17 What the suction does -- So the 18 water basically cuts through the soil or 19 materials and suctions it with the air, it moves 20 it out of the way so you can continue to dig and 21 see the bottom of the excavation. So on the 22 left is a picture of hydrovac trucks. You may

06:47:35PM

06:47:05PM

1 have seen them around in the streets. Μv understanding is West Chicago has one of these 2 trucks they use to clean their storm sewers 3 with, which is another use for these type of 4 5 vehicles. Again, you can imagine a storm sewer 6 on all of our streets. There is sediment that 7 ends up at the bottom of the storm sewers. Thev will use high-pressure water and loosen it and 8 9 use the suction to remove the waste. 10 pictures on the right are excavations that were 11 made using this type of process, which, to me, 12 it's pretty amazing. You can -- You have vertical walls, very clean excavations, and as 13 you go to the top of these utility lines, they 14 15 are not damaged. So we have talked about the 16 17 physical improvements to the facility. Now 18 we'll go through and talk about the proposed 19 operations. The first thing to understand is 20 the existing operations will all continue. 21 we'll continue to perform the C&D recycling, we 22 will still park vehicles and stage containers.

06:48:14PM

06:48:54PN

1 We also have our customer service and our 2 dispatch. 3 Again, what we are proposing is to 4 start bringing in municipal solid waste with the same trucks that are parked there and take it to 5 6 other facilities or simply take it back here. 7 As George mentioned earlier, all of the waste handling that's discharged from the 8 trucks, loading and putting it into a larger 9 10 truck is all indoors. In general, about three 11 to four of the trucks you see on the roads, 12 three to four of those makes up one larger load 13 that would go to the more distant landfill. 14 It's also important to know that 15 the waste is only onsite for a short time. The 16 waste business is basically a transportation logistics business. It is picking it up from 17 the homes, bringing it, transferring it, and 18 19 getting it to the landfill as efficiently as 20 practical. Once it's discharged inside the 21 building on the concrete floor, it's anywhere 22 from, I would say, 15 minutes to 2 hours that

06:49:30PM

06:50:04PM

that waste is picked up, loaded up, and out of 1 2 the facility. 3 Then, for all of us, there are 4 effective controls for odor and litter, not the 5 least of which is, again, everything is indoors. 6 In terms of hydro-excavation waste, 7 which is the mud, that material is too sloppy to go directly to a landfill. What needs to happen 8 9 is we need to mix it with other material that 10 absorbs that excess water, such as woodchips. 11 And then once it's firmer or more solidified, 12 then we can take it. Again, we would mix it --Again, this is an operation that Lakeshore 13 currently performs at its facility in Forest 14 15 View. So we mix it with the woodchips -- the 16 woodchips are generated through the recycling or 17 have been recycled already, so there's 18 efficiency there. Generally speaking, it's 19 about two parts of the hydro-excavation waste 20 coming in with one part woodchips. It gives you 21 a solidified mold to promptly take down, put in 22 another truck, and take to the landfill. Again,

06:50:51PM

06:51:24PN

we have been doing this for a number of years. 1 We have well-established pre-approval 2 3 acceptance. 4 Then the other operations that are 5 also very important, we would have the drop-off 6 area for electronic waste, which may have been referred to as e-waste. We would also have a 7 similar drop off for the recyclables. For the 8 9 e-waste, someone would come in, there would be 10 an employee there that would take it. Those are 11 carefully placed into a container called a 12 Gaylord box where it's wrapped up, among other 13 things, where it goes off and then haul the 14 components off to the processing center. 15 Recyclables would go into a larger container. 16 At least they have a drop off in Carol Stream where you can put recyclables in a larger 17 18 container and bring those back, send it off to a 19 processing center; that would likely be 20 Lakeshore. Lakeshore has a facility in Forest 21 View where, again, recyclables are pulled apart, 22 the component pieces put in bails and sent off

06:52:07PM

06:52:41PM

to recycling. It's actually a custom -- Again, 1 2 we have another brand-new state-of-the-art 3 facility in Chicago that is really quite 4 amazing. BY MR. MUELLER: 5 6 John, with regard to the drop-off 0. 7 center that you're talking about for citizens, 8 is there going to be a charge for them to drop off e-waste and recyclables? 9 10 Α. The short answer is no. There is a 11 provision in the agreement that says we can 12 charge for -- it's called CRT capital rate to --13 those are old monitors, the big bulky things. 14 Our understanding is there is very few of those 15 left. 16 So this the MSW and single-stream 17 transfer building. Off to the left is the closed landfill. 18 It's just an open grassy area. 19 In the background you can kind of see it as the 20 facility entrance. That's the area right 21 between the trees out front. So, again, this 22 building is towards the back of the existing

06:53:22PM

06:54:13PM

1 buildings. The lighter shaded areas are the There's four of them. What we have 2 3 depicted is some trucks waiting to enter the 4 building and discharge their load. The way it 5 works is the truck would come into the facility, 6 go to the scale, drive to the back of the facility here. When it's ready to enter the 7 building, the door would open, the truck would 8 9 back in, the door would then close. The truck 10 could be in there two minutes discharging the When it's ready to leave, the door will 11 load. 12 open again, then he'll drive out, the door will 13 close again. As you see over to the left, that's 14 15 one of the larger trucks. That would be, again, 16 loaded with the material from the smaller ones. 17 It would actually be tarped before it leaves the building. And, again, there's a specific 18 19 loading area between the building where that 20 would occur. 21 You may also notice some objects on 22 the top of the building, and that's a depiction

06:55:17PM

06:54:49PM

of the ventilation and filtration system that 1 2 There are -- We're planning would be installed. 3 for six blowers or fans that would be removing 4 air from the building. In each one of those 5 blowers or fans would have a treatment system of 6 ozone associated with it that would basically 7 destroy any odors from that area exhaust. Maybe, just as a reminder, as George mentioned, 8 inside that building would be a large concrete, 9 10 what we call, tipping floor. A tipping floor 11 simply comes from that's where the trucks tip 12 their back end up to discharge the waste and, 13 again, it's simply picked up by a large loader 14 and put into the larger vehicle. 15 So that was the background on the 16 existing and proposed operations. So now we'll 17 get into the discussion of why this facility is 18 necessary. And just as a reminder, this is the 19 language in the act for Criterion 1, which is 20 the facility is necessary to accommodate the 21 waste needs to the area we intend to serve. 22 As Derke had mentioned, this is a

06:56:04PM

06:56:40PM

1 There is a lot of detail and summary overview. calculations that go with it. It is all in the 2 application on the City of West Chicago website 3 if you want to go back and look. 4 5 So as we look at our evaluation of 6 the criteria, there is really four. The first 7 is deciding what the area is -- what area we intend to be served by this facility. We'll 8 talk about that first. Once we define the area, 9 10 we'll then look at how much waste is generated 11 in that area, how it's currently managed, and 12 trends associated with that; meaning, what are 13 the trends in the amount of waste being 14 generated, what are the trends in the waste 15 disposal system. We'll evaluate those as well. 16 And then we'll evaluate the benefits of our 17 proposed facility, which, in our mind, clearly 18 exists, with the demonstration of why this 19 facility is needed. So the plan to the right is 20 a depiction of our service area. You can see 21 the counties labeled and some major roadways 22 labeled as well. And our service area is the --

06:57:24PM

06:58:05PM

1 kind of the non-blue hatched area in the middle. 2 So as George had mentioned, it is the west 3 two-thirds of DuPage County, so, again, 4 everything west of I-355. It is the eastern 5 portion of Kane County, which is generally 6 everything east of the Fox River, and it is the 7 northern portion of Will County where there are -- it's really because there's communities 8 9 in DuPage County that -- well, they overlap both 10 counties, so we included that as well. 11 So in terms of the waste disposal 12 volumes, there's plenty of data out there and in that service area. There's just under 13 14 3,000 tons of waste per day that's generated as 15 of 2020. That is expected to increase to a 16 little less than 3,600 tons per day by 2040, and 17 that's essentially because of the population of 18 the service area is predicted to increase as 19 well and, in general, as the population 20 increases, the amount of waste also increases. 21 There are no landfills in the 22 service area, and there are two transfer

06:58:50PM

06:59:37PM

1 stations that can accept municipal solid waste 2 in this area as well. One is the one that was 3 mentioned before. DuKane Transfer Station that 4 is also located on Powis Road, just north of our facility, and there's another one in Batavia, 5 6 just across the county line into Kane County. 7 If you look at how much waste is currently managed by those two transfer 8 stations, it's about 1,700 tons per day. 9 there is -- what we're describing as a shortfall 10 11 or need of about 1,300 tons per day. That is 12 simply the, approximate, 3,000, minus the 1,700, gives you about 1,300. 13 You may ask yourself -- Well, it 14 15 is -- These transfer stations actually do have 16 operate at half capacity, as such, that they 17 would be allowed to accept the entire 3,000 tons generated in the service area and you may ask 18 19 yourself, Well, why don't they --20 0. John, if I can interrupt you for a 21 Mr. Leutkehans, on behalf of his 22 citizens group, said that we had changed the

07:00:59PN

07:00:21PM

1 service area to eliminate one transfer station and there's only one left. Is that true? 2 3 That's incorrect. There are two Α. 4 transfer stations in our service area. 5 MR. MUELLER: Thank you. 6 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: John, I 7 couldn't hear what you said. Could you repeat what you were saying right before Mr. Mueller 8 asked you the question about the capacity? 9 BY THE WITNESS: 10 11 Α. Sure. The two existing transfer 12 stations in our service area, again, the DuKane 13 Transfer Station and the Batavia Transfer Station, collectively, they are permitted to 14 15 accept more than 3,000 tons per day, but they do 16 not. They have historically, and this is a 17 long-term trend, accepted around 1,700 tons per day between the two of them. And my somewhat 18 19 rhetorical question that I'm hopefully going to 20 answer for you as this presentation goes is: 21 Why don't those two transfer stations already 22 accept all of the waste from the service area if

07:02:12PM

07:01:36PM

they have the capacity? As we go on, I'm going 1 2 to answer that question for you. 3 We'll go deeper now into how the waste in the service area is currently managed. 4 5 So this is a -- On the right side is a planned 6 view, again, of the service area, so you can see 7 the counties labeled, and the service area is the heavier black line, and it is color coded by 8 municipality by which company currently services 9 10 or hauls the waste from that municipality. The 11 table on the left is, again, color coded so this 12 company and the population of the communities served by that company. 13 14 Let me be a little clearer. So 15 Waste Connections or Groot -- Groot is the owner 16 of the transfer station down the road, which is 17 DuKane Transfer Station, that was Waste 18 Connections. Waste Connections bought Groot a 19 handful of years ago. They are the same 20 company. For instance, Waste Connections hauls 21 the waste from Batavia, Elgin, Aurora, Bartlett, 22 Naperville, and West Chicago, right here, and

07:03:10PM

07:03:53PM

Woodridge. So all of those communities are 1 yellow and, again, if you add them all up, it's 2 a little over 552,000 people in those 3 4 communities. 5 Second largest provider is Waste 6 Management. They are shown in green. 7 the communities they serve are Plainfield, Romeoville -- I may have misspoke before. Waste 8 Management services Batavia and Elgin -- I may 9 10 have misspoke and assigned them to Groot, so I 11 apologize for that. 12 Anyway, their communities are in green, and it's a little over 215,000. And then 13 Lakeshore is the third largest. The communities 14 15 that they serve are shown in blue. So that 16 includes Lisle and Wheaton and Geneva and St. Charles, for instance. And then there's a 17 smaller number of communities in the service 18 19 area serviced by the Flood Brothers, Republic 20 Services, and SBC Waste Solutions. 21 The other thing that's important to 22 note about this figure is there are the

07:04:46PM

07:05:16PM

color-coded ovals, and those generally represent 1 2 the transfer stations in and around our service 3 So there's the two we mentioned before 4 and you can -- again, they are color coded the 5 same way, so the Waste Connections are the ones 6 highlighted in yellow and you can see there's 7 the one in the service area and they have one to 8 the southwest and they have a couple to the east 9 and one -- I think that covered them. 10 Waste Management has one in the service area, 11 that's the Batavia service station. A couple to 12 the north, couple to the south, a few to the 13 east. And then Lakeshore Recycling, their 14 transfer stations are shown in blue. So there's 15 the one just outside the service area to the 16 west, which is Elburn; and then there's four to 17 the northeast and east. And then Republic 18 Services they are in orange and you see their 19 transfer stations are all east of our service 20 area. 21 That was a lot of information but 22 we tried to put it in one convenient location,

07:06:29PM

07:06:00PM

give everybody a good idea of here's who is 1 2 servicing the area right now. 3 So now I'm going to start looking 4 and talking to you about trends in the waste 5 disposal system. First, the important thing to 6 understand is about landfills. So any material 7 that is not recycled or somehow benefits to be 8 reused ends up in the area landfill. There is a 9 decreasing number of landfills over time. 10 actually decreased by about 40 percent over the 11 last 25 years, and there's actually -- If you 12 think about the nine-county Chicagoland area, it includes DuPage and Kane and Cook and Will, 13 Lake, McHenry -- I think I'm forgetting one in 14 15 there -- There's only three -- Only three 16 remaining that are active. Two are actually in 17 Lake County, one is in Will County, and two busiest landfills in Illinois now are in 18 19 Rockford -- excuse me -- they are next to 20 Rockford. So that's where a big portion of the 21 waste goes now, is the Rockford area. 22 landfills -- number of landfills have been

07:07:20PM

07:08:01PM

decreasing and the remaining active ones that 1 2 moved farther away -- the remaining ones are 3 farther away. The ones that are all nearby have closed. The number of transfer stations has 4 increased. 5 6 So, as of 2020, there's actually about 14 transfer stations in the approximate 7 area -- I'm sorry -- I should say, to go back to 8 1995, there's actually no transfer stations in 9 10 DuPage County, Kane County had one, and there was eight, actually, in Cook County, actually, 11 12 northeast of us. Over the past 25 years, there have been 14 more that have been permitted, one 13 in DuPage, one in Kane, one in Kendall, one in 14 McHenry County, two in Will, and then there's 15 16 been several in Cook County to the north and to 17 the east. The other key trend is, as the 18 19 landfills have decreased in number, the 20 remaining ones are located farther away, the 21 owners of those landfills have been 22 consolidated. So we're now to the point that

07:08:57PM

07:09:35PM

1 all of -- Think about this: All of the 2 landfills in Illinois north of Peoria are owned 3 by one of the three large publicly traded 4 Those three companies are: Waste companies. 5 Management, that's the largest; Republic 6 Services, which is the second; and Waste 7 Connections or Groot, which is the third. The only ones not controlled by 8 those three large publicly traded companies are 9 10 shown in green on this figure. So one is design 11 which is operated by -- I think they are called 12 GFL, which is way up in the right-hand corner, 13 right near the Wisconsin border and Lake Number 2 was the Knox County 14 Michigan. 15 landfill, which is a very small, just a local community landfill way out in Knox County. 16 17 really has no influence of the Chicagoland area. 18 And then the landfill in Atkinson, which is 19 directly west, and Atkinson, if you don't know, 20 is about 30 miles from the Iowa border, right on 21 I-80, and that landfill is operated by Lakeshore 22 Recycling. Lakeshore Recycling actually bought

07:10:59PN

07:10:20PM

that in 2019. So they only have three that are 1 not controlled by -- If you look at regions one 2 and two, regions one and two it's a term or an 3 4 area defined by the Illinois Environmental 5 Protection Agency. They put out reports. 6 Region one is northeast Illinois. 7 actually the nine-county area I described earlier. Region 2 -- I'm sorry. 8 That's Region 2. Region 1 is the area to the 9 10 northwest. And if you look at just those two 11 regions, those three publicly traded companies, 12 control 97 percent of all the landfills. 13 So you can see the numbers. They 14 really have a stranglehold on landfills, which, 15 again, all of which are potentially income. Ιf 16 things consolidate, you have fewer players. 17 That's a trend that reduces competition. So as 18 the landfills have consolidated, the same thing 19 has happened with transfer stations. This is 20 the same figure I showed you earlier. 21 probably should mention that our facility, you 22 can see the red dot right there in the middle of

07:12:20PM

07:11:36PM

West Chicago, that's where our proposed --1 that's where our facility is. And there are 23 2 total transfer stations on the Illinois map, 17 3 4 of them -- 17 out of 23 are owner-operated by 5 those same three large publicly traded 6 companies. The only other transfer station, 7 there's one, down in Rockdale, operated by a small family-run company called Environmental 8 Recycling and Disposal Services. 9 The other 10 remaining ones are owned by Waste Management. 11 The same consolidation is a trend that reduces 12 competition. Phil alluded to it earlier, so I'm 13 going to give you background on vertical 14 15 integration and why it's so important. First 16 it's important to understand how the waste 17 collection and disposal process works. Again, it starts with the collection vehicle that will 18 19 go around and collect. The vehicle is obviously 20 parked somewhere. In the morning, it travels to 21 its route, it goes around, whether it be 22 businesses or homes, picks up all the waste

07:13:32PM

07:12:58PM

until the truck is full, then it goes to a 1 transfer station. Because, again, the landfill 2 3 is too far to drive. It goes to the transfer 4 station and deposits the load. That waste is 5 then picked up, loaded into a larger vehicle 6 where it travels down the road to a landfill 7 where it is disposed. Maybe, again, a fun fact. 8 9 traditional collection truck that goes 10 down around the neighborhood. It fills stuff 11 up, goes to the transfer station, goes back out 12 to another route, fills up and goes back for 13 more. 14 Most of the waste that's collected 15 by the three large publicly traded companies --16 you can go to their annual report and it will 17 tell you -- most of the waste they pick up from the curb or businesses ends up in their 18 19 landfills. Why is that? Why do they want to 20 put the waste they pick up in their own 21 landfills? It has a number of advantages. 22 first is control of the cost in the disposal

07:14:19PM

07:14:52PM

market. As a hauling contractor -- We'll use 1 2 Ιf West Chicago as an example in a few minutes. 3 the company has to bid on a hauling contract, it 4 has to not only include the cost of the 5 collection, it has to include the cost of 6 disposal in that day. Again, if you cannot control your own price, if I have to bring the 7 waste I collect to somebody else's transfer 8 station, a competitor of mine, who is also 9 10 competing for that same contract -- hopefully 11 things become clear. So vertical integration 12 really is the ability to control all of the 13 aspects of your own costs. It is also your 14 ability to control your own operating 15 If I'm bringing waste, I'm commission. 16 collecting to somebody else's transfer station, 17 they control things like the operating hours, 18 how late they are open, and I have to modify my 19 operations around their facility. And what 20 fundamentally it can cause is it prohibits your 21 competitors from using their facilities to start 22 you out (inaudible) --

07:15:40PM

07:16:21PM

1 Now we're going to go all the way 2 deeper and get even more clear about what we're 3 talking about. As I mentioned, Lakeshore performs hauling in the service area. 4 5 currently do that and haul from certain 6 communities right now. They do not have a 7 transfer station in the service area. From 8 June 2018 to the end of 2020, Lakeshore was 9 delivering all the waste they were collecting to 10 the transfer station in Batavia, which, at the 11 time, was owned by Advanced Disposal. 12 Advanced Disposal needs to take it from the 13 transfer station, take it to their landfill in 14 Rockford, and that's how Lakeshore was running 15 their business. 16 What happened a couple of years ago 17 is that Waste Management bought Advanced Disposal, so that was the largest waste company 18 19 in the country buying the fourth largest. So 20 now those same transfer stations and same 21 landfills where everybody takes their waste 22 changed owners. It took one of the competitors

07:17:09PM

07:17:45PM

out of the market, so Advanced Disposal is no 1 2 more. 3 What happened is the boundary -basically if they replace the price --4 5 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can 6 you repeat that? I'm having a lot of trouble 7 here. Just repeat that last paragraph, please. BY THE WITNESS: 8 Right after the merger between Waste 9 10 Management and Advanced Disposal, the pricing 11 that Lakeshore was getting at that transfer 12 station went up 10 percent. Since that time, it's gone up another 10 percent. 13 14 Lakeshore has other transfer 15 stations outside of the service area, so 16 Lakeshore was also taking other waste that came 17 into their other transfer station to that landfill called Orchard Hills near Rockford. 18 19 The price at the landfill right after the merger 20 went up to 33 percent. 21 Again, you would say, well, why 22 don't you just take it down to the Groot

07:18:24PM

07:19:03PM

transfer station. 1 2 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Please, it's 3 not a debate. It's a public hearing. We have 4 to let the witness present the testimony. You wouldn't do this at a city council meeting, you 5 6 wouldn't do this in the legislature. Please, 7 let's respect the process, let the witness testify, and have public comment at the end. 8 It's inappropriate and we're not going to 9 10 condone it. If anybody wants to keep it up, I'll ask for you to be excused. 11 12 Back to you. BY THE WITNESS: 13 The pricing that Lakeshore was quoted 14 Α. 15 at the Waste Connections or Groot transfer 16 station is actually 25 percent higher than the pricing they were receiving in Batavia. So 17 they, essentially, made it possible here. So 18 19 what happened? In the meantime, Lakeshore 20 Recycling did acquire the Elburn transfer 21 station. That is actually one of the reasons we 22 changed the service area, as Phil had mentioned

07:20:21PM

07:19:45PM

1 before. At the time that draft was created, 2 Lakeshore didn't own that transfer station. 3 Well, they do now, and it is, in some ways, a 4 bit helpful but it doesn't solve the problem 5 we're going to be talking about. What's 6 happened is the waste has been diverted. So 7 what was then going to Batavia, the majority now does go to the Elburn transfer station, some of 8 9 it goes the other direction to Maywood. From 10 Elburn, it now goes out to Lakeshore's landfill 11 in Atkinson. 12 Again, think of that. The price 13 they are getting from Groot, just down the road, is so cost prohibitive that the waste was going 14 15 to a transfer station in Batavia and then 16 traveling to Rockford, but it is more cost 17 advantageous to private out to Elburn and then 18 send it all the way out to Atkinson, rather than 19 bring it to the Groot transfer station just down 20 the road. Again, a key point. Let's hope 21 that's -- And that's what could happen when your 22 competitors control the pricing. And this

07:21:03PM

07:21:45PM

consolidation of these large companies has 1 brought the transfer stations -- It's just this 2 3 world of have and have nots in the waste 4 industry. The haves want to continue to be 5 those few that are fully vertically integrated 6 and, ideally, keep the other folks, well, as a 7 have not. Again, Lakeshore, as any other not 8 publicly-owned company, has to pay other 9 10 companies to bring their waste if they are not 11 fully vertically integrated. Just to be clear, 12 other than those three publicly traded companies, no other company is fully vertically 13 14 integrated. Not Lakeshore, not the others. 15 Lakeshore is in other areas but not this area. 16 Again, think about bidding on a 17 waste public contract if you don't control the price of the transfer station or the landfill. 18 19 You have a significant cost factor that is not 20 under your control. It's an unknown factor. So if you try to make a bid, how do we start 21 22 investing? There's a number. How do you borrow

07:23:19PM

07:22:40PM

money and make capital investments? We all know 1 that banks do not like uncertainty. The more 2 3 uncertainty, the harder it is to make those 4 investments to obtain the funds to invest. 5 Again, the operational deficiencies that I 6 talked about earlier. So Lakeshore had trucks, 7 because of the closing time of the Batavia Transfer Station, they had trucks that have to 8 leave their routes in the middle of it to get to 9 10 the transfer station before they close and then 11 go back and try to finish the route either later 12 that day or the next morning. Again, think 13 about the inefficiency of that. 14 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Hold on a 15 second. BY MR. MUELLER: 16 17 John, while there's a break, I think Q. 18 you implied this, but if Lakeshore owns the 19 Elburn transfer station, why don't we send all 20 of the garbage there? 21 Because it's farther away and it's 22 less cost effective. Again, it's a

07:24:47PM

07:24:04PM

transportation logistics business. So we can 1 send it wherever, but if you're going to be able 2 to effectively compete in a market, you need to 3 be fully vertically integrated in that market. 4 5 Again, everything I was just 6 talking about was trends. Now I'm going to talk about the main benefit of the proposed facility 7 and it is to provide additional capacity and 8 competition in this market. Again, the 9 landfills located closest to the service area 10 11 are those two in Rockford. Those two landfills, 12 one is owned by Waste Management, the other is 13 owned by Waste Connections. They also have the 14 two transfer stations in our service area. 15 Again, the one in Kane is owned by Waste 16 Connections, Batavia is owned by Waste 17 Management. If you go back to that slide I 18 showed you before, it's not surprising why they 19 have the large majority of the hauling contracts 20 in the service area. 21 As we have acknowledged before, 22 these transfer stations do have adequate

07:25:41PM

07:26:11PM

capacity to take more waste, if you don't 1 consider the negative economic and environmental 2 impacts of the business. You don't consider 3 4 them. 5 So what happens is the trends that 6 companies that collect waste from municipalities 7 ends up going in the general direction of their transfer station, they are going in the general 8 9 direction of their landfills. The capacity of 10 these transfer stations can vary for others 11 from -- it can vary based on pricing, the 12 operational approach of those companies. there could be some and there could be nothing. 13 14 Again, just reemphasizing the same 15 Really in the service area there's Waste point. 16 Management and Groot that they have a sufficient 17 control of pricing to effectively diminish the competition from other non-vertically integrated 18 19 companies. Again, the non-vertically integrated 20 companies are everybody else. 21 This isn't just our view. So if 22 you go into the application, there are a number

07:27:41PM

07:26:58PM

of letters in support from other haulers that 1 2 are in the same position that are looking for 3 other options. There are four municipalities 4 that have written letters in support, including 5 Wheaton and Lisle. There is a whole conjuring 6 of small contractors and demolition companies 7 that would love to have additional options, so they provided letters of support, along with a 8 little over 100 citizens. 9 10 Maybe I'll just take a moment to 11 talk about one specific company. So the 12 village-run company was a waste disposal company that was highly respected, family run, had been 13 in business for over 75 years. They actually 14 15 sold to Lakeshore in December of 2020, and 16 George Strom is the current generation main person that was running that company. I talked 17 18 to him personally about it and, frankly, asked 19 him why they sold. What he talked about -- and 20 there's a letter, again, in the application, and 21 I encourage you to read it if you haven't, from 22 George that talks about the exact same factors

07:28:53PN

07:28:18PM

1 that I'm talking about. It was that he was being squeezed by the large publicly traded 2 companies, and they felt like they could no 3 4 longer compete, so they sold to the other 5 company that they knew and thought they would 6 fit in well with, so they sold to Lakeshore. 7 Another example so we'll talk about 8 West Chicago's municipal contract to Groot connections held the hauling contract for many 9 years from 2012 to 2019. It came up to bid 10 11 there was two companies that bid on it west 12 connections and Lakeshore. Lakeshore's bid was 13 based on the anticipation of getting the facility permit, having a transfer station close 14 15 where they could compete, so they, again, threw 16 their -- threw their name in a hat for the bid. 17 In the end, it forced Waste Connections to make 18 concessions, lower their pricing, Waste 19 Connections was in fact, awarded the contract, 20 so they are still the hauler in West Chicago. 21 To be clear, the pricing that Waste 22 Connections -- the pricing that West Chicago

07:30:22PM

07:29:43PM

1 received was lower than the previous contract. 2 Over a five-year period, the cost went down by \$1.7 million. That is \$300 of savings to every 3 4 resident -- not every person, every single 5 family unit in West Chicago. So \$300, over that 6 five-year span, of savings, they got increased benefits for a variety of items that are all 7 listed here. So, again, because Lakeshore had 8 the anticipation of this, they bid on it, and 9 that was the result. When this contract comes 10 11 up for bid again in a few years and if Lakeshore 12 does not have this facility and is unable to bid 13 it, you can ask the question yourself, what do you think will happen with pricing and services? 14 15 So, John, are you saying that just the Q. 16 threat of competition can have the effect of 17 lowering prices for the consumer and, in this 18 case, the citizens of West Chicago? 19 MR. LEUTKEHANS: Objection; foundation. 20 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Overruled. 21 You can answer. 22

07:31:19PM

07:31:59PN

BY THE WITNESS: 1 2 In this case, it certainly appears so. Α. So the same situation for West Chicago happened 3 4 with Lisle, Wheaton, and St. Charles. Again, 5 all of the details or information is in the 6 application. Those communities all saw cost 7 decreases in the contract that Lakeshore bid. And, again -- I'll say it again -- it's the same 8 bullet, if Lakeshore is unable to get approval 9 10 for this facility, their ability to compete on a 07:32:46PM 11 long-term basis in this service area is 12 significantly diminished and may disappear. 13 One more time to kind of drive home 14 the point, so this slide has a table that 15 compares our service area to suburban Cook 16 County. So suburban Cook County -- I'll say it 17 the other way. So our service area is about 18 45 percent smaller in area in suburban Cook 19 County and the population is about 43 percent 20 less. So it's essentially the same population 21 density in our service area as the suburban Cook

07:33:39PM

22

County area, since they are both proportionately

1 lower -- it's about the same population density. Suburban Cook County -- Well, our service area 2 3 compared to suburban Cook County, we have less than 10 percent of the transfer stations they 4 5 Suburban Cook County, being a little -need. 6 about twice our size, has ten times as many 7 transfer stations as the service area. It's 8 just another very clear indicator that this 9 service area is significantly underserved and all of those transfer stations in suburban Cook 10 11 County compete, they live, drive, and survive 12 and, again, it helps the price go down and 13 maximize those services. 14 I mentioned this before, but want 15 to touch on it again. So in addition to the 16 competition and capacity, another important benefit of our proposed facility is we'll 17 provide operational responsibility. 18 19 I'm going to talk about the 20 operations now again that those limited hours at 21 the Batavia Transfer Station have caused us to use stockpiles in the middle, which seems to 22

07:34:26PM

07:35:01PM

pick up, which obviously causes extra driving, 1 2 extra mileage, extra wear and tear on roads, and 3 we are familiar with examples where transfer 4 stations will remain open with their own 5 internal collection trucks, meaning from the same company, but they will shut off trucks from 6 7 other companies. Again, it's a pretty key advantage that they give themselves. And West 8 DuPage Recycling and Transfer Station would have 9 10 longer hours, so if there are other haulers out 11 there that need that flexibility. 12 I'm going to now come back to hydro-excavation waste. While there's municipal 13 14 solid waste, there's also a bunch of information 15 about what transfer stations are for 16 hydro-excavation waste. There are very few 17 facilities out there that can manage them. The 18 only one we know of within our service area or 19 close to it is the Woodridge Greene Valley Wastewater Treatment Plant. As you can imagine, 20 21 it's in Woodridge. The only other facility 22 within 10 miles of the area is Lakeshore's

07:35:48PM

07:36:31PM

1 facility in Forest View, where we currently 2 manage hydro-excavation waste with the exact 3 same way we're proposing at this facility. 4 In terms of the Woodridge Greene 5 Valley Wastewater Plant, I actually toured it 6 myself, and the materials they take are 7 primarily storm sewer caps from Woodridge, the Naperville folks go in there, so that's what 8 they take. They close at 3:00 o'clock. And it 9 10 really is just a facility that can only manage 11 limited volumes, so they, really, are not 12 equipped to handle a substantive amount of 13 hydro-excavation waste. We talked extensively with a 14 15 company called Badger Daylighting. 16 Daylighting is a company, like a client or a 17 customer of Lakeshore, and they do take waste -hydro-excavation waste into the facility at 18 19 They are, by all appearances, the Forest View. 20 largest service supplier of hydrovac trucks in 21 the Chicagoland area. They have a fleet around 50 of these vehicles that are staged to run them 22

07:37:18PM

07:37:56PM

and they are in multiple locations and they do 1 2 that to try to minimize driving as they service 3 those locations. 4 Again, they routinely use the 5 facility in Forest View, and they told us they 6 do not use the facility at Woodridge at all, 7 primarily because of the limited operating 8 hours. In terms of hydro-excavation waste, the 9 demand is only increasing over time. We talked 10 to the folks at the Underground Contractors 11 Association and they provided a letter of 12 support for this facility because they have seen 13 the need for this service in the amount of waste 14 being generated growing. Actually, they used 15 the word growing exponentially, there's an 16 increase in demand. Badger Excavation -- I'm 17 sorry -- Badger Daylighting said the same thing. 18 They are a growing company. They actually 19 mentioned they added several trucks in the last 20 couple of years and other customers that use the 21 facility in Forest View, include the major 22 utility companies like Com Ed and Nicor. They

07:38:44PM

07:39:16PM

1 have also said the same thing. Those companies 2 are now mandating if there's any excavation or 3 digs around their utilities, they mandate the hydro-excavation technique be used. 4 5 So Badger Daylighting was actually 6 very transparent with their operations. 7 talked about -- They were very excited about 8 having a facility in West Chicago, mainly 9 because of the transportation. Again, they 10 provide services all over northern Illinois. 11 They actually went so far to say about 12 40 percent of their work is located much more 13 convenient to West Chicago than Forest View. 14 And if the West Chicago facility was approved, 15 they would save about two hours of drive time per truck per day. So that's two more hours 16 17 they can be providing services, instead of 18 driving, and would result in significant cost savings because a lot of work for utility 19 20 companies, those cost savings would very likely 21 be passed onto utility companies, which should 22 be able to be passed down to the taxpayers.

07:40:05PM

07:40:42PM

1 Those transportation efficiencies can be applied to Benefit No. 4, which was a 2 3 reduction of environmental impacts. 4 running around, obviously, have wear and tear on 5 roads, they consume fuel, and fuel results in 6 air emissions. So we just made some very 7 conservative estimates that if this facility was approved, it would reduce truck miles by about 8 800,000 miles per year. 800,000 truck miles 9 10 would be lessened. Over a 20-year span, that's 11 over 16 million truck miles that would be saved 12 or would not occur. That results in about 4.6 million gallons of diesel fuel that would 13 14 not be burned and a reduction of carbon dioxide 15 emissions of over 102 million pounds. 16 all of this, the transportation business, the 17 results are pretty staggering. There's 18 improvements in environmental impacts. 19 John, are all of these calculations 0. 20 regarding environmental impacts and savings in 21 the application? 22 Α. They are.

07:41:32PM

07:42:12PN

1 0. Thank you. 2 I'm going to move on and talk about Α. 3 another trend in the Waste Management system, which is challenges in recycling. 4 So recycling 5 has been going on for, obviously, a number of 6 decades now, construction and demolition debris, 7 landscape waste, things of that nature, are. Predominantly recycling materials, in addition 8 to the recyclables we all separate. You have 9 10 probably read or heard about challenges in 11 commodity markets, in terms of being able to 12 economically reuse materials. You probably heard about a lot of recycled materials were 13 sent over to foreign countries and they are 14 15 starting to be rejected or what they call 16 contamination were basically nonrecyclable 17 material mixed in with the recyclables and it's 18 too much so that material can no longer be 19 recycled. 20 The other thing that's happened is 21 we have -- in terms of materials that are 22 recycled, we pretty much got the low hanging

07:42:54PM

07:43:25PN

1 fruit. We got the things that are easier to 2 recycle. We try to continue to improve the recycling number and it's just harder and harder 3 4 to do. And counties are really the entities 5 that are responsible for trying to implement the 6 recycling plants and hit these recycling goals that Illinois has established. 7 Each county has a solid waste 8 If you go to the plant for DuPage 9 plant. 10 County, they will talk about the same thing we 11 all know, that there's been significant changes 12 in the last decade with volatile markets, 13 contamination issues with the shared end users were causing challenges. They emphasize the C&D 14 15 recycling is still an important option to reduce 16 landfill waste and we talked about how electronic products, e-waste, are now banished 17 18 from landfills so they need some options for 19 those materials for recycling. 20 The Kane County waste station has 21 recognized it similarly. They actually talk about how the residential suburban Lake and Kane 22

07:44:01PM

07:44:38PM

1 County has actually been slowly declining from 2015 to 2019. They, again, also talks about the 2 3 importance of diverted construction debris and 4 they made two points that I just need to read 5 because they hit on exactly what we're talking 6 about here, that the waste needs what 7 competition for both waste transfer and 8 landfilling and, as I have said, that is the 9 greatest need. And the competition will control 10 price increases and also maintain a high-quality 11 service in both the residential and commercial 12 setting. Kane County, in their plan, said the exact same thing we're talking about here. 13 The benefit to our facility is it 14 15 will facilitate. Again, we -- our facility 16 recycles construction and demolition debris to 17 date. There's ongoing challenges of doing that and having these other operations will basically 18 19 bring more revenue to the facility, actually, 20 more investment in equipment and machinery to 21 continue to do that as effectively as possible. 22 We will have the courtesy drop-off up front for

07:46:02PN

07:45:17PM

1 electronic waste and there are not enough of those facilities. We will have the drop off for 2 3 residential recyclables -- this is really 4 important -- for the multiunit -- multiunit 5 living quarters or apartments in and around West Chicago, those tend to get underserved. 6 7 is a convenient drop-off where, again, all of this is free, it's open during normal business 8 hours, so it would be convenient for folks, and 9 10 then we would also be transferring single-stream 11 recyclables from our facility to our other 12 Lakeshore facilities. So those efficiencies, again, will help keep costs down and help with 13 recycling as well. 14 Another benefit, to be clear, with 15 16 our site is competition will be provided for 17 hauling contracts. There is a host agreement 18 that our facility has with West Chicago, so they 19 will receive a fee, \$2.45, for every ton of 20 municipal solid waste and hydro-excavation 21 material that's received. 22 Again, what were proposing is --

07:46:49PM

07:47:28PM

we're only proposing 600 max with a capacity of 1 2 650 tons a day. To give you a sense, permanent 3 capacity of the DuKane Transfer Station is 4 3,000 tons per day. Batavia Transfer Station is 5 1,500 tons per day. So this is really a 6 relatively modest facility. In the long run, 7 again, but what's really important is our ability to compete on a level playing field with 8 these other folks. Between municipal solid 9 10 waste and hydro-excavation waste if there's just 600 tons a day accepted, which means about 11 12 450 tons of municipal solid waste and 150 of the hydro excavation, \$420,000 a year would go to 13 14 West Chicago. 15 We're also giving them historical rates for what's generated, so basically, a very 16 17 favorable disposal rate. There's also free 18 disposal for up to 2,500 tons of waste per year, 19 so that could be from government buildings or 20 such. We're providing -- We will be providing 21 free use of some dumpsters and carts or totes for one-time pickup. So they can basically be 22

07:48:05PM

07:48:48PN

free pickup from special events that are 1 happening around West Chicago. 2 3 As I mentioned before, about 125 4 folks that are employed at the West Chicago 5 facility currently. It will probably add about 6 another 35 jobs if this facility is approved and 7 we would absolutely give preference to the applicants from West Chicago. Again, that 8 courtesy drop-off for the residents of West 9 10 Chicago, along with the e-waste, is another key 11 benefit. It's all in the host agreement. 12 Similarly, there's an agreement with DuPage County that if this facility is approved, they 13 would also receive a host agreement. The key 14 15 with DuPage County is that 70 percent -- about 16 70 percent of the waste generated in DuPage 17 County goes to a transfer station outside of 18 DuPage County. 19 So DuPage County gets a host fee, 20 so does West Chicago, from the DuKane Transfer 21 The point is more waste from DuPage 22 County will be managed in DuPage County, and the

07:49:34PM

07:50:14PM

host fees that they are just simply not getting, 1 2 again, because they are missing out on 70 percent of it. They would receive additional 3 4 host fees. And the same thing, it's all 5 municipal solid waste and hydro excavation, again, those same numbers, they probably get 6 7 about \$100,000 a year. Basically, we as well committed the drop-off area for recyclables for 8 9 DuPage County. 10 And the other one is that DuPage 11 County will run the hazardous waste collection 12 programs, the rents that they get, and they need 13 a location to have a host fee agreement. And we 14 have a very large property and we have a lot of 15 paved area. So we wouldn't be doing anything 16 with waste ourselves but we would basically host 17 the event on our property so people could bring 18 in their household waste. It would -- DuPage 19 County would have a company right there that 20 would collect it, pack it up, and take it with 21 That's DuPage County was actually very 22 excited that we would be willing to do that.

07:50:54PM

07:51:32PM

1 Now we're on to the summary portion 2 So, again, just hitting the of the program. highlights, in terms of benefits of this 3 proposed facility, it would increase 4 5 competition, we would able transfer actually in 6 the service area, which has been clearly 7 recognized, help control prices, and maintain high-quality level of service. 8 Our proposed facility would provide 9 10 additional operational possibilities. We would 11 provide a necessary and conveniently located 12 facility to manage hydro-excavation waste with 13 the result of significant transportation 14 efficiencies. Those transportation efficiencies 15 would reduce the environmental impact to the 16 City of West Chicago and thereby decreasing the 17 traveling distance of municipal solid waste and 18 hydro-excavation collection vehicles, it would 19 facilitate recycling by having service offerings 20 and allowing additional investments in our 21 infrastructure and operational improvements. We 22 would provide direct benefits to the City of

07:52:15PM

07:52:50PM

West Chicago, as detailed in the host community 1 2 benefit agreement. The facility would provide 3 benefits to DuPage County, as detailed in the secondary host community benefit agreement. 4 5 And, again, as I pointed out before, the waste 6 and service area is expected to grow over time, 7 so all of these benefits will only become more 8 important. So, Mr. Hock, based upon your review 9 Ο. 10 of the facts and your presentation, do you have 11 an opinion, based upon a reasonable degree of 12 scientific and engineering certainty, as to 13 whether or not the West DuPage Transfer and 14 Recycling Station is necessary for the waste 15 needs of the area it is intended to serve? I do. 16 Α. What is that opinion, sir? 17 Q. 18 It is my professional opinion that the Α. West DuPage Recycling and Transfer Station meets 19 20 the requirements of Criterion 1, and it is 21 necessary to accommodate the waste needs of the 22 area it is intended to serve.

07:54:00PN

07:53:29PM

Ī		
	1	MR. MUELLER: Thank you. We have no
	2	further questions.
	3	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Thank you.
	4	Mr. Hock, we're going to give our court
	5	reporter, Ms. Landolina, a break here. We can
	6	take ten minutes. We'll reconvene at five after
	7	8:00.
	8	The city is up first. Are you
	9	ready to go?
07:54:28PM	10	MR. CALLAGHAN: I think it's the
	11	opposite. Under the ordinance, the city goes
	12	last.
	13	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: So then Protect
	14	West Chicago, you're going to be up first,
	15	followed by Mr. DeLaRosa, and then the city.
	16	And then Mr. Walsh is part of the city.
	17	All right. We'll take a break.
	18	Five after 8:00 o'clock we'll start again
	19	(A short break was had.)
08:07:35PM	20	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Let's
	21	reconvene. First will be Mr. Leutkehans for
	22	West Chicago.
	1	

1	Mr. Leutkehans, whenever you're
2	ready.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. LEUTKEHANS:
5	Q. Good evening, Mr. Hock. We're going
6	to start with what has been listed as Exhibit 2,
7	I think, your prefiling your affidavit, which
8	is Appendix 2-J.
9	So you served the pre-filing notice
10	in accordance with your affidavit in
11	Appendix 2-J, correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Before determining who and where to
14	send the brief out In other words, how did
15	you actually determine what the authentic tax
16	records were?
17	A. So for DuPage County there is a
18	website that we went to, and you can look up the
19	tax records of all of the excuse me all of
20	the various property owners.
21	Q. You went to the DuPage ArcGIS website;
22	is that what you went to?

08:08:27PM

08:08:58PM

I believe in the affidavit there 1 Yes. 2 is a reference to the website. You just say GIS, you don't say what 3 Ο. 4 it is. There is no link. So we're just kind of 5 guessing and trying to understand it. 6 So is that what you call -- If I go 7 to DuPage County and look at their ArcGIS 8 website, that's what it is; is that correct? I believe that's correct. 9 10 You did that for all of the properties 0. that were within 250 feet? 11 12 Yeah. We, actually, went out to Α. 400 feet. 13 So you look on the DuPage County 14 15 ArcGIS website. Does it actually give you any information for the railroads? 16 In terms of addresses, it did not. 17 Α. 18 provided owners. 19 Where did you determine what address 0. 20 that the entity should be served at? 21 MR. MUELLER: Mr. Price, this is beyond 22 the scope.

08:09:29PM

08:10:07PM

MR. LEUTKEHANS: Sometime I have to ask 1 about this affidavit. 2 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: We did set the 3 groundwork, Mr. Mueller, for the basis of the 4 application, the facility, were the part of this 5 6 testimony, and the document was put in at the beginning. So I'm going to allow Mr. Leutkehans 7 a little freedom here to keep going. 8 Overruled. 9 BY MR. LEUTKEHANS: 10 What did you do to determine the 11 Q. 12 proper entities to receive notice? How did you do that? 13 Now you're speaking specifically to 14 Α. the railroads? 15 No. All of them first. Let's start 16 Ο. 17 with all of them. So we went to the website to determine 18 Α. 19 the owners of the properties. 20 0. Okay. 21 And for most of them, it had the 22 addresses. There were -- So for, like, the

08:10:36PM

08:10:53PM

1 general assembly and folks like that, we went to 2 a different website that listed those folks. 3 I'm going to interrupt you, because Ο. I'm not going ask you about the general assembly 4 5 people. I won't waste your time. I'm just 6 talking about the property owners. I apologize 7 if you misunderstood. 8 Understood. Α. So if you can tell me how you did it 9 0. 10 for the property owners? How do you determine what entities to serve? 11 12 So, again, for all of them other than Α. the railroads, my recollection is that -- that 13 14 there were addresses provided. 15 Okay. So that is the only Q. 16 information -- The only place you went to 17 determine was non-railroad addresses; is that 18 correct? 19 There were -- If there was a post Α. 20 office box listed, then we also sent one to a 21 physical address as well. 22 So if there was no post office box Q.

08:11:21PM

08:11:47PM

address, you just used the ArcGIS website, 1 2 correct? 3 Α. Yes. Okay. And you said that -- your 4 0. affidavit says this is the, quote, computer 5 6 equivalent of information available on hard 7 copies and plat books and section maps, correct? Yes. 8 Α. How did you make that determination? 9 Ο. 10 Well, the -- So we have a survey group Α. in our office that does this type of work 11 12 regularly and I talked with them and what -what was a manual effort of going to courthouses 13 and looking at -- looking at hard copy records, 14 15 it's my understanding that the current standard 16 of care is that you can use these electronic 17 websites that provides the same information and that is what is normally done now. 18 19 Okay. So you learned that from your 0. 20 survey work is what you're saying? 21 That's how we have done it in 22 the past and that's how we have --

08:12:14PM

08:12:55PM

I'm not trying to be rude. 1 I don't Ο. really care about how you did it in the past. I 2 only care about how you did it here. 3 4 Α. Okay. Is that how you learned that that was 5 Q. 6 where the computer -- the hard copies 7 affected -- The computer equivalent of information available in hard copies is plat 8 books and section maps; is that what you learned 9 10 from your survey group? I guess I'll also say that my own 11 12 experience of having done this before that that is an acceptable approach. 13 Have you ever done this in DuPage 14 0. County before? 15 16 I have not been involved in a local 17 siting application in DuPage County in the past. You're talking generally, you're not 18 Ο. 19 talking specifically DuPage County? 20 Α. Yes. 21 When you talk about your prior 0. 22 experience?

08:13:25PM

08:13:52PN

1 Yes. Α. The information, just so I'm clear, 2 Q. available in the plat books and section maps, 3 4 does that tell you where to send the tax bills? Well, again, my understanding of the 5 Α. 6 intent and our job is to send the notice to the place where the owner of the property and we do 7 8 it to the same place the tax records are sent. 9 Okay. So it's -- really your job is Ο. 10 to determine -- is to send it to the place where the authentic tax records are sent, correct? 11 12 Yes. Α. Okay. Did you cross-check the DuPage 13 0. County ArcGIS website with any other records? 14 15 For the properties other than Α. railroads, no. 16 17 Q. We'll talk about that -- Okay. Let's talk about the railroads. 18 19 What did you do differently for the railroad parcels? 20 21 So for the railroads, since there was not an address listed, I actually had staff look 22

08:14:23PM

08:15:00PM

1 at a number of different sources to try to 2 determine the most appropriate address. 3 What sources? Ο. We went to a variety of Internet 4 Α. sources. We made some phone calls to try to get 5 6 confirmation of the most appropriate address to send those notices. 7 Who did you call? 8 Q. We started with corporate offices, for 9 instance, and I don't recall, as I sit here, but 10 I know we had conversations and they suggested 11 12 that -- they confirmed that that was an 13 appropriate address. 14 I'm sorry. You lost me. Who 15 confirmed -- Let's be specific. You sent one to the Union Pacific, 16 17 right? We did. 18 Α. Who at the Union Pacific confirmed 19 0. 20 that was a proper address? 21 As I sit here, I do not recall. Α. Would that be the same answer for the 22 Q.

08:15:43PM

08:16:03PM

Canadian National you served? 1 2 Yes. Α. Would that be the same address for the 3 Ο. Chicago specific -- Chicago Central and Pacific 4 5 railroads you sited? 6 Yes. That was -- That was, actually, an attempt to be overconservative. We did not 7 have any information that indicated that they 8 have a railroad within the 400-foot setback but 9 10 there was information that they had railroads in 11 DuPage County. So we just wanted to be 12 conservative and make sure we didn't miss 13 anybody. 14 Q. There are six railroads in DuPage 15 County, aren't there? Do you know? 16 As I sit here, I do not. Α. 17 Q. Okay. We'll get to that. I promise 18 you. 19 So you served Chicago Central 20 because you wanted to be overly inclusive. How 21 did you determine that the Canadian National 22 owned -- or that Canadian National was the

08:16:39PM

08:17:09PM

proper party to serve, specifically, and where 1 to send that specific notice? 2 Again, my recollection is that -- that 3 Α. that was the owner listed on the website and we 4 called their offices -- and I would have to go 5 6 back and look at notes to see who we talked to -- and described what we were doing and 7 received confirmation that that was an 8 9 appropriate address. 10 You said the website, you found Ο. Canadian National on the website. I think we 11 12 just decided there are no names on the website for railroads. I thought you just -- Or did I 13 mishear you? 14 15 My recollection is there was no Α. addresses. 16 17 0. Okay. So you -- Your firm and you made the determination the CN Railroad actually 18 19 owned one of the parcels with the railroads next to the LRS property; is that correct? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 What address did you serve the CN Q.

08:17:55PM

08:18:22PM

1 Railroad at, the Canadian National Railroad at? 2 You can look --3 I apologize. The first binder stops. Α. So I don't have 2-J in front of me. 4 5 Your counsel handed out copies, so I Q. 6 think we all have it here. We don't have that 7 one. Okay. We'll put it on the screen for you. Would you disagree with me if I 8 9 told you that the Chicago National Railway was 10 served at United Parcel Express -- UPS express 11 at 935 Rue, R-u-e, De La Gauchetière Quest in 12 Montreal, Quebec, Canada? 13 Α. If that's the address that's in the 14 affidavit, that's the address we used. 15 For the Central -- Chicago Central and Q. 16 Pacific Railroad, would you agree or would you dispute the fact that it was served at the 17 18 business development at Real Estate -- or 19 N. Real Estate at 1 Administration Road, 20 Concord, Ontario, Canada, via UPS express? 21 Again, if that's the address listed on 22 that table and on the information that's

08:20:03PM

08:20:45PM

1	attached to the affidavit, then that is the
2	address we used.
3	Q. Can you see it from where you are on
4	the monitor? You can stand up.
5	A. I cannot.
6	Q. Is that your table from your chart?
7	A. It is.
8	Q. That's the table of services where you
9	served, who you served, and what pin number,
10	correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. So does that confirm that the Chicago
13	Central and Pacific Railroad was served at
14	Business Development North Real Estate, 1
15	Administration Road in Concord, Ontario, Canada
16	for you?
17	A. Yes. And that should also match the
18	information that should be in a subsequent
19	appendix that contains the tracking information.
20	Q. Yeah, it does. Look at And you
21	served the CN, Chicago the Canadian
22	National That confirms that you served it at

08:21:40PM

08:21:18PM

935 Rue De La Gauchetière Quest, Montreal, 1 2 Quebec, Canada? 3 Α. Yes. Both of those parcels have the same 4 Ο. pin number, correct? 5 6 As listed on that table, they do. Yes. 7 That's because you were being overly 8 Q. inclusive and you served two of them for the 9 same property, correct? 10 11 Yes. Α. 12 And that pin, for the record, is Ο. 13 01-32-506-001, correct? 14 Α. Yes. So, for the record, you didn't serve 15 Q. the CN, Canadian National Railroad, via personal 16 17 service, did you? 18 Well, we described it as personal Α. 19 service because we used an overnight delivery 20 service where they bring it in and personally hand it to the folks. So, in our mind, that was 21 22 personal service.

08:22:15PM

08:22:38PM

	1	Q. But you also didn't serve You also
	2	didn't serve the Canadian National Railroad via
	3	registered mail return receipt requested, did
	4	you?
	5	A. We did not.
	6	Q. You also didn't serve them via
	7	certified mail return receipt requested, did
	8	you?
	9	
	9	A. No. All of the Canadian The two
08:23:09PM	10	Canadian addresses were served via personal
	11	service.
	12	Q. The rest were all served via certified
	13	mail, correct?
	14	A. Yes.
	15	Q. You can probably have a seat now, if
	16	you want.
	17	And you served the Canadian Central
	18	the exact same way you served the Canadian
	19	National, correct the Chicago Central, the
08:23:35PM	20	way you served the Canadian National, correct?
	21	A. If you're referring to the two
	22	Canadian addresses, that is correct.

Are you aware that the City of West 1 Ο. Chicago annexed both -- annexed the 2 01-32-506-001 parcel, we just discussed, into 3 the city back in 2003? 4 5 I am aware that the zoning map shows Α. 6 that both of those -- that the railroads are in 7 West Chicago. Okay. So you wouldn't dispute they 8 0. were annexed in 2003 to the City of West 9 10 Chicago, would you? I don't know when they were annexed. 11 12 So you don't disagree with me; you Ο. 13 just don't know? 14 Α. I don't -- Correct. 15 Let me ask you a question. Did you or 0. anyone in your office go to the DuPage County 16 17 Treasurer's Office to check the tax bills and 18 where they were sent? 19 No. Α. 20 No one in your office called the Q. 21 treasurer's office and asked that question, did 22 they?

08:24:12PM

08:24:28PN

1	A. I did have help putting that list
2	together, so I don't I don't know if anybody
3	called.
4	Q. Okay. Did you You didn't see the
5	actual railroad tax bills on the DuPage County
6	Treasurer's website as they related to this pin
7	number, did you?
8	A. I do not believe so but, again, I had
9	help putting the list together.
10	Q. Okay. So you don't know whether the
11	actual tax bill was sent to the EJ&E, do you?
12	A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the
13	question?
14	Q. You don't know whether the actual tax
15	bill was sent to the EJ&E Railroad for that pin,
16	do you?
17	You don't know one way or the
18	other?
19	A. Again, the EJ&E Railroad, that was the
20	extra one we sent; is that what you're referring
21	to?
22	Q. No. You sent it to Chicago Central.

08:25:11PM

08:25:47PM

1 The EJ&E did not receive one, at least according 2 to your list. 3 We sent notices to the entities on the Α. list. If it's not on the list, we did not send 4 5 a notice. 6 My question, though, was: You don't 0. 7 know whether the actual -- you can't -- You 8 don't disagree or don't know whether the actual tax bill was sent to the EJ&E Railroad, do you, 9 10 for this particular pin, 01-32-506-001? You 08:26:20PM 11 don't know one way or another? 12 I don't know where tax bills for the Α. EJ&E railroad are sent. 13 That wasn't my question. My question 14 Ο. 15 was: The tax bill for this parcel, 01-32-506-001, you do not know whether or not 16 17 that tax bill was actually sent to the EJ&E and 18 not the Canadian National, do you? 19 If you did, you would have sent it 20 to the EJ&E, correct? 21 Well, again, that's what we 22 determined, and that's where we sent the

08:27:04PM

1 bills -- or sent the notices. I'm sorrv. Okay. So you did not review the 2 Q. actual bills that were sent by the treasurer's 3 office, correct, for this particular pin or any 4 other railroad pin? 5 6 Α. Well --As you sit here, you don't know who 7 0. 8 the actual tax bills were sent to by the treasurer's office, do you, for this particular 9 10 pin? 11 Α. Again, we look for the addresses and 12 we made the phone calls and that's the 13 information that we had, so that's where we sent 14 the notices. 15 And you didn't go -- You did not or no Q. one in your staff physically went to the DuPage 16 17 County Clerk's Office either, did you? 18 I don't recall anybody going Α. 19 physically to the clerk's office. 20 You don't recall anyone actually 0. 21 calling the DuPage County Clerk's Office and 22 asking what entity was responsible for that

08:27:54PM

08:28:19PN

particular bill for pin 01-32-506-001, do you? 1 As I sit here, I do not recall if 2 3 someone called or not. 4 Okay. You didn't -- No one went to 0. 5 the local township assessor's office or called 6 them, did they? I do not recall that specifically, as 7 Α. I sit here. 8 Okay. And you don't -- You did not 9 Ο. 10 call the Illinois State Department of Revenue and ask who was taxed for pin 01-32-506-001 11 either, did you? 12 Again, I don't recall exactly who we 13 Α. called for each of those. 14 15 You didn't personally go to the Q. Illinois Department of Revenue. You know that, 16 17 correct? I did not. 18 Α. 19 You don't recall ever pulling the 0. 20 certification of assessments of railroad 21 property for DuPage County from either the state 22 or DuPage County, do you?

08:28:56PM

08:29:21PN

	1	A. I do not recall that.
	2	Q. Instead, you served the Canadian
	3	National Railroad at an address in Canada via
	4	United Parcel Service for pin 01-32-506-001,
	5	correct?
	6	A. We did.
	7	Q. And your affidavit does not state that
	8	you actually served the EJ&E Railroad at 17641
	9	South Ashland Avenue, Homewood, Illinois, with
08:29:56PM	10	the pre-filing notice, does it?
	11	A. It does not.
	12	Q. That's because you never served the
	13	EJ&E Railroad at 17641 South Ashland Avenue in
	14	Homewood with the pre-filing notice, did you?
	15	A. We did not.
	16	MR. LEUTKEHANS: Can I have a moment,
	17	Mr. Hearing Officer?
	18	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Yes.
	19	(Discussion off the record.)
08:31:00PM	20	MR. LEUTKEHANS: Mr. Hearing Officer,
	21	at this point at this point, I am going to
	22	serve on counsel and yourself a motion to

dismiss the complaint for lack of pre-filing --1 2 serving proper parties with pre-filing notice, 3 and attached to that the motion will be 4 certified copies from the County Clerk's Office, 5 the DuPage County Treasurer's Office, which are 6 the authentic tax records of DuPage County, 7 which is who you have to get the tax records from, that the proper notice is to go to the 8 9 EJ&E Railroad, and that's where the tax bills 10 go, at 17641 South Ashland Avenue, Homewood, 11 Illinois. 12 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: I'll take that under advisement. I'll give the applicant an 13 14 opportunity to respond. Meanwhile, we'll 15 continue with the hearing. 16 MR. LEUTKEHANS: If I could just have a 17 second to hand them out. 18 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: We're not going 19 to decide it here in the next few minutes, 20 Mr. Leutkehans. 21 Do you have other questions? 22 MR. LEUTKEHANS: Yeah, we do. We're

08:31:37PM

08:32:09PM

1 going to be here a little while. I promise. 2 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: I don't want to 3 take the time we have for paper. I'm not going 4 to decide it right now. MR. LEUTKEHANS: I'm just handing them 5 6 out. HEARING OFFICER PRICE: But you're not 7 asking questions, which you could be doing while 8 9 someone does that. BY MR. LEUTKEHANS: 10 To continue, regarding Criterion 1, 11 Q. 12 you're not saying, are you, that there is not 13 enough capacity in the proposed service area to support the amount of waste currently being 14 15 generated in the service area, are you? 16 Tf T I believe that that is correct. 17 understood your question correctly, we do acknowledge that if you look at the permitted 18 19 capacity of the two other transfer stations in 20 the service area, that they add up to about 21 4,500 tons per day. 22 Actually -- Okay. The amount of --Q.

08:33:09PM

08:33:42PM

Let me ask this question: Have you looked at 1 the fact that other transfer stations actually 2 service the service area, other than just those 3 4 two? 5 We did not look at the permitted Α. capacities. But, again, we acknowledge that 6 7 waste from within the service area is leaving the service area and going to transfer stations 8 9 outside the service area. But there are also transfer stations 10 Ο. 11 that include your service area and part of their 12 service area, correct, even though they are not located in it? 13 14 Let me back up. Service areas 15 overlap, even if you're not in it, right? I 16 mean, your service area overlaps with Bluff 17 City's service area, for example, correct? I don't know what Bluff City's service 18 Α. 19 area is. 20 So you haven't look at that? Q. 21 Α. No. 22 You don't know that, approximately, Q.

08:34:23PM

08:35:02PM

over 6,500 tons of capacity exist in your 1 2 service area from transfer stations serving your service area? 3 We did not add up the capacity of 4 transfer stations outside our service area. 5 6 Again, we acknowledge that the waste outside our 7 service area is going to those transfer 8 stations. Many of which include DuPage County or 9 10 other parts of your service area as part of their service area, correct? 11 12 They could, sure. Α. 13 And the Groot facility down the street is permitted for 3,000 tons per day of municipal 14 solid waste; is that right? 15 16 Yes. Α. 17 The report stated the Groot facility Q. is only utilizing, approximately, 1,000 of those 18 19 3,000 tons per day capacity; is that correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 You're not aware of any overflow of 0. 22 waste on the tipping floor at the Groot facility

08:36:04PM

08:35:37PM

within the operation, are you? 1 2 I wouldn't know. You also have never seen the Groot 3 Ο. 4 facility cutting off trucks waiting in line at 5 the end of the day, have you? 6 Α. No. Again, I wouldn't know. 7 Okay. But you don't have any evidence 0. of that, either personally or any -- you don't 8 have any anecdotal evidence of that occurring 9 10 either, correct? No, not at the Groot transfer station. 11 12 Okay. And you also have never seen Ο. 13 trucks or been told that trucks at the end of the day not being allowed to dump their load 14 15 because the Groot facility was closed, have you? 16 I have not. Α. 17 In fact, you have submitted no 0. evidence whatsoever in your report that the 18 19 Groot facility or any other facility serving 20 your proposed service area is proposed to have 21 overflow waste on the tipping floor at the 22 beginning of the operations, do you?

08:37:01PM

08:36:34PM

No. I don't think we said anything 1 Α. 2 about that. 3 Okay. Your report doesn't mention Ο. 4 anything of -- about waste transfer stations in 5 your service area or serving your service area 6 that are operating beyond operational capacity, 7 does it? 8 No. Α. Is your group putting over in your 9 0. 10 needs analysis of about 600 to 650 tons a day a 11 proposed cap on the out throughput or is it more 12 of a current estimate? It's proposed as a maximum capacity or 13 Α. 14 a cap. 15 Do you present in your application a Q. request for a cap of 650 tons per day? 16 17 Α. Well, I guess I thought it was pretty 18 clearly stated that -- you know, the amount 19 we're proposing in a breakdown by the type of 20 material we're planning to accept. 21 So you have no problem with West 22 Chicago putting that cap on it is what you're

08:37:39PM

08:38:08PN

	1	saying?
	2	A. No.
	3	Q. You were the engineer on the ERGS Will
	4	County facility that's cited in here, correct?
	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. The original capacity approved at the
	7	siting hearing for that ERGS facility was how
	8	much per day?
	9	A. Could you clarify your question?
08:38:38PM	10	Q. Yes. The original daily capacity
	11	approved at the original siting hearing by
	12	Rockdale, right?
	13	A. It was Rockdale.
	14	Q. What was the capacity approved at that
	15	siting hearing?
	16	A. I don't recall the exact number as I
	17	sit here, but my recollection is that there was
	18	an amount that was approved and then there was
	19	an additional amount that could be approved at a
08:39:10PM	20	later date by Rockdale.
	21	Q. Would those amounts be 300 tons
	22	originally approved and 600 tons approved

that it could be added up to 600 at some later 1 2 date? 3 I'm not arguing that those numbers are Α. 4 incorrect. 5 At some point, then, the EPA had 0. 6 modified that capacity to 1,080 tons a day a 7 couple years later, correct? I don't know. 8 Α. You don't know that it didn't happen. 9 0. 10 Do you recall at all that the EPA, a few years 11 later, increased the capacity and the permit for 12 that? Were you involved in that? 13 I was aware of it, but I was not Α. involved with it. 14 15 Okay. So you're aware it did happen, Q. 16 you just don't know the exact tonnage? 17 Α. When I say -- You know, the waste business is a small industry, and so I did hear 18 19 of it; but I was not involved and didn't see the 20 decision or anything like that. 21 So you're not aware that both the IEPA 22 and ERDS took the position in the siting hearing

08:39:46PM

08:40:10PN

that it was not necessary, even though the daily 1 2 Groot amount went from 300 or 600 to 1,080 tons 3 per day again; you're not aware of that? Again, I was generally aware but I 4 didn't see the numbers and I didn't see the 5 6 decision. 7 Okay. And you're aware that the 0. public never had the opportunity to have a 8 siting hearing from the increase from 600 tons 9 10 per day to 1080, correct? 11 Again, I wasn't involved with the 12 process, so I don't know exactly what occurred 13 or didn't occur. 14 0. But you know there was no second 15 siting hearing, correct? You remember that? 16 I do understand that there was not a 17 second siting hearing. 18 Okay. And without a second siting Ο. 19 hearing, there's no public hearing to determine 20 whether the increased traffic or increased 21 pollution is problematic to the public, correct? 22 Would you agree with me?

08:40:42PM

08:41:05PM

	1	A. I don't know what the IEPA considered
	2	to make their decision.
	3	Q. Okay. But you know there was no
	4	siting hearing?
	5	A. I'm pretty certain I would have heard
	6	of that if it occurred.
	7	Q. Okay. One of the things you make a
	8	point of throughout your report and your
	9	testimony is that the Groot facility down the
08:41:35PM	10	street is the only transfer waste station in
	11	DuPage County, correct?
	12	A. That can accept municipal solid waste?
	13	Q. Yes.
	14	A. Then, yes.
	15	Q. Okay. There are only There are two
	16	current municipal waste or MSW transfer
	17	stations in the service area, correct, you said
	18	that?
	19	A. Yes.
08:41:53PM	20	Q. I'm going to show you what we've
	21	marked as PWC Exhibit 151-A.
	22	Mr. Hock, do you recognize PWC

	1	151-A?
	2	(PWC Exhibit No. 151-A marked
	3	for identification.)
	4	BY THE WITNESS:
	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. And this was Just for the record,
	7	you had presented sections or all of the
	8	preliminary applications to the city and APTIM
	9	over the years, correct, before this final
08:43:23PM	10	application?
	11	A. We did.
	12	Q. And one of the prior versions of the
	13	preliminary application used this as the service
	14	area, correct?
	15	A. Yes. This was a draft version, as its
	16	noted on the bottom.
	17	Q. Yes. And that draft version included
	18	four additional townships in Kane County,
	19	correct?
08:43:45PM	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. And the it included two in Cook
	22	County that have now been removed, one where the

1	Bluff City Transfer Station is, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And that report Your preliminary
4	report you gave to APTIM back in September 2020
5	was based on a much larger service area,
6	correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. The four townships in the original
9	proposed service area in Kane County also
10	included the third waste transfer station, which
11	is now the Elburn LRS station, correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Your current service area and proposed
14	service area goes all the way down to Shorewood
15	in Will County, correct?
16	A. Well, it includes the two townships in
17	Will County.
18	Q. If you look at your map, it says
19	Shorewood down in the farther in the DuPage
20	Township? Or do you not know whether Shorewood
21	is in DuPage Township?
22	A. Shorewood is in Will County and it's

08:45:04PM

08:44:28PM

much farther -- it's farther south than the 1 2 township shown on this map. Okay. So let's go to DuPage Township. 3 Ο. 4 The DuPage Township in Will County, do you know 5 what the travel time is between your proposed 6 facility and that portion of your proposed service area? 7 I'm sorry. Could you ask the question 8 Α. 9 again? 10 So that proposed -- That township in Q. Will County, which is part of your proposed 11 12 service area, which is DuPage Township, do you know the travel time between that part of the 13 14 proposed service area and the proposed waste 15 transfer station? 16 Well, I mean, the travel times, 17 obviously, are dependent on the time of day and a number of factors --18 19 Would you agree with me that the 0. 20 travel time between DuPage Township and the --21 sorry -- my eyesight is awful -- and the township where the Bluff City -- the Cook County 22

08:46:05PN

08:45:36PM

1 Township, where the Bluff City Transfer Station was, that the Bluff City Transfer Station 2 township is much closer to the proposed waste 3 transfer station? 4 If what you're asking is, you know --5 Α. 6 I think it's Hanover Township is closer to the 7 proposed facility than DuPage Township in Will County, yes, that's obvious. 8 Okay. But you cut that township out 9 Ο. 10 of your service area, correct? 11 Yes. Α. 12 So your original service area had a Ο. total of four other -- had four other waste 13 transfer stations in it, but now you're down to 14 15 two; is that fair to say? 16 Correct. Α. 17 Would you agree with me that a waste Q. transfer station does not have to be in your 18 19 proposed service area to actually serve a part 20 of that service area? 21 Α. Yes. 22 In fact, there are 21 waste transfer Q.

08:46:44PM

08:47:19PN

1 stations, according to a report, within, approximately, 15 miles of the proposed service 2 3 area, correct? 4 Yes. Α. 5 Also, do you know -- Isn't it true Q. 6 that there are also 10 waste transfer stations 7 that service your proposed service area? Again, we didn't look at it because we 8 Α. didn't consider that relevant to our argument or 9 demonstration. 10 11 Would you be surprised if there were 12 10 transfer stations that service your service 13 area? 14 Α. No. 15 Only two of them are actually in the Q. service area, though, correct? 16 17 Yes. Α. In fact, you believe that the Bluff 18 19 City Transfer Station accepts waste from the service area, don't you? 20 21 I believe that it does. Α. It's just a few miles north of the 22 Q.

08:47:49PM

08:48:19PN

1	DuPage Cou	unty border, correct?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	And it's just a few miles north of
4	your propo	osed service area, correct?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	In fact, as you said earlier, 21 waste
7	transfer s	stations are within 15 miles. Those
8	are operat	ed by four different companies,
9	correct?	
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Those companies are Waste Management;
12	Waste Conr	nections, also known as Groot; Republic
13	Services;	and LRS?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	In fact, LRS operates 3 of those
16	21 waste t	ransfer stations in Illinois, correct?
17	Α.	I'm sorry. Can you ask that again?
18	Q.	LRS operates 3 of those 21 waste
19	transfer s	stations that you list as being located
20	within 15	miles of the service area, correct?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	That 15 miles was something you

08:48:41PM

08:49:10PM

determined was important enough to put in your 1 application, correct? 2 3 We were trying to portray a fair Α. 4 depiction of what is around the service area. 5 So there's -- I mean, we know that there's some 6 that -- We believe that there's some that do not serve the service area. We've discussed that in 7 the report. So we actually go through the 8 9 report and describe which ones we believe do and which ones we believe do not. 10 Okay. But that 15 miles you said was 11 0. 12 a fair -- you thought it was a fair estimate of 13 ones to look at, correct? Again, we just wanted to -- it wasn't 14 15 as much based on what serves the service area. 16 It's giving a reasonable depiction of the Waste 17 Management system proximate to our service area. 18 You thought 15 miles was a reasonable Ο. 19 depiction, correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 One of the main basis in your 22 Criterion 1 analysis is a lack of competition

08:49:47PM

08:50:10PM

and lack of vertical integration; is that fair 1 2 to say? There's clearly a lack of competition 3 Α. and it's a lack of vertical integration by 4 5 companies in the service area, yes. 6 And would you disagree with me that 7 there are no areas within your proposed service area that are not already in the service area 8 9 with at least two separate -- separately owned 10 waste transfer stations? 11 Α. Could you repeat that again? 12 Yeah. There's not one area -- not one Ο. 13 household in your service area, to your knowledge, that is not currently served by at 14 15 least two other separately owned waste transfer stations? 16 So certainly Waste Connections and 17 Α. Waste Management are both vertically integrated 18 19 within the service area. Whether they serve the 20 entirety of that service area or whether those 21 transfer stations do, I don't know, but they 22 could be serviced by, you know, other transfer

08:50:44PM

08:51:20PM

stations outside. So, yes, I do believe that 1 2 the entire service area that Waste Management 3 and Waste Connections are vertically integrated 4 in the service area. 5 I guess that wasn't my question. Q. 6 Α. 0h. 7 My question is: There is no other --0. no area in your proposed service area who is not 8 already served by at least two waste transfer 9 10 stations, correct, to your knowledge? 11 Α. Not to my knowledge. 12 In fact, some of them -- Would you be Ο. 13 surprised if some of those have five or six different waste transfer stations that serve 14 15 those areas? 16 It's possible. I'd have to look more Α. 17 closely at it. That sounds like a large number. It be correct, wouldn't it, that no 18 Ο. 19 single company has any area in your proposed 20 service area that does not compete with another 21 waster transfer station? You don't know of any 22 area, correct, where there is no competition

08:52:27PM

08:51:53PM

between waste transfer stations in your service 1 2 area? 3 I am not. Again, our argument is that Α. 4 there is --5 We have all heard your argument. 0. 6 don't mean to cut you off, but -- Isn't it true 7 that -- You're not saying, are you, that the large haulers are colluding to setting the 8 prices or colluding to ensure that other haulers 9 are not able to use their waste transfer station 10 11 or compete? There's no collusion going on, is 12 there, to your knowledge? We're not accusing anybody of 13 Α. 14 collusion. 15 In fact, you agree that the federal Q. 16 government, in particular the DOJ, keeps an eye on the waste hauling industry in this area for 17 the purpose of ensuring that no one is obtaining 18 19 an unfair advantage in this industry, correct? 20 Α. I'm aware that collusion is illegal. 21 And you're aware that the DOJ -- you 0. 22 talked about it before -- made Waste Management

08:53:03PM

08:53:25PN

1 divest certain assets to make sure that there 2 was still competition in the area, correct? 3 Α. After Waste Management and Advanced Disposal merged, they did make the combined 4 5 companies divest some of their assets in this 6 area. So the DOJ got involved? 7 0. 8 Yes. Α. To ensure competition and ensure --9 Q. 10 ensure there was no monopoly, correct? 11 Α. Well, they were involved. What they 12 were able to accomplish is, I guess, another 13 question. But it's clear that that would signify 14 Q. 15 the Department of Justice has not ignored the waste industry of northern Illinois, has it? 16 17 Α. I don't know what -- I mean, I know the DOJ was involved. Whether it's 18 19 characterized as ignoring or not ignoring, you 20 know --21 You spent a couple of pages talking 0. 22 about the Department of Justice's involvement in

08:53:56PM

08:54:30PN

	1	your report, correct?
	2	A. We did talk about it.
	3	Q. Yeah. GFL received significant assets
	4	or some assets, at least, in that divestiture,
	5	correct?
	6	A. They did.
	7	Q. One of those was Zion; is that
	8	correct?
	9	A. If you're referring to the Zion
08:55:03PM	10	landfill, that is correct.
	11	Q. And, LRS, do they have an agreement
	12	with GFL for that landfill to give them so much
	13	of the capacity on an annual basis, to your
	14	knowledge?
	15	A. I have a general awareness that there
	16	is an agreement between the two companies.
	17	Q. Okay. Just Let's be clear. LRS
	18	does have vertical integration, correct, just
	19	not in your new service area; is that correct?
08:55:31PM	20	A. They do have vertical integration in
	21	other locations.
	22	Q. In fact, LRS has vertical integration

1 in the original proposed service area that is in 2 PWC Exhibit 151-A, correct? They do in Kane County, which is 3 Α. honestly why we moved it from the original draft 4 5 because when we -- when we had prepared this 6 originally, Lakeshore did not own those transfer 7 stations. 8 My question is: LRS has vertical 0. integration in the original proposed service 9 10 area, correct? 11 Α. In portions. 12 Okay. When you talk about vertical Ο. 13 integration in DuPage County, Waste Management doesn't have vertical integration in DuPage 14 15 County, does it? 16 I think it does. 17 Do they have a waste transfer station Q. in DuPage County? 18 19 Let me, actually, clarify my 20 statement. So they, obviously, have the Batavia 21 Transfer Station, which is just outside the 22 DuPage County border with Kane County. So they

08:56:16PM

08:56:48PM

certainly have some vertical integration in 1 DuPage County. 2 Okay. But they don't have a -- They 3 Ο. 4 don't have a waste transfer station in DuPage 5 County, correct? 6 Α. They do not. 7 Do you know how much of the DuPage 0. County waste goes to a Waste Management transfer 8 station? 9 The best way to estimate it would be 10 Α. simply to just look at the population of the 11 12 communities that they serve and you can come up with an estimate. But if you're asking -- That 13 would be a good way to estimate it. 14 15 You don't know that answer as you sit Q. 16 here? 17 Α. No. In fact, Republic doesn't have 18 0. 19 vertical integration in your proposed service 20 area, does it? 21 I would say it definitely does not. Α. 22 Q. Despite this lack of vertical

08:57:18PM

08:57:54PM

integration in DuPage County that LRS is 1 2 complaining about in the service area, LRS still 3 has obtained numerous municipal contracts in the 4 service area, correct? 5 They do currently have the contracts Α. 6 that I listed. So, yes, there are 7 municipalities that they currently haul in the service area. 8 In fact, they have eight of those 9 Ο. municipalities that they are servicing directly, 10 11 correct? 12 I'd have to look it back up and add up Α. 13 the number. 14 Q. Would you disagree that they have 15 eight? I don't know. I just simply have to 16 Α. 17 look back at the same map. 18 Well, I counted them up in the Ο. 19 report -- unless I can't count, which is 20 possible -- and I got eight. It might be seven, 21 might be nine, I might wrong, but about eight 22 sounds about right, correct?

08:58:30PM

08:58:55PM

	1	A. I'm not disagreeing with you. If
	2	that's what we listed in the report, that's
	3	correct.
	4	Q. Can you go to Page 1-21 of your
	5	application, the LRS application?
	6	A. Sorry. What page?
	7	Q. 1-21. I'm sorry. Tell me when you're
	8	there.
	9	A. I'm there.
08:59:37PM	10	Q. I see about the middle of the second
	11	full paragraph, The active landfills in regions
	12	to and from, 1995 through 2020, are listed on
	13	Table 1-6.
	14	Do you see that?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. Can you find Table 1-6 for me?
	17	A. I'm there.
	18	Q. Where is it, because I couldn't find
	19	it?
09:00:20PM	20	A. It's in the tables section.
	21	Q. Okay. It's not in the actual section
	22	of the report; is that fair to say?

It's not in the narrative portion, no. 1 2 It's behind it. Okay. Going back to vertical 3 Ο. 4 integration, LRS has three transfer stations 5 surrounding the proposed service area, correct? 6 Well, they have the one in Elburn and 7 then they have others in Cook County. 8 Okay. LRS also has -- they also have Q. two others in Cook County, correct? 9 10 Are you referring to Forest View and Α. Maywood? 11 12 I'm referring to the two you Ο. mentioned -- you had in Cook County in the 13 14 report. I don't remember the name. 15 They actually -- There's two Α. Yeah. others shown on the map in Cook County. There's 16 17 one in Northbrook and one in Rolling Meadows. They don't really -- I mean, they don't -- Those 18 19 four don't take substantive waste from the 20 service area. There is some that goes to 21 Maywood. 22 Are you saying there's five waste Q.

09:00:57PM

1 transfer stations between Cook and Kane County currently that LRS has? 2 3 Α. Yes. Okay. LRS is not at small player in 4 0. 5 this industry -- the municipal waste industry, 6 are they? 7 I described them as a midsized Α. company. They are, obviously, much smaller than 8 a large publicly traded company, but they are a 9 10 substantial company. 11 In fact, they are the largest private 12 waste company in Illinois, aren't they? I wouldn't argue that point. 13 Α. 14 They are amongst the largest private Q. 15 waste companies in this country; isn't that 16 correct? 17 I would guess they are on the larger Α. 18 size. 19 Would you disagree with me that during 0. 20 the several years prior to 2021, LRS companies 21 have been newly awarded and transitioned more 22 municipal contracted services than any other

09:02:03PM

09:02:26PN

waste provider throughout the Chicago and 1 metropolitan area? 2 I don't know. 3 Α. As of March 2021, would you disagree 4 0. 5 they held franchise agreements with 35 6 municipalities? 7 Α. I wouldn't disagree with that. 8 So even without this fifth waste 0. 9 transfer station or sixth waste transfer station in the area, LRS has still been competitive in 10 the industry, hasn't it? They still get 11 12 contracts, right? 13 They have won contracts. Α. 14 Q. Most of the Lakeshore recycling and 15 MSW, the municipal solid waste, is now going 16 to -- at least that serve this area, DuPage County and Kane, is going to LRS's own facility 17 in Elburn, isn't it? 18 19 I think we, actually, described Yes. Α. 20 it in the application. So the communities that 21 are on the far western extent are going to 22 Elburn. Give me just a moment to go to the map.

09:03:08PM

1 Wheaton is currently going to 2 Batavia and Lisle, the first -- The first round that gets picked up, actually, goes to their 3 4 transfer station in Maywood, and then the second 5 round goes back to the transfer station in 6 Batavia and for parking again back at the West 7 DuPage facility. 8 So the determination was made that the Ο. trucking costs, I assume, were higher than the 9 10 difference in tipping -- or hauling rate at the Batavia facility for Wheaton waste, that's why 11 12 it goes to Batavia and not Elburn, correct? 13 Α. I'm sorry. I kind of lost you. That was an awful question, so I 14 Q. 15 There's, obviously, a differential apologize. 16 in transportation costs between Wheaton to 17 Elburn versus Wheaton to Batavia, correct? Yes. 18 Α. 19 You have to say yes. She can't take 0. 20 down a nod. LRS isn't in the business of having 21 22 to pay more to haul waste and have it collected

09:04:39PM

09:05:09PM

1 by someone else or given to someone else. 2 That's not their goal. Their goal is to do it as cheaply as possible, correct, it's every 3 4 company's goal? 5 Sure. Just to be clear, price is Α. 6 obviously an important factor. There are some 7 operational considerations that go into that calculus as to where they send waste and why, 8 but cost is certainly a major factor. 9 10 Those calculations are nowhere in your 0. 11 report that you just mentioned? 12 Well, they are not because what Α. happens today may not be the situation tomorrow. 13 14 0. Okay. But today -- All we have is 15 today, right? We have today. We have 16 yesterday. I agree we don't know tomorrow. 17 But today's calculations of fees that you have to pay to the transportation -- to 18 19 the transfer stations that LRS does not own 20 versus the transportation costs, that 21 calculation is nowhere in the report, is it? What we provide is, for instance. 22 Α. No.

09:05:47PM

09:06:16PM

1 an affidavit from a Lakeshore representative 2 that confirms that the information in here is 3 accurate. We don't know the actual -- We have no 4 0. breakdown of the costs there, correct? We can't 5 6 do that calculations with the information we 7 have here in front of us, correct? The calculations aren't in here 8 Α. because they are, again, a business decision 9 that's based on a lot of different factors; 10 11 although, I agree with you, they are trying to 12 do what is most economical. That's the whole 13 point of this whole discussion is that the pricing that they have received has caused them 14 15 to do things that aren't the most efficient. 16 The desire for this transfer station 0. 17 is also a business decision, correct? 18 Yes. Α. 19 Okay. So you're not an economist, are 0. 20 you? 21 I am not. Α. 22 Q. Nowhere in your report does it

09:07:26PM

09:06:56PM

actually provide any data or dollar amounts as 1 to what, if any, dollar savings the customer is 2 going to obtain by the addition of this new 3 4 waste transfer station down the street from the 5 current facility, does it? When you say "customer," do you mean a 6 7 municipality? 8 Either one. Pick one. It's not in 0. 9 there for either one, is it? 10 Again, these are determinations made Α. 11 on a number of factors. That's why we provided 12 all of the examples of things that have occurred and made the point of, yes, they need to be 13 fully vertically integrated if they are going to 14 15 be able to be efficient going forward and hopefully they continue to provide these 16 17 benefits. 18 You have given us a lot of examples. Ο. 19 No doubt about it. What I don't see in here 20 anywhere is data. Am I missing it? That's why we demonstrated what's 21 No. 22 going on today.

09:08:28PM

09:07:55PM

1 Anecdotally. Okay. At no point in Q. 2 this entire report does anyone try to do an 3 actual economic dollar and cents analysis of the effect of this, quote, increased competition, 4 5 does it? 6 Α. No. You're going into the future, 7 which is difficult to predict, other than it clearly positions them much better to continue 8 9 to compete. Now, to be able to predict how they 10 might bid on a contract or how anybody else 11 might bid on a contract, you know, a year or two 12 years from now is not -- it's not something that 13 can be done. 14 Are you telling me Lakeshore Recycling 15 hasn't done any projections as to the change in 16 costs based on a new waste transfer station, they are going to spend all this money and they 17 18 have never done that projection? 19 The problem is we don't know the Α. 20 comparative costs. So they do know what they 21 would spend to build this facility and my belief 22 is that if they could avoid spending millions of

09:09:09PM

09:09:33PN

dollars to do this, they would. But the problem 1 2 is that others have control of major cost items 3 that could be driven so high that, again, they 4 are not able to compete on a fair level. I know. 5 You keep saying that, but I 0. 6 still haven't seen any data on this competition. 7 That's what I'm trying to get into. 8 Is their data -- I assume they had 9 projections. They had assumptions, like we all 10 do when we do projections. They are not dumb. 11 They are smarter than I am. And nowhere in this 12 report does it have those assumptions and those 13 projections of savings to the consumer, to the municipality, does it, correct, in dollars and 14 15 cents? 16 MR. MUELLER: Asked and answered. 17 HEARING OFFICER PRICE: You can answer. BY THE WITNESS: 18 19 Because I don't think it would be No. Α. 20 reasonably possible to provide. 21 Okay. Another thing you talk about in 0. 22 your report on Page 1-32, the electronics

09:10:37PM

09:10:13PM

products recycling and reuse act. 1 Do you recall that? Do you recall 2 talking about the fact you're going to have 3 electronic recycling? 4 Yes. 5 Α. The page number, I just gave it to 6 Q. 7 I don't think it matters much. 8 Down the street, Groot provides free electronic disposal, doesn't it? 9 10 I don't what exact services they Α. provide for electronic waste. 11 12 Okay. And nothing in your report says Ο. the Groot facility is somehow at capacity as it 13 relates to electronic disposal, does it? 14 15 I'm sorry. Could you ask that again. Α. 16 Nowhere in your report does it state 0. 17 that the Groot facility is somehow at capacity as it relates to electronic disposal, does it? 18 19 No. Α. 20 Let's go to Section 1.71 of your Q. 21 I think it's titled "Increased report. 22 Competition and Transfer Capacity, Page 1-38.

09:11:09PM

09:11:33PM

1	A. I'm sorry. 1-30?
2	Q. 38. I'm sorry. That section talks
3	about Strike that.
4	You mentioned it earlier in your
5	report, and I think you mentioned earlier for
6	George Strom, which is actually attached to
7	Appendix 1-B2.
8	Do you recall that letter?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. In fact, Mr. Strom is now vice
11	president of Lakeshore Recycling, correct?
12	A. Yes. Again, they sold to Lakeshore,
13	and George stayed on.
14	Q. In fact, he has been going to
15	political fundraisers in DuPage and Kane over
16	the last year or so on behalf of LRS, correct?
17	A. I don't know what George does day in
18	and day out.
19	Q. Okay. You state in your report that,
20	quote, A key reason Roy Strom sold to LRS is the
21	exact reason discussed above: Diminishing
22	ability to compete in the market, due to rising

09:12:22PM

09:12:44PM

1	disposal costs caused by a lack of competition.
2	Do you recall that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. In fact, however, in his letter,
5	Mr. Strom actually says, doesn't he, that,
6	quote, The biggest challenge to Greenwood
7	Transfer in the longer term would have been the
8	reduced options for the competitive price at the
9	landfill. Isn't that what he says?
10	A. I'm just trying to find where you're
11	reading from.
12	Q. Do you have the letter?
13	A. I do now.
14	Q. He said I think it's the second
15	page, if I remember correct, towards the bottom.
16	He says, quote, The biggest
17	challenge for Greenwood Transfer in the longer
18	term would have been the reduced options for a
19	competitive price at the landfill, end quote.
20	Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. He doesn't say that the biggest

09:13:35PM

09:13:55PM

challenge was a lack of independent waste 1 2 transfer stations, does he? 3 Well, the point is it was the same Α. 4 I mean, Strom has a transfer station concept. 5 in Maywood. They have control of their own 6 pricing there. What they didn't have control of 7 was the pricing at the landfills. We're not trying to site a landfill. 8 0. We're trying to site a waste transfer station. 9 10 So I'm asking the question: Did he 11 say anything in that letter about a problem with 12 competition in the waste transfer station 13 industry? Well, in the last sentence he says, To 14 15 be more specific as to why this should be 16 approved is it will increase the disposal 17 competition in the DuPage County market, which 18 will drive disposal prices down and allow for 19 lower prices to communities and business and 20 bring a lifeline to the few nonnational waste 21 haulers that are left. 22 That's from the LRS vice president, Q.

09:14:34PM

09:14:59PM

correct, that sales pitch? 1 That's what the letter says. 2 3 Yeah. He's an LRS vice president. Ο. 4 correct, when he wrote the letter? It's on LRS letterhead, correct? 5 Well, he is now, since they sold. 6 At the time he writes the letter, he 7 0. 8 is an LRS vice president, is he not? He is. And he was writing about why 9 Α. 10 the company sold. And the biggest challenge was the 11 12 competitive pricing of the landfill is what he says, right? That's what he says, the exact 13 14 words. Let's discuss the letters from 15 municipal governments. Okay. And that's 16 attached to Appendix 1-B4. And you provided, I 17 18 think, four of them; is that right? 19 Let me know when you're there. 20 There's five. 21 There are five letters in Appendix Α. 22 1-B4.

09:15:31PM

09:16:13PM

The first is from the City of 1 Right. 0. 2 Wheaton, correct? 3 Yes. Α. 4 That's an LRS customer, correct? 0. Yes. 5 Α. 6 And the next two are from the Village Q. 7 of Forest View and the Village of Hinckley, correct? 8 9 Yes. Α. And neither Forest View or Hinckley is 10 0. in the service area, is it? 11 12 No. Α. In fact Hinckley is in DeKalb, which 13 is on the other side -- which is by the Elburn 14 Transfer Station, correct -- in fact, it's 15 further west, actually, or maybe north -- or 16 17 south. Sorry. 18 I'd have to look at a map again. Α. 19 Trust me. Hinckley is south. 0. 20 fourth one you state is a letter of support for 21 approving the local siting and it's a letter from the Village of Lisle. 22

09:16:37PM

09:17:11PM

1	Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. However, this letter never even
4	mentioned the LRS proposed waste station, does
5	it?
6	A. The point of this letter was simply
7	that Lisle is a community that we see benefits
8	by, you know, including lower prices from
9	Lakeshore bidding on it and, yeah, this is a
10	letter of support of Lakeshore.
11	Q. Of Lakeshore, but not of the transfer
12	station, which is what the report says. It's of
13	Lakeshore, correct?
14	In fact, the words "transfer
15	station proposed" is not anywhere in the letter,
16	is it?
17	A. Not this letter.
18	Q. Okay. But this is the letter you
19	submitted, so I can only go with that. There's
20	a fifth letter from the Village of Atkinson,
21	which you don't mention in your report, but it's
22	attached in this section, correct?

09:17:58PM

09:18:28PM

	1	A. It is.
	2	Q. For the record, Atkinson is where LRS
	3	has its landfill, correct?
	4	A. Yes.
	5	Q. Does LRS paid host fees to Atkinson?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. Atkinson is not anywhere near the
	8	service area, correct?
	9	A. No. As I mentioned, it's the location
09:18:56PM	10	where the landfill is located.
	11	Q. It's about 120 to 130 miles from here,
	12	correct?
	13	A. Yeah, it's a long way.
	14	Q. In fact, this letter from Atkinson
	15	doesn't even mention the words "waste transfer
	16	station," does it?
	17	A. No.
	18	Q. Okay. Let's talk about the letters of
	19	support from the waste haulers in Appendix 1B-3.
09:19:17PM	20	Were these letters drafted initially by the
	21	waste haulers or were they provided by you or
	22	LRS to the waste haulers?

1 Α. These were obtained by others, so I 2 don't know the exact process in terms of how --3 exactly how this letter was obtained. 4 Do you know that 9 of the 11 letters 5 say that LRS should be commended for trying to 6 get this waste transfer station, they used the word "commended"? 7 8 I do see that word and -- in most of Α. these. 9 For your citizen's correspondence in 10 Q. 11 Appendix 1B-6, have you heard it said from 12 anybody at the City of West Chicago or anybody at LRS that the citizen's letters or e-mails 13 that went in didn't mean a lot to the City of 14 15 West Chicago because they were all form e-mails? 16 Did you ever hear anybody talk about that? 17 Α. Well, it is a form letter. 18 I'm not talking about this letter. 19 The e-mails -- You heard a bunch of e-mails went 20 to the city council at West Chicago regarding 21 this project, correct? You never heard that? 22

09:20:26PM

09:20:59PN

We weren't -- We weren't involved with 1 Α. 2 that. 3 Okay. But you are aware that each one Ο. 4 of these letters is a form letter people just sign and -- there's nothing different about any 5 6 of these 113 letters than the others, other than 7 the name and address, correct? Correct, they are a form letter. 8 Α. Okay. Do you know that only three of 9 0. these people actually live in the City of West 10 Chicago of those 113? 11 12 I don't. I don't know that -- I don't Α. 13 know that it matters, but ... 14 Q. One of them is actually Mesa, Arizona. 15 Did you see that? 16 I'm not arguing that it's not there. Α. If you point me to it. 17 18 You're not arguing that it's also part 0. of your service area, correct, Mesa? 19 20 Α. Obviously, it's not. 21 Fair enough. Do you know that eight 0. 22 of the addresses listed are actually the LRS

09:21:30PM

09:22:06PN

Powis Road facility? 1 2 Sure. I mean, there's a number of, Α. 3 you know, people that work who are citizens as well, and if they want to express their support, 4 5 we weren't going to stop them. 6 Okay. But there's more people from 0. LRS -- I think it's 1665 Powis Road -- signed 7 8 letters than residents of West Chicago; is that 9 fair to say? 10 Eight is more than three, right? 11 Α. I agree that eight is more than three. 12 Okay. Beginning on Page 1-42 and on Ο. the next page of your report, you mention the 13 fact that Cook County has a greater waste 14 15 transfer station presence, don't you? 16 Yes. Α. 17 As part of your presentation? Q. 18 Yeah. Again, it's -- We were talking Α. 19 about suburban Cook County. 20 Yeah, suburban Cook County. How many 0. 21 waste transfer stations are there in the City of 22 Chicago?

09:22:42PM

09:23:06PN

I don't know exactly. 1 Α. Do you know if you included those from 2 0. the City of Chicago, whether Cook County's 3 4 dispersion rate or whatever population numbers 5 would be similar to DuPage County or your 6 service area, as far as transfer stations --7 number of transfer stations per population? You don't know that calculation, do you? 8 I guess -- We were trying to pick 9 No. 10 an area of similar population density. And, 11 obviously, as you get into the City of Chicago, 12 it's a different population density and it 13 becomes -- it becomes different enough because we were trying to come up with a similar 14 15 analogy. 16 Let's be honest, a lot of these 17 transfer stations serve City of Chicago, correct? A lot of these suburban transfer 18 19 stations, they get waste from the City of 20 Chicago? 21 Α. I'm sure some number of them do. 22 In fact, LRS has a contract with the Q.

09:23:45PM

09:24:13PM

City of Chicago, right? 1 2 They do. Α. 3 Do they take that waste to their own 0. 4 transfer station, do you know? 5 I mean, they have multiple facilities Α. 6 in Chicago. So as with the rest of the haulers, I'm sure that their preference is to take it to 7 their own transfer stations. 8 Okay. You don't consider the density 9 Ο. in suburban Cook County to be similar to that in 10 Aurora Township, do you? 11 12 Aurora Township -- Which one are you Α. 13 referring to? 14 0. That's the one in the bottom left of 15 your service area, southwest corner. 16 Oh, yeah. Of course there's going to be differences in population density across the 17 township to the service area. Again, the point 18 19 was to just try and provide a similar analogy 20 that DuPage County is underserved. 21 Even though it's served by at least 22 ten transfer stations. Okay.

09:25:27PM

09:24:45PM

1 Do any of these suburban towns in Cook County have two waste transfer stations in 2 them? 3 4 I believe that Cook does. 5 Other than in Cook, all these other Q. 6 waste transfer stations, there's only one, 7 correct, in each suburban county? 8 Yeah. I mean, the location of the Α. 9 closest of the two are the one you mentioned 10 before, the one in Rockdale that's just down the 11 street from the Waste Management facility. 12 Your report does not provide any Ο. 13 actual economic data, as it's shown, that the greater density of waste transfer stations has 14 15 provided any cost savings to the customer, does 16 it? 17 It's not. I'm not sure that that Α. 18 would even be possible to calculate. Again, the 19 There's areas of similar simple point was: population density that have a hole -- a 20 21 dramatically greater number of transfer 22 stations.

09:26:04PM

09:26:32PN

Your point is competition. That's the 1 Ο. ultimate -- I mean, your needs analysis is based 2 on additional competition, correct? 3 4 That is one of the key benefits. Α. 5 If the public were to come in 0. Okay. 6 down the street from you -- The Lakeshore 7 facility is permanent. And you're the Groot facility, and Republic was to come in another 8 quarter of a mile away, they would also be 9 10 creating competition, would they not? They would. 11 Α. 12 Okay. Ο. Because they backed out of the area 13 Α. 14 when they did an asset swap with Groot a while 15 back. 16 But that would create -- Any new Ο. 17 transfer station creates competition; isn't that 18 fair to say? 19 It would certainly help. Again, the Α. 20 degree of how much it helps would depend on if 21 and how much that entity is vertically 22 integrated in other factors.

09:27:15PM

09:27:40PN

KATHLEEN W. BONO, CSR, LIMITED 630-834-7779

But we don't know the degree that this 1 Ο. transfer station economically -- from an 2 3 economic standpoint is going to create that competition. We have no percentage, no dollar 4 amount, no data whatsoever, do we? We just have 5 6 your anecdotal statements? 7 I think we have more than anecdotal Α. statements. We gave a number of examples of 8 trying to explain our rationale and reasons, but 9 to put a dollar amount to it is, I think, 10 11 impossible to put together. 12 I think that's what economists do on a Ο. 13 daily basis. Your report talks about additional 14 15 recycling and additional hydro-waste the facility is going to take in, correct? 16 17 Yes. Α. 18 I apologize. I don't know this 0. 19 answer. 20 Can LRS transfer hydro-waste 21 excavation without increasing its municipal 22 waste number?

09:28:34PM

09:28:15PM

I'm sorry. Can you ask that again. 1 Α. Can LRS transfer hydro-waste 2 Q. excavation without a siting plan? 3 4 Let me maybe clarify. To start No. Α. 5 that operation at a facility, we have clarified 6 this with the IEPA, but they consider it a pollution control facility. So for a facility 7 to do it, they would need local siting. 8 assuming it's not in the City of Chicago and all 9 10 the rest of the applicable rules. 11 0. But you don't know need hydro-waste 12 excavation to do a municipal waste -- MSW 13 station, those are two separate things? 14 Α. They are separate operations. 15 And LRS can provide recycling at the 0. 16 Powis Road site, even without the need for 17 permitting use at the facility, correct, they 18 can do that today? 19 Recyclables, in general, are not Α. 20 subject to local siting. So they could say we're going to build 21 22 a larger recycling center today without having

09:29:11PM

09:29:38PN

1 to go get a site -- without a siting hearing, 2 correct? 3 They could. For a variety of reasons, Α. it wouldn't make economical sense. 4 5 But they wouldn't need a siting 0. Okay. 6 hearing, correct? 7 You are correct, you don't need a Α. local siting hearing to receive recyclables. 8 On Page 1-48 of your report it says, 9 10 quote, "As evidenced by letters in support of 11 multiple other haulers servicing the service 12 area, the West DuPage RTS is anticipated to be used by other haulers providing services to the 13 14 service area." 15 Did I read that correctly? 16 Yes. Α. 17 However, when I look at the letters in 0. 18 Appendix 1-B3, none of those waste hauler 19 letters actually say they are planning or even 20 consider using this facility, do they? 21 What the letters all talk about is the 22 importance of having alternatives in creating

09:30:13PM

09:30:43PN

competition, which is what we're talking about, 1 that people are looking for alternatives and 2 recognizing that they would like options. 3 Some of these haulers are nowhere near 4 5 the service area, are they? 6 Well, again, they might not be today, 7 but they might have an opportunity to compete in the market tomorrow if there was an option for 8 9 them. 10 Ο. So the answer is yes. Okay. 11 On Page 1-49 of your report, I 12 think it says that the LRS waste transfer station will reduce the emissions and carbon 13 14 footprint in the area. 15 Do you see that? 16 I'm sorry. What page? Α. I think it was 1-49. Let me make sure 17 Q. 18 I didn't cite that wrong. 19 I'm to the section. If you can ask Α. 20 the question again. I think it's, like, 1-48 to 1-49, if I 21 22 remember correctly. You say -- Yeah. Reduce --

09:31:42PM

09:32:24PN

the above -- I'm sorry. 1 2 You say the above reductions --It's the last paragraph on 1-48, The above 3 reductions in truck miles will save fuel and 4 5 reduce wear on roads. 6 Do you see that? 7 Yes. Α. You're not saying that this will 8 Q. reduce wear on roads in West Chicago, are you? 9 You're saying a more general area? 10 11 I believe some would be in West 12 Chicago and some would be in other areas, but we don't categorize exactly where. 13 Okay. Your report does not say that 14 0. 15 it will reduce emissions and the carbon footprint in the City of West Chicago, does it? 16 17 Well, I mean, emissions don't have Α. 18 municipal boundaries. Obviously, they will go 19 where they go. 20 Again, this is -- This is 21 associated with trucks that go around and 22 through the area; but exactly where the

09:33:13PM

09:33:54PM

reductions would occur is impossible to say. 1 2 Your report produces no data that 0. showed the emissions in the City of West Chicago 3 will not be increased by the LRS waste transfer 4 5 station, does it? I don't see any analysis of 6 emissions before versus after, do I? I didn't 7 miss that anywhere, did I? The specific comparison you're talking 8 Α. about, no. Again, the point is it would be more 9 10 efficient for the trucks going in and out, they 11 would be driving less miles going around. 12 again, we didn't evaluate exactly where the 13 reduced miles or reduced emissions would occur. 14 0. So you didn't do that for West 15 Chicago, you didn't do it for Batavia, you 16 didn't do it for St. Charles, correct? 17 Α. No, we did not do it for particular 18 areas. 19 Including Aurora, correct? Okay. 0. 20 Again, we didn't do it for any Α. 21 particular area. 22 How many transfer stations and/or Q.

09:35:18PM

09:34:53PM

landfills have you been the principal engineer 1 2 on that have been sited in Illinois? 3 Α. This is my fourth. How many of those did you present the 4 0. needs criteria analysis? 5 6 All of the other ones. In those, did you provide the capacity 7 0. 8 before and after the need of -- in a capacity 9 analysis? 10 Well, for each one we did look at the Α. 11 amount of waste generated in the service area, 12 again, how it's being managed and -- I'm not clear on your question, I guess. 13 Did you discuss the shortfall in 14 Q. 15 capacity? 16 Yes. Α. 17 In August of 2020, Devin provided you, 0. 18 approximately, a 25-page report where he 19 provided his thoughts about how to make the 20 facility and your application better, didn't he? 21 I don't remember the length, but if 22 you're referring to a response that APTIM

09:36:04PM

09:36:36PN

provided about our draft application, we did 1 receive one. Again, I'm not sure if that's what 2 you're referring to. 3 And it's about August of 2021; 4 I am. 0. 5 would you disagree with that? 6 Sounds about right. 7 Did you take his comments as an 0. attempt of good faith to make the application 8 9 stronger? 10 Well, in general. I mean, some of Α. 11 them were constructive and helpful, some we 12 disagreed with, but --But, I mean, did you think it was an 13 Ο. 14 attempt at good faith to make it a stronger 15 application, or did you think he was trying to beat it up or something? 16 17 I'm trying to understand. Did you 18 see his role as trying to make the application stronger? 19 20 Α. Yeah, we took it that way. 21 You responded to that memo in writing, 0. 22 correct?

09:37:07PM

09:37:34PM

```
I don't recall providing a formal
          1
                    Α.
          2
                response.
                           Let's hand you what's been marked as
          3
                    Ο.
               PWC Exhibit 23. Showing you what has been
          4
                marked PWC Exhibit 23.
          5
          6
                              Do you recognize this document?
          7
                                   (PWC Deposition Exhibit No. 23
          8
                                    marked for identification.)
                BY THE WITNESS:
          9
         10
                           Yes.
                    Α.
09:38:49PM
         11
                    Q.
                           Would you agree with me that the
         12
                things in black were APTIM and the city's
                comments about your August 2020 draft
         13
                application or -- given to them, approximately,
         14
         15
                in that time period?
         16
                           Yes.
                    Α.
         17
                           The comments in red, those are -- who
                    Q.
                wrote those?
         18
         19
                           CEC.
                    Α.
         20
                    Q.
                           So your office?
09:39:12PM
         21
                    Α.
                           Correct.
         22
                           And mostly you, I assume? You kind of
                    Q.
```

1	oversaw it or responded to Shaw?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Would it be fair to say that you and
4	LRS's response on some of the issues was to make
5	changes in the language of the application?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And some of the comments you decided
8	not to respond to or thought were not worth
9	responding to, correct?
10	A. Yes. I mean, we considered some We
11	considered them all, and some we made changes,
12	and some we did not.
13	Q. And a lot of them you disagreed with
14	the comments or stated they were not applicable;
15	isn't that correct?
16	A. I'm sure those types of comments are
17	in here.
18	Q. To your knowledge, is any engineer in
19	the State of Illinois ever successfully
20	THE COURT REPORTER: Can you repeat
21	that?
22	MR. MUELLER: What's the relevance of

09:39:42PM

09:40:07PM

	1	that?
	2	MR. LEUTKEHANS: Let me ask the
	3	question again so she can get it.
	4	BY MR. LEUTKEHANS:
	5	Q. To your knowledge, has any engineer in
	6	the State of Illinois ever successfully sited
	7	more pollution control facilities than Mr. Moose?
	8	MR. MUELLER: Objection; relevance.
	9	MR. LEUTKEHANS: The relevance is:
09:40:29PM	10	Here is an engineer who sited 50 pollution
	11	control facilities, who has given his advice and
	12	his opinion who was hired by the city to give
	13	his opinion, and I want to know whether Mr. Hock
	14	took it or why he didn't?
	15	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: That question
	16	is allowable. The other question is not. You
	17	can answer the last one.
	18	MR. LEUTKEHANS: I need the first one
	19	to get to the second one.
09:40:54PM	20	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: It doesn't
	21	matter if he knows how many Mr. Moose sited.
	22	You can ask him if he took the advice from the

	1	engineer hired by the city or not.
	2	MR. LEUTKEHANS: I think it's relevant.
	3	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Then you can
	4	put Mr. Moose on in your case.
	5	MR. LEUTKEHANS: I can't do that. I
	6	think it's relevant as to what he knows versus
	7	what he decides. It's different to have someone
	8	like Mr. Moose give a comment than someone like
	9	Phil Leutkehans, who knows close to nothing.
09:41:18PM	10	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Would you say
	11	Mr. Moose was the engineering authority on
	12	giving advice? I don't care how many he cited.
	13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	14	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Onward.
	15	BY MR. LEUTKEHANS:
	16	Q. I apologize. I'm going to jump around
	17	a little. I'm going back to your PowerPoint,
	18	and I had some comments on that. Go to Page 25
	19	of your PowerPoint.
09:42:09PM	20	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Slide 25,
	21	Mr. Leutkehans?
	22	MR. LEUTKEHANS: Yeah. The only

1 numbers on it is the slide numbers. 2 There's only 1s and 2s, but 25 is the only one identified. 3 BY THE WITNESS: 4 5 I'm looking at Slide 25. Α. 6 0. That slide talks about landfills and 7 other landfills in the Chicagoland area, correct? 8 It does. 9 Α. 10 There's also landfills just on the Q. other side in Newton, Indiana, correct? 11 Do you 12 have that -- Do you know that? 13 Α. Yes. These are all landfills, correct? 14 Q. 15 It actually shows active Α. Yes. landfills and it shows closed landfills. 16 We're not asking to site a landfill, 17 0. 18 correct? 19 We are not. Again, the point was just Α. to talk about trends in the industry, and 20 21 landfills are an important piece of it.

09:42:30PM

09:42:57PM

22

Q.

Let's go to page -- I'll call it

1 Slide 37, so we're a little clearer. Tell me when you're there, please. 2 I'm there. 3 Α. On the second bullet point you say, 4 0. 5 "Based on just the potential development of the 6 West DuPage RTS, LRS submitted a proposal for the residential waste," correct? 7 8 Yes. Α. Just based on that proposal and on 9 10 that -- even with the possibility or the thought 11 you were going to have a waste transfer station 12 here, LRS still didn't get the contract, 13 correct? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Even with the possibility of vertical Q. integration, correct? 16 17 That's correct. Α. 18 Go to the next slide. 0. 19 Just because we're vertically Α. 20 integrated doesn't guarantee us winning certain 21 contracts going forward. It's still a bidding 22 process.

09:43:44PM

09:44:06PN

1	Q. Yeah. Vertical integration only does
2	so much, correct, it doesn't guarantee anything?
3	So let's go to the next one.
4	Examples of benefits, you said On Slide 37
5	and 38, you talked about Groot was awarded the
6	new waste hauling contract and you talked about
7	the fact that the price was lower because of
8	LRS.
9	Do you recall that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And you said I think you said, It
12	appears that that was the reason why the price
13	went lower.
14	Do you recall that?
15	A. Yeah. I think I used that word
16	because, you know, Lakeshore participated in the
17	process. This was the result you know, it
18	seems obvious that their involvement made Waste
19	Connections sharpen their pencil. But I guess I
20	used the word "appears" because I'm not a mind
21	reader.
22	Q. Correct. You have no idea what's in

09:44:37PM

09:45:06PM

Waste Connections' mind, Groot's mind, when they 1 2 put that in there, right? 3 I'm not a mind reader of Waste Α. Connections. 4 5 Okay. Good. The City of St. Charles, 0. 6 at least certain parts of the City of 7 St. Charles, the western edge, is just as close to the Elburn facility as it is the proposed 8 West Chicago facility, correct? 9 10 Yes. Α. 11 Ο. In fact, Atkinson is closer to Elburn than it is to the West Chicago facility, 12 13 correct? 14 Α. Well, yes. I mean, Atkinson is west 15 and Elburn is west. Exact driving distance, 16 relatively speaking, is probably very similar. 17 Q. Go to Slide 47, please. 18 Α. Okay. Where in your report can I find the 19 Q. 20 backup for the reduced emissions? 21 Are you talking about the reduced 22 emissions column or all of -- Which ones are you

09:45:43PM

09:47:03PN

1 referring to? They all kind of -- The point of them 2 0. all is to reduce emissions, correct? 3 It's -- There's, I guess, a 4 Yeah. Α. known or a standard amount of emissions for per 5 6 gallon of fuel usage. 7 Is that set forth anywhere in your 0. 8 report? I don't remember if we listed it. 9 Α. It's the same across. And I think it's 20 -- I 10 11 can quickly calculate the numbers for you, but 12 it's a standard number. 13 Let's go to Slide 51. In the waste transfer stations you cited in Illinois, did any 14 of them not have to pay a host fee to the 15 municipality or the county? 16 17 One did not. One did not. Α. 18 Would you agree with me that it's more 0. 19 prevalent for waste transfer stations to pay a 20 host fee than not to pay a host fee? 21 Yes. Certainly for newer ones. Α. 22 You talked about over \$420,000 per Q.

09:47:42PM

09:48:40PN

year of revenues to the City of West Chicago. 1 That's a gross calculation, not a net 2 3 calculation, correct? That is, again, simply the 600 tons 4 Α. times the \$2.45. 5 6 It doesn't take into account any 7 reduction in tipping fees received by the City of West Chicago because the Groot facility is 8 taking in even less capacity, correct? 9 10 Correct. Α. 11 Q. Let's go to the next slide. You 12 mentioned that there are 35 jobs associated with this project? 13 14 Α. That's what we're estimating. 15 I mean, they are not all Q. What jobs? in the waste transfer facility, correct? 16 Some would be in the transfer 17 Α. No. facility, some would be spotters and laborers, 18 19 and some would be drivers. 20 You agree with me that the amount of 0. 21 capacity -- the amount of waste coming out of 22 DuPage County and that portion of Kane County is

09:49:18PM

09:49:55PN

a closed number, I mean, there's only so much, 1 2 correct? Well, yeah. I mean, there's a current 3 Α. 4 amount that's being generated. It's going to 5 grow some amount in the future. The fact that LRS has a transfer 6 station isn't going to increase the capacity or 7 8 the amount of waste that comes out of that area, 9 correct? 10 The presence of a transfer station Α. 11 does not impact the amount of waste being 12 generated, if that's what you're asking. That's a much better way of asking the 13 Ο. 14 question. 15 Again, you don't know whether this 16 is a net job calculation or a gross -- I mean, 17 this is a gross calculation of jobs. It doesn't take into account how many jobs may be lost due 18 19 to this additional transfer station, correct? 20 Α. We couldn't know that. 21 Okay. I'm right, though, right? 0. 22 not a net calculation again?

09:50:25PM

09:51:01PM

1 No. We're not estimating what is going on in the rest of the waste hauling world. 2 Let's go to the next slide, 53. How 3 Ο. many spotters -- We talked about jobs. How many 4 of those jobs are spotters, do you know? 5 6 The way we have the facility laid out, 7 we probably have three spotters out there. Three spotters throughout the time 8 Q. 9 it's open? 10 I'm sorry. Could you say it again? Α. 11 Q. I'm sorry. Throughout the normal 12 business operation hours, three spotters? 13 Α. About there. What are the normal business operation 14 0. 15 hours? 16 Well, at the West DuPage facility Α. 17 currently the C&D operation is open 24 hours. think -- We have it listed in here. I'd have to 18 19 look again, but we have the operating hours 20 listed in the application for what's current and 21 there are -- again, the operating hours are 22 listed in Criterion 2 for the proposed facility.

09:51:45PM

09:52:18PM

We can talk about that when we get to 1 Ο. that section. Let's talk about accepting 2 certain waste -- on Page 53 -- of DuPage County, 3 4 which is not going to a DuPage County waste transfer station; there are lost fees. 5 6 Do you see that? 7 Α. Yes. That's 70 percent of the entire DuPage 8 Q. 9 County? It is. 10 Α. 11 Q. So over a third of the population of 12 DuPage County is not in your service area, 13 correct? You know, certainly it's a significant 14 Α. It is -- You know, our service area is 15 16 about two-thirds of it. 17 0. Yeah. The eastern portion of the county is the most densely populated part of 18 19 DuPage County, isn't it, or don't you know? 20 Α. It would intuitively make sense. 21 Certainly the more you get towards Cook County and you move east, the density will increase a 22

09:52:48PM

09:53:16PN

Again, the point of it is there's money 1 bit. 2 that DuPage County is losing because there's 3 transfer stations in other locations that are 4 hauling the waste, and there would be some 5 amount of waste that we believe that's generated 6 in DuPage County and would stay in DuPage 7 County. Okay. And that \$100,000, again, 8 Q. 9 that's not a net calculation, correct, that's a 10 gross? We don't know how much DuPage County is 11 going to lose because Groot may or may not have 12 as much waste, correct? Again, that type of estimate is almost 13 Α. 14 impossible because you're trying to predict the 15 future now, who is going to win contracts, 16 exactly what is going to happen. That's beyond 17 the point of this slide. 18 Let's go to Slide 55. You said, The Ο. 19 West DuPage RTS will increase competition and will increase the capacity in the service area, 20 21 which has been, quote, clearly recognized, end 22 control, to help control price increases.

09:53:45PM

09:54:16PN

1 What's the study that you have for that support? Or is it just your anecdotal? 2 3 Well, we're referencing, for instance, Α. 4 back to the solid waste management plans. 5 you reference the language from Kane County, 6 they said exactly that. 7 I'm trying to understand. Is there a 0. study -- any independent study that you can base 8 that statement on as being "clearly recognized"? 9 10 Well, it's based on my professional Α. 11 opinion of years of doing this and the evidence 12 you know, that we see, including references like 13 the plan. So it's based on your opinion; is that 14 0. 15 what you're saying? Partially my opinion and partially the 16 17 references in the information that we reviewed 18 as part of this evaluation. 19 Other than the DuKane County Solid 0. 20 Waste Management Plan, what else goes into this 21 statement that you reviewed? 22 Some component of everything that's in Α.

09:54:49PM

09:55:21PM

1	here, you know, the letters of support, talking				
2	to the other folks.				
3	Q. Nothing that's not in your report is				
4	what you're saying?				
5	A. The only thing that would not be in				
6	the report, again, is my experience of having				
7	done this for many, many years.				
8	MR. LEUTKEHANS: Okay. Thank you. No				
9	further questions.				
10	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Anybody have				
11	five minutes' worth of questions?				
12	MR. CALLAGHAN: Me. Very, very short.				
13	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Oh.				
14	Mr. DeLaRosa, how many minutes how long will				
15	your examination be?				
16	MR. DeLaROSA: I'm going to defer from				
17	further questions, so go right ahead.				
18	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Thank you.				
19	MR. CALLAGHAN: My questions are really				
20	clarifications. I think Mr. Leutkehans went				
21	over most of the need issues.				
22					

09:55:55PM

09:56:24PM

he
the
ust a
more
at
e purpose
o help
that the
olication,
00 tons
tons per
ctual caps
rect?
g to accept
ng else.

	1	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: All right.
	2	Anything from Mr. Walsh?
	3	MR. WALSH: No questions.
	4	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: No questions
	5	from Mr. Walsh.
	6	All right. Well, then with the 2
	7	minutes and 45 seconds remaining tonight, I'm
	8	going to call for the conclusion this evening.
	9	We'll meet back tomorrow at 6:00 with
09:57:56PM	10	Mr. Mueller asking any remaining questions for
	11	clarification. I have a motion from Protect
	12	West Chicago.
	13	Mr. Mueller, how much time would
	14	you like to respond to that?
	15	MR. MUELLER: I would like to address
	16	that next week.
	17	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: That's fine.
	18	We'll make use of the time as much as we can.
	19	Ultimately, it will be a jurisdictional issue
09:58:27PM	20	that's not waivable in any way. Let's make use
	21	of the time. That's fine. I'd like your
	22	response on Monday, then, so then on Tuesday,

	1	you can make some progress on the				
	2	MR. MUELLER: Fair enough, Mr. Price.				
	3	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Anything else				
	4	from anybody this evening? I understand the				
	5	tables are going to be left this way. To the				
	6	extent you want to leave anything here, you can.				
09:59:16PM	7	I don't think they are in school tomorrow;				
	8	otherwise, everybody be back here at				
	9	6:00 o'clock tomorrow.				
	10	Tomorrow will be redirect. And who				
	11	is next, Mr. Mueller?				
	12	MR. MUELLER: We'll call Mr. Kleszynski				
	13	next and then probably Michael Werthmann.				
	14	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Anything else?				
	15	MR. LEUTKEHANS: That's it. Thank you.				
	16	HEARING OFFICER PRICE: Thank you, all.				
	17	See you all tomorrow at 6:00.				
	18	(WHEREUPON, the proceedings				
	19	were continued until				
	20	January 4th, 2023 at				
	21	6:00 p.m.)				
	22					

STATE OF ILLINOIS)

(COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

I, KRISTI LANDOLINA, Certified
Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the
County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby
certify that previous to the commencement of the
examination and testimony of the various
witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to
testify the truth in relation to the matters
pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by
said witnesses was reduced to writing by means
of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into
typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a
true, correct and complete transcript of my
shorthand notes so taken aforesaid.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my electronic signature this 20th day of January, A.D. 2023.

/s/ Kristi Landolina KRISTI LANDOLINA C.S.R. No. 84-4611 Notary Public, DuPage County

\$	10 [6] - 64:12, 64:13, 75:4, 76:22, 125:6,	2019 [4] - 33:6, 59:1, 72:10, 83:2	4	935 [2] - 101:11,
	125:12	2020 [8] - 50:15,		97 [1] - 59:12
\$100,000 [2] - 87:7,	100 [2] - 32:17, 71:9	57:6, 63:8, 71:15,	4 [5] - 2:17, 14:17,	97 [1] - 39.12
182:8	100 [2] - 32:17, 71:9	122:4, 136:12,	15:7, 15:13, 80:2	Α
\$2.45 [2] - 84:19,	1080 [1] - 119:10	167:17, 169:13	4,500 [1] - 112:21	A
178:5	10th [4] - 4:22, 9:8,	2021 [3] - 138:20,	4.6 [1] - 80:13	
\$300 [2] - 73:3, 73:5	9:12, 10:1	139:4, 168:4	40 [2] - 56:10, 79:12	A.D [2] - 1:11, 188:18
\$420,000 [2] - 85:13,	11 [1] - 154:4	2022 [1] - 4:4	400 [1] - 92:13	ability [5] - 62:12,
177:22	113 [2] - 155:6,	2023 [3] - 1:11,	400-foot [1] - 99:9	62:14, 74:10, 85:8,
	155:11	187:20, 188:18	43 [1] - 74:19	147:22
1	120 [2] - 4:6, 153:11	2040 [1] - 50:16	45 [2] - 74:18, 186:7	able [10] - 22:7, 69:2,
•	120 [2] - 4:0, 133:11	20th [1] - 188:18	450 [1] - 85:12	79:22, 81:11, 88:5,
	125 [2] - 32:22, 86:3	21 [5] - 2:4, 124:22,	47 [1] - 176:17	130:10, 131:12,
/s [1] - 188:20	12th [5] - 4:22, 9:9,	126:6, 126:16, 126:18	4th [1] - 187:20	143:15, 144:9, 145:4
	9:13, 10:1, 10:3	215,000 [1] - 54:13	1411[1] 101.20	above-entitled [1] -
0		23 [6] - 2:20, 60:2,	5	1:8
	130 [1] - 153:11	60:4, 169:4, 169:5,	<u> </u>	absolute [1] - 25:2
01-32-506-001 [7] -	14 [3] - 2:16, 57:7, 57:13	169:7		absolutely [1] - 86:7
103:13, 105:3,		24 [1] - 180:17	50 [2] - 77:22, 171:10	absorbs [1] - 44:10
107:10, 107:16,	15 [9] - 2:3, 2:17,	25 [9] - 9:4, 33:20,	51 [1] - 177:13	accept [7] - 51:1,
109:1, 109:11, 110:4	43:22, 125:2, 126:7,	56:11, 57:12, 65:16,	53 [2] - 180:3, 181:3	51:17, 52:15, 52:22,
109.1, 109.11, 110.4	126:20, 126:22,	172:18, 172:20,	55 [1] - 182:18	116:20, 120:12,
1	127:11, 127:18	173:2, 173:5	552,000 [1] - 54:3	185:19
l	150 [1] - 85:12	25-page [1] - 167:18		acceptable [2] -
	151-A [5] - 2:19,	250 [2] - 92:11,	6	12:10, 96:13
1 [16] - 2:16, 12:21,	120:21, 121:1, 121:2, 133:2	185:15		acceptance [1] -
13:21, 14:1, 14:5,		27 [1] - 2:6		45:3
14:15, 25:10, 30:18,	16 [1] - 80:11	28 [1] - 2:10	6,500 [1] - 114:1	accepted [3] - 13:20,
31:6, 48:19, 59:9,	1665 [1] - 156:7	28-acre [1] - 17:21	600 [8] - 85:1, 85:11,	52:17, 85:11
89:20, 101:19,	169 [1] - 2:20		116:10, 117:22,	accepting [1] - 181:2
102:14, 112:11,	16th [2] - 4:4, 13:19	2s [1] - 173:2	118:1, 119:2, 119:9,	accepts [1] - 125:19
127:22	17 [2] - 60:3, 60:4	2	178:4	access [1] - 37:2
1,000 [1] - 114:18	17641 [3] - 110:8,	3	64 [1] - 19:16	accommodate [4] -
1,000-foot [1] - 22:13	110:13, 111:10		650 [4] - 85:2,	16:7, 30:20, 48:20,
1,080 [2] - 118:6,	180 [1] - 4:10	3 [6] - 2:17, 14:16,	116:10, 116:16,	89:21
119:2	184 [1] - 2:12	15:7, 15:12, 126:15,	185:14	accommodation [1]
1,300 [2] - 51:11,	1995 [2] - 57:9,	126:18	6:00 [7] - 1:11, 3:2,	- 9:14
51:13	136:12	3,000 [7] - 50:14,	5:4, 186:9, 187:9,	accomplish [1] -
1,500 [1] - 85:5	1B-3 [1] - 153:19	51:12, 51:17, 52:15,	187:17, 187:21	131:12
1,700 [3] - 51:9,	1B-6 [1] - 154:11	85:4, 114:14, 114:19	7	accordance [1] -
51:12, 52:17	1s [1] - 173:2	3,600 [1] - 50:16	7	91:10
1-21 [2] - 136:4,	•	30 [1] - 58:20		according [2] -
136:7	2	30-day [4] - 4:12,	70 [4] - 86:15, 86:16,	107:1, 125:1
1-30 [1] - 147:1		4:16, 9:21, 10:7	87:3, 181:8	account [2] - 178:6,
1-32 [1] - 145:22	2 [14] - 2:17, 14:10,	300 [3] - 117:21,	75 [2] - 34:19, 71:14	179:18
1-38 [1] - 146:22	15:1, 15:3, 15:4, 15:5,	119:2, 185:14		accurate [1] - 142:3
1-42 [1] - 156:12	15:12, 43:22, 58:14,	33 [1] - 64:20	8	accusing [1] -
1-48 [3] - 163:9,	59:8, 59:9, 91:6,	35 [4] - 31:20, 86:6,		130:13
164:21, 165:3	180:22, 186:6	139:5, 178:12		acknowledge [3] -
1-49 [3] - 164:11,	2,500 [1] - 85:18	355 [1] - 16:11	800,000 [2] - 80:9	112:18, 113:6, 114:6
164:17, 164:21	2-J [3] - 91:8, 91:11,	37 [2] - 174:1, 175:4	84-4611 [1] - 188:21	acknowledged [1] -
1-6 [2] - 136:13,	101:4	38 [2] - 147:2, 175:5	8:00 [2] - 90:7, 90:18	69:21
136:16	20 [2] - 27:16, 177:10	39.2 [4] - 3:16, 5:9,		acquire [1] - 65:20
1-B2 [1] - 147:7	20-year [1] - 80:10	6:1, 26:18	9	Act [1] - 3:16
1-B3 [1] - 163:18	2003 [2] - 105:4,	3:00 [1] - 77:9		act [8] - 4:4, 4:9,
1-B4 [2] - 150:17,	105:9	3rd [1] - 1:10	9 [1] - 154:4	4:18, 5:7, 5:17, 5:18,
150:22	2012 [1] - 72:10		90 [2] - 4:5, 5:12	48:19, 146:1
1.7 [1] - 73:3	2015 [1] - 83:2		900 [1] - 1:9	acting [2] - 7:1, 7:14
		i	1.0	
1.71 [1] - 146:20	2018 [1] - 63:8		91 [1] - 2:11	active [4] - 56:16,

57:1, 136:11, 173:15 activities [3] - 20:10, 36:6, 36:11 actual [13] - 25:20, 106:5. 106:11. 106:14, 107:7, 107:8. 108:3, 108:8, 136:21, 142:4, 144:3, 159:13, 185:16 add [10] - 16:2, 36:18, 37:1, 37:22, 38:1, 54:2, 86:5, 112:20, 114:4, 135:12 added [2] - 78:19, 118:1 addition [3] - 75:15, 81:8, 143:3 additional [15] -14:9, 14:20, 16:20, 69:8, 71:7, 87:3, 88:10, 88:20, 117:19, 121:18, 160:3, 161:14, 161:15, 179.19 address [19] - 20:14, 92:19, 94:21, 95:1, 97:22, 98:2, 98:6. 98:13, 98:20, 99:3, 100:9, 100:22, 101:13, 101:14, 101:21, 102:2, 110:3, 155:7, 186:15 addresses [9] -92:17, 93:22, 94:14, 94:17, 100:16, 104:10, 104:22, 108:11, 155:22 adequate [1] - 69:22 adjourned [1] - 5:2 Administration [2] -101:19, 102:15 administrative [3] -13:18, 14:3, 15:9 ADMITTED [2] -2:15, 2:18 admitted [1] - 14:15 admitting [1] - 15:3 Advanced [6] -63:11, 63:12, 63:17, 64:1, 64:10, 131:3 advantage [2] - 76:8, 130:19 advantageous [1] -66:17 advantages [1] -61:21 advice [4] - 22:10, 171:11, 171:22, 172:12 advisement [1] -

111:13 161:10, 167:11, 177:5, 178:20, aerial [2] - 34:2, 178:21, 179:4, 179:5, 35:19 affected [1] - 96:7 179:8, 179:11, 182:5 affidavit [11] - 14:11, amounts [3] - 19:3, 15:6, 91:7, 91:10, 117:21, 143:1 92:1, 93:2, 95:5, ample [2] - 18:1 analogy [2] - 157:15, 101:14, 102:1, 110:7, 142:1 158:19 affixed [1] - 188:17 analysis [8] - 23:16, 116:10, 127:22, aforesaid [1] -188:15 144:3, 160:2, 166:5, afterwards [1] - 9:21 167:5, 167:9 Agency [1] - 59:5 anecdotal [4] -115:9, 161:6, 161:7, ago [2] - 53:19, 183:2 63:16 agree [11] - 101:16, anecdotally [1] -119:22, 123:19, 144:1 124:17, 130:15, annexed [4] - 105:2, 141:16, 142:11, 105:9, 105:11 annual [2] - 61:16, 156:11, 169:11, 132:13 177:18, 178:20 answer [13] - 18:5, agreed [1] - 11:12 26:7, 26:8, 46:10, agreement [10] -46:11, 84:17, 86:11, 52:20, 53:2, 73:21, 98:22, 134:15, 86:12, 86:14, 87:13, 89:2, 89:4, 132:11, 145:17, 161:19, 132:16 164:10, 171:17 agreements [3] answered [1] -32:18, 139:5 145:16 ahead [2] - 31:3, answers [1] - 26:8 anticipated [1] -184:17 163:12 air [6] - 34:8, 40:22, 41:4, 41:19, 48:4, anticipating [1] - 9:8 80:6 anticipation [3] -Airport [6] - 18:11, 18:3, 72:13, 73:9 18:12, 33:15, 34:4, anyway [1] - 54:12 34:7, 37:19 apart [2] - 40:17, alderman [1] - 7:10 45.21 allow [2] - 93:7, apartments [1] -149:18 84:5 allowable [1] apologize [6] -171:16 54:11, 94:6, 101:3, allowed [6] - 7:8, 140:15, 161:18, 7:13, 17:12, 17:18, 172:16 51:17, 115:14 appearances [1] allowing [1] - 88:20 Appendix [8] - 91:8, allows [2] - 8:4, 33:21 91:11, 147:7, 150:17, 150:21, 153:19, alluded [1] - 60:13 154:11, 163:18 almost [1] - 182:13 appendix [1] alternatives [2] -102:19 163:22. 164:2 applicable [2] amazing [2] - 42:12,

25:2, 28:7, 31:11, 32:13, 111:13 Applicant [3] - 1:21, 2:3, 15:12 applicants [1] - 86:8 application [58] -3:3, 3:9, 3:11, 4:3, 4:9, 5:11, 5:13, 6:10, 6:13, 7:17, 7:22, 8:11, 10:18, 13:18, 14:14, 20:19, 21:13, 21:22, 22:1, 22:4, 22:5, 22:11, 22:21, 23:1, 23:5, 23:9, 23:13, 23:21, 25:20, 26:6, 26:13, 26:17, 30:5, 30:8, 30:11, 49:3, 70:22, 71:20, 74:6, 80:21, 93:5, 96:17, 116:15, 121:10, 121:13, 127:2, 136:5, 139:20, 167:20, 168:1, 168:8, 168:15, 168:18, 169:14, 170:5, 180:20, 185:13 applications [1] -121:8 applied [1] - 80:2 apply [1] - 6:3 appointed [2] - 3:2, appraisal [1] - 20:12 approach [2] - 70:12, 96:13 appropriate [4] -98:2, 98:6, 98:13, 100:9 approval [2] - 45:2, 74:9 approve [2] - 10:18, 20:19 approved [13] - 4:10, 79:14, 80:8, 86:6, 86:13, 117:6, 117:11, 117:14, 117:18, 117:19, 117:22, 149:16 approving [1] -151:21 approximate [2] -51:12, 57:7 **APTIM** [5] - 23:10, 121:8, 122:4, 167:22, 169:12 ArcGIS[5] - 91:21, 92:7, 92:15, 95:1, 97:14 area [193] - 15:22, 16:8, 16:9, 16:10, 16:20, 20:6, 20:8,

23:19, 23:20, 23:22, 24:8, 24:11, 24:13, 24:17, 24:19, 24:21, 25:3, 25:9, 25:14, 25:18, 30:20, 31:21, 32:16, 33:18, 37:10, 38:16, 39:4, 41:10, 45:6, 46:18, 46:20, 47:19, 48:7, 48:21, 49:7, 49:9, 49:11, 49:20, 49:22, 50:1, 50:13, 50:18, 50:22, 51:2, 51:18, 52:1, 52:4, 52:12, 52:22, 53:4, 53:6, 53:7, 54:19, 55:3, 55:7, 55:10, 55:15, 55:20, 56:2, 56:8, 56:12, 56:21. 57:8. 58:17. 59:4, 59:7, 59:9, 63:4, 63:7, 64:15, 65:22, 67:15, 69:10, 69:14, 69:20, 70:15, 74:11, 74:15, 74:17, 74:18, 74:21, 74:22, 75:2, 75:7, 75:9, 76:18, 76:22, 77:21, 87:8, 87:15, 88:6, 89:6, 89:15, 89:22, 112:13, 112:15, 112:20, 113:3, 113:7, 113:8, 113:9, 113:11, 113:12, 113:16, 113:17, 113:19, 114:2, 114:3, 114:5, 114:7, 114:10, 114:11, 115:20, 116:5, 120:17, 121:14, 122:5, 122:9, 122:13, 122:14, 123:7, 123:12, 123:14, 124:10, 124:12, 124:19, 124:20, 125:3, 125:7, 125:13, 125:16, 125:20, 126:4, 126:20, 127:4, 127:7, 127:15, 127:17, 128:5, 128:8, 128:12, 128:13, 128:19, 128:20, 129:2, 129:4, 129:8, 129:19, 129:20, 129:22 130:2, 130:17, 131:2, 131:6, 132:19, 133:1, 133:10, 134:20, 135:2, 135:4, 135:8, 137:5, 137:20, 139:2, 139:10, 139:16, 151:11, 153:8, 155:19, 157:6,

162:10, 170:14

2:15, 15:16

amount [21] - 49:13,

50:20, 77:12, 78:13,

117:19, 119:2, 161:5,

112:14, 112:22,

116:18, 117:18,

APPLICANT[2] -

applicant [13] - 1:5,

4:3, 8:6, 12:18, 13:5,

13:6, 14:16, 16:6,

157:10, 158:15, 158:18, 160:13, 163:12, 163:14, 164:5, 164:14, 165:10, 165:22, 166:21, 167:11, 173:7, 179:8, 181:12, 181:15, 182:20 areas [13] - 19:14, 30:11, 32:19, 39:2, 39:3, 47:1, 67:15, 113:14, 128:7, 129:15, 159:19, 165:12, 166:18 argue [1] - 138:13 arguing [3] - 118:3, 155:16, 155:18 argument [3] -125:9, 130:3, 130:5 Arizona [1] - 155:14 art [1] - 46:2 Ashland [3] - 110:9, 110:13, 111:10 aspect [1] - 6:22 aspects [1] - 62:13 assembly [2] - 94:1, 94:4 assessments [1] -109:20 assessor's [1] -109:5 asset [1] - 160:14 assets [4] - 131:1, 131:5, 132:3, 132:4 assigned [1] - 54:10 associated [5] -37:11, 48:6, 49:12, 165:21, 178:12 Association [1] -78:11 assume [3] - 140:9, 145:8, 169:22 assuming [1] - 162:9 assumptions [2] -145:9, 145:12 Atkinson [10] -58:18, 58:19, 66:11, 66:18, 152:20, 153:2, 153:5, 153:14, 176:11, 176:14 atkinson [1] - 153:7 atmosphere [1] -19:10 attached [5] - 102:1, 111:3, 147:6, 150:17, 152:22 attempt [3] - 99:7, 168:8, 168:14 attention [2] - 7:4, 7:12

Attorney [5] - 1:14, 1:15, 1:16, 1:18, 1:21 attorney [4] - 3:10, 8:7, 8:10, 11:2 attraction [1] - 23:7 August [3] - 167:17, 168:4, 169:13 Aurora [4] - 53:21, 158:11, 158:12, 166:19 authentic [3] - 91:15, 97:11, 111:6 authorities [1] - 4:14 Authority [1] - 34:7 authority [1] -172:11 availability [1] - 6:6 available [5] - 3:20, 13:22, 95:6, 96:8, 97:3 Avenue [3] - 110:9, 110:13, 111:10 aviation [1] - 18:14 avoid [1] - 144:22 avoiding [2] - 19:21, 19:22 awarded [3] - 72:19, 138:21, 175:5 aware [12] - 105:1, 105:5, 114:21, 118:13, 118:15, 118:21, 119:3, 119:4, 119:7, 130:20, 130:21, 155:3 awareness [1] -132:15 awful [2] - 123:21,

В

backed [1] - 160:13

140:14

background [6] -21:9, 31:10, 31:11, 46:19, 48:15, 60:14 backup [1] - 176:20 Badger [3] - 77:15, 78:17, 79:5 badger [2] - 77:15, 78:16 **bails** [1] - 45:22 banished [1] - 82:17 banks [1] - 68:2 Bartlett [1] - 53:21 base [1] - 183:8 Based [1] - 174:5 based [14] - 6:9, 16:17, 70:11, 72:13, 89:9, 89:11, 122:5,

144:16, 160:2, 174:9, 183:10, 183:14 basis [7] - 22:15, 26:15, 74:11, 93:4, 127:21, 132:13, 161:13 Batavia [20] - 51:5, 52:13, 53:21, 54:9, 55:11, 63:10, 65:17, 66:7, 66:15, 68:7, 69:16, 75:21, 85:4, 133:20, 140:2, 140:6, 140:11, 140:12, 140:17, 166:15 bathrooms [1] -38:15 beat [1] - 168:16 become [2] - 62:11, 89:7 becomes [4] - 16:16, 24:4, 157:13 begin [1] - 10:7 beginning [4] -12:20, 93:7, 115:22, 156:12 BEHALF[3] - 15:16, 21:5, 27:2 behalf [5] - 2:3, 2:4, 2:6, 51:21, 147:16 behind [3] - 8:14, 38:7, 137:2 belief [1] - 144:21 believes [1] - 20:10 benefit [7] - 69:7, 75:17, 83:14, 84:15, 86:11, 89:2, 89:4 Benefit [1] - 80:2 benefits [12] - 16:19, 49:16, 56:7, 73:7, 88:3, 88:22, 89:3, 89:7, 143:17, 152:7, 160:4, 175:4 best [3] - 20:13, 26:12, 134:10 better [3] - 144:8, 167:20, 179:13 between [13] - 11:7, 46:21, 47:19, 52:18, 64:9, 85:9, 123:5, 123:13, 123:20, 130:1, 132:16, 138:1, 140:16

127:15, 142:10,

bidding [3] - 67:16, 152:9, 174:21 big [2] - 46:13, 56:20 biggest [4] - 148:6, 148:16, 148:22, 150:11 bill [6] - 106:11, 106:15, 107:9, 107:15, 107:17, 109:1 **bills** [8] - 97:4, 105:17, 106:5, 107:12, 108:1, 108:3, 108:8, 111:9 binder [1] - 101:3 bins [2] - 35:10, 40:11 bit [3] - 40:7, 66:4, 182:1 black [2] - 53:8, 169:12 blowers [2] - 48:3, 48:5 **blue** [3] - 50:1, 54:15, 55:14 Bluff [7] - 113:16, 113:18, 122:1, 123:22, 124:1, 124:2, 125:18 books [3] - 95:7, 96:9, 97:3 **border** [4] - 58:13, 58:20, 126:1, 133:22 **borrow** [1] - 67:22 bottles [1] - 40:10 bottom [5] - 41:21, 42:7, 121:16, 148:15, 158:14 **bought** [3] - 53:18, 58:22, 63:17 boundaries [1] -165:18 boundary [1] - 64:3 box [3] - 45:12, 94:20, 94:22 boxes [1] - 35:9 brand [1] - 46:2 brand-new [1] - 46:2 break [4] - 68:17, 90:5, 90:17, 90:19 breakdown [2] -116:19, 142:5 brevity [1] - 28:2 brief [3] - 15:11, 27:6, 91:14

briefly [1] - 15:18

briefs [1] - 10:12

bring [9] - 35:4,

45:18, 62:7, 66:19,

103:20, 149:20

67:10, 83:19, 87:17,

bringing [3] - 43:4, 43:18, 62:15 brings [2] - 6:21, 13:17 broke [1] - 37:17 broken [1] - 40:12 Brothers [1] - 54:19 brought [2] - 22:18, 67:2 buffer [1] - 36:3 **build** [4] - 36:13, 36:16, 144:21, 162:21 **building** [17] - 36:14, 36:16. 38:2. 38:4. 38:9, 38:10, 38:12, 43:21, 46:17, 46:22, 47:4, 47:8, 47:18, 47:19, 47:22, 48:4, 48:9 buildings [3] - 41:10, 47:1, 85:19 **built** [1] - 19:14 **built-up** [1] - 19:14 **bulky** [1] - 46:13 bullet [2] - 74:9, 174:4 bunch [4] - 22:7, 26:2, 76:14, 154:19 burned [1] - 80:14 busiest [1] - 56:18 business [22] -31:20, 32:9, 33:6, 33:10, 34:17, 35:3, 43:16, 43:17, 63:15, 69:1, 70:3, 71:14, 80:16, 84:8, 101:18, 118:18, 140:21, 142:9, 142:17, 149:19, 180:12, 180:14 Business [1] -102:14 businesses [2] -60:22, 61:18 buying [1] - 63:19 **BY** [19] - 28:19, 29:6, 29:21, 46:5, 52:10, 64:8, 65:13, 68:16, 74:1. 91:4. 93:10. 112:10. 121:4. 145:18. 169:9. 171:4. 172:15, 173:4, 185:2

C

C&D [4] - 35:7, 42:21, 82:14, 180:17 **C.S.R** [1] - 188:21 **calculate** [2] -

beyond [3] - 92:21,

bid [12] - 62:3, 67:21,

72:10, 72:11, 72:12,

72:16, 73:9, 73:11,

73:12, 74:7, 144:10,

116:6, 182:16

144.11

159:18, 177:11 calculation [8] -141:21, 157:8, 178:2, 178:3, 179:16, 179:17, 179:22, 182:9 calculations 161 -49:2, 80:19, 141:10, 141:17, 142:6, 142:8 calculus [1] - 141:8 calendar [1] - 4:7 CALLAGHAN[8] -1:18, 15:4, 21:1, 90:10, 184:12, 184:19, 185:2, 185:22 Callaghan [2] - 2:12, callaghan [1] - 20:21 Canada [5] - 101:12, 101:20, 102:15, 103:2, 110:3 Canadian [16] - 99:1, 99:21, 99:22, 100:11, 101:1, 102:21, 103:16, 104:2, 104:9, 104:10, 104:17, 104:18, 104:20, 104:22, 107:18, 110:2 cannot [3] - 41:6, 62:6, 102:5 cans [1] - 40:10 cap [4] - 116:11, 116:14, 116:16, 116:22 capacities [1] -113:6 Capacity [1] - 146:22 capacity [33] - 22:19, 24:3, 51:16, 52:9, 53:1, 69:8, 70:1, 70:9, 75:16, 85:1, 85:3, 112:13, 112:19, 114:1, 114:4, 114:19, 116:6, 116:13, 117:6, 117:10, 117:14, 118:6, 118:11, 132:13, 146:13, 146:17, 167:7, 167:8, 167:15, 178:9, 178:21, 179:7, 182:20 capital [2] - 46:12, 68:1 caps [4] - 77:7, 185:13, 185:16, 185:20 carbon [4] - 19:10, 80:14, 164:13, 165:15 cardboard [1] - 40:9 care [4] - 95:16, 96:2, 96:3, 172:12 carefully [1] - 45:11

Carol [1] - 45:16 cheaply [1] - 141:3 carts [1] - 85:21 check [2] - 97:13, case [14] - 6:4, 6:22, 105:17 7:9, 7:13, 7:15, 8:8, CHICAGO [1] - 21:5 12:18, 12:22, 13:5, Chicago [86] - 1:10, 13:7, 18:7, 73:18, 1:15, 1:17, 2:4, 3:5, 74:2, 172:4 8:16, 9:15, 12:11, categorize [1] -13:9, 15:22, 18:10, 165:13 19:22, 20:3, 21:3, caused [3] - 75:21, 21:9, 24:4, 25:14, 142:14, 148:1 27:7, 27:9, 27:13, causes [1] - 76:1 27:21, 32:21, 33:16, causing [1] - 82:14 42:2, 46:3, 49:3, **CEC** [2] - 32:6, 53:22, 60:1, 62:2, 72:20, 72:22, 73:5, 169:19 73:18, 74:3, 79:8, center [5] - 35:17, 79:13, 79:14, 84:6, 45:14, 45:19, 46:7, 84:18, 85:14, 86:2, 162:22 86:4, 86:8, 86:10, Central [8] - 99:4, 86:20, 88:16, 89:1, 99:19, 101:15, 90:14, 90:22, 99:4, 102:13, 104:17, 99:19, 101:9, 101:15, 104:19, 106:22 102:12, 102:21, central [1] - 34:20 104:19, 105:2, 105:7, cents [2] - 144:3, 105:10, 106:22, 145:15 116:22, 139:1, certain [7] - 10:4, 154:12, 154:15, 63:5, 120:5, 131:1, 154:20, 155:11, 174:20, 176:6, 181:3 156:8, 156:22, 157:3, certainly [9] - 20:8, 157:11, 157:17, 74:2, 128:17, 134:1, 157:20, 158:1, 158:6, 141:9, 160:19, 162:9, 165:9, 165:12, 177:21, 181:14, 165:16, 166:3, 181:21 166:15, 176:9, certainty [1] - 89:12 176:12, 178:1, 178:8, certification [1] -186.12 109:20 Chicago's [2] - 3:18, Certified [1] - 188:3 72:8 certified [3] - 104:7, Chicagoland [6] -104:12, 111:4 31:21, 32:16, 56:12, certify [1] - 188:6 58:17, 77:21, 173:7 challenge [4] **church** [1] - 7:11 148:6, 148:17, 149:1, **cite** [1] - 164:18 150:11 cited [3] - 117:4, challenges [4] -172:12, 177:14 81:4, 81:10, 82:14, citizen's [2] - 154:10, 83:17 154:13 change [1] - 144:15 citizens [5] - 46:7, changed [4] - 23:22, 51:22, 71:9, 73:18, 51:22, 63:22, 65:22 156:3 changes [3] - 82:11, City [31] - 1:14, 3:4, 170:5, 170:11 3:18, 21:9, 49:3, character [1] - 20:8 88:16, 88:22, 105:1, characterized [1] -105:9, 122:1, 123:22, 131:19

charge [2] - 46:8,

Charles [6] - 19:22,

54:17, 74:4, 166:16,

chart [1] - 102:6

176:5, 176:7

46:12

165:16, 166:3, 176:5, 176:6, 178:1, 178:7 city [32] - 1:18, 4:8, 4:17, 5:14, 6:8, 6:22, 7:2, 8:9, 8:11, 8:13, 10:15, 10:22, 11:1, 11:21. 13:7. 13:13. 20:18. 20:22. 22:16. 26:16, 28:4, 65:5, 90:8, 90:11, 90:15. 90:16, 105:4, 121:8, 154:20, 171:12, 172:1 city's [3] - 8:3, 13:22, 169:12 City's [2] - 113:17, 113:18 Civil [3] - 29:11, 29:18, 32:4 clarification [1] -186:11 clarifications [1] -184:20 clarified [1] - 162:5 clarify [3] - 117:9, 133:19, 162:4 class [1] - 27:7 clean [3] - 17:16, 42:3, 42:13 cleaned [1] - 17:17 clear [13] - 36:6, 39:8, 62:11, 63:2, 67:11, 72:21, 75:8, 84:15, 97:2, 131:14, 132:17, 141:5, 167:13 clearer [2] - 53:14, 174:1 clearly [7] - 49:17, 88:6, 116:18, 128:3, 144:8, 182:21, 183:9 clerk [1] - 13:21 Clerk's [3] - 108:17, 108:21, 111:4 clerk's [1] - 108:19 client [2] - 15:19, 77:16 clock [1] - 10:7 close [9] - 16:15, 47:9, 47:13, 68:10, 72:14, 76:19, 77:9, 172:9, 176:7 closed [7] - 10:6, 34:5, 46:18, 57:4, 115:15, 173:16, 179:1 closely [1] - 129:17 closer [4] - 29:20, 124:3, 124:6, 176:11 closest [3] - 18:13, 69:10, 159:9 closing [2] - 10:12, 68:7

CN [4] - 100:18, 100:22, 102:21, 103:16 code [1] - 5:21 coded [5] - 34:12, 53:8, 53:11, 55:1, 55.4 **collect** [4] - 60:19, 62:8, 70:6, 87:20 collected [2] - 61:14, 140:22 collecting [2] -62:16, 63:9 collection [8] - 33:3, 60:17, 60:18, 61:9, 62:5, 76:5, 87:11, 88:18 collectively [1] -52:14 colluding [2] - 130:8, 130:9 collusion [3] -130:11, 130:14, 130:20 **color** [6] - 34:11, 34:22, 53:8, 53:11, 55:1, 55:4 color-coded [1] -55:1 column [1] - 176:22 Com [1] - 78:22 combined [1] - 131:4 comfortable [1] -20:18 coming [7] - 19:8, 21:8, 22:15, 36:20, 39:10, 44:20, 178:21 **commence** [1] - 3:3 commencement [2] - 14:8, 188:6 commended [2] -154:5, 154:7 comment [18] - 4:14, 5:14, 6:11, 6:15, 6:16, 9:4, 9:5, 9:10, 9:16, 9:20, 10:5, 10:8, 10:10, 11:8, 11:9, 11:10, 65:8, 172:8 comments [8] - 8:12, 168:7. 169:13. 169:17. 170:7. 170:14, 170:16, 172:18 commercial [4] -33:17, 34:10, 40:3, 83.11 commission [1] -62:15 committed [1] - 87:8 commodity [1] -

124:1, 124:2, 125:19,

157:19, 158:1, 162:9,

151:1, 154:12,

154:14, 155:10,

156:21. 157:3.

157:11, 157:17,

81:11 communication [2] -41:8, 41:11 communities [13] -50:8. 53:12. 54:1. 54:4, 54:7, 54:12, 54:14, 54:18, 63:6, 74:6, 134:12, 139:20, 149:19 community [7] -16:19, 18:22, 27:10, 58:16, 89:1, 89:4, 152:7 companies [31] -31:22, 32:1, 32:3, 58:4, 58:9, 59:11, 60:6, 61:15, 67:1, 67:10, 67:13, 70:6, 70:12, 70:19, 70:20, 71:6, 72:3, 72:11, 76:7, 78:22, 79:1, 79:20, 79:21, 126:8, 126:11, 128:5, 131:5, 132:16, 138:15, 138:20 company [31] -15:22, 23:4, 29:16, 29:17, 32:15, 53:9, 53:12, 53:13, 53:20, 60:8, 62:3, 63:18, 67:9, 67:13, 71:11, 71:12, 71:17, 72:5, 76:6, 77:15, 77:16, 78:18, 87:19, 129:19, 138:8, 138:9, 138:10, 138:12, 150:10, 185:19 Company [1] - 32:7 company's [1] -141:4 comparative [1] -144:20 **compared** [1] - 75:3 compares [1] - 74:15 comparison [1] -166:8 compete [12] - 69:3, 72:4, 72:15, 74:10, 75:11, 85:8, 129:20, 130:11, 144:9, 145:4, 147:22, 164:7 competent [1] - 12:5 competing [1] -62:10 competition [33] -16:2, 16:20, 24:10, 24:12, 25:11, 25:21, 59:17, 60:12, 69:9, 70:18, 73:16, 75:16, 83:7, 83:9, 84:16,

88:5, 127:22, 128:3, 129:22, 131:2, 131:9, 144:4, 145:6, 148:1, 149:12, 149:17, 160:1, 160:3, 160:10, 160:17, 161:4, 164:1, 182:19 Competition [1] -146:22 competitive [5] -16:18, 139:10, 148:8, 148:19, 150:12 competitor [1] - 62:9 competitors [3] -62:21, 63:22, 66:22 complaining [1] -135:2 complaint [1] - 111:1 complete [2] - 11:21, 188:14 completed [1] -20:16 compliance [3] -14:11, 14:13, 15:6 component [4] -39:20, 40:12, 45:22, 183:22 components [1] -45:14 computer [3] - 95:5, 96:6, 96:7 concept [2] - 22:20, 149:4 concerning [1] concerns [2] - 21:21, 23:17 concessions [1] -72:18 conclude [1] - 28:2 concluded [2] - 5:3, conclusion [4] -4:13, 9:17, 9:20, 186:8 conclusory [1] - 26:2 Concord [2] -101:20, 102:15 concrete [4] - 18:20, 39:13. 43:21. 48:9 condition [1] -185:20 conditions [1] -27:14 **condone** [1] - 65:10

confer [1] - 11:4

confirm [1] - 102:12

confirmation [2] -

confirmed [3] -

98:6, 100:8

98:12, 98:15, 98:19 confirms [2] -102:22, 142:2 confronting [1] - 7:9 conjuring [1] - 71:5 connected [1] - 38:3 Connections [17] -53:15, 53:18, 53:20, 55:5, 58:7, 65:15, 69:13, 69:16, 72:17, 72:19, 72:22, 126:12, 128:17, 129:3, 175:19, 176:4 connections [2] -72:9, 72:12 Connections' [1] -176:1 conservative [2] -80:7, 99:12 consider [8] - 4:18, 10:16, 70:2, 70:3, 125:9, 158:9, 162:6, 163:20 consideration [1] -4:15 considerations [1] -141:7 considered [3] -120:1, 170:10, 170:11 consolidate [1] -59:16 consolidated [2] -57:22, 59:18 consolidation [2] -60:11, 67:1 constitutes [1] -11:15 constructing [1] -39:14 construction [6] -34:15, 38:5, 39:11, 81:6, 83:3, 83:16 constructive[1] -168:11 Consultants [3] -29:12, 29:18, 32:5 consulting [1] - 32:2 consume [1] - 80:5 consumer [2] -73:17, 145:13 consumers [1] - 26:1 contained [2] -14:14, 30:5 container [5] - 40:16, 45:11, 45:15, 45:18 containers [3] - 35:9, 39:5, 42:22 contains [1] - 102:19 contamination [2] -

continue [10] -41:20, 42:20, 42:21, 67:4, 82:2, 83:21, 111:15, 112:11, 143:16, 144:8 continued [1] -187:19 contract [14] - 62:3, 62:10, 67:17, 72:8, 72:9, 72:19, 73:1, 73:10, 74:7, 144:10, 144:11, 157:22, 174:12, 175:6 contracted [1] -138:22 contractor [1] - 62:1 contractors [1] -71:6 Contractors [1] -78:10 contracts [8] - 69:19, 84:17, 135:3, 135:5, 139:12, 139:13, 174:21, 182:15 control [24] - 3:19, 5:6, 5:7, 34:8, 59:12, 61:22, 62:7, 62:12, 62:14, 62:17, 66:22, 67:17, 67:20, 70:17, 83:9, 88:7, 145:2, 149:5, 149:6, 162:7, 171:7, 171:11, 182:22 controlled [2] - 58:8, 59:2 controls [3] - 5:19, 35:20, 44:4 convenient [4] -55:22, 79:13, 84:7, 84:9 conveniently [1] -88:11 conversations [1] -98.11 converting [1] - 39:4 Cook [27] - 56:13, 57:11, 57:16, 74:15, 74:16, 74:18, 74:21, 75:2, 75:3, 75:5, 75:10, 121:21, 123:22, 137:7, 137:9, 137:13, 137:16, 138:1, 156:14, 156:19, 156:20, 157:3, 158:10, 159:2, 159:4, 159:5, 181:21 copies [5] - 95:7, 96:6, 96:8, 101:5, 111:4 copy [4] - 29:1, 29:3,

core [1] - 31:8 corner [4] - 18:9. 38:3, 58:12, 158:15 corporate [2] - 4:14, correct [144] - 19:17, 36:8, 91:11, 92:8, 92:9, 94:18, 95:2, 95:7, 97:11, 100:20, 102:10, 103:5, 103:10, 103:13, 104:13, 104:19, 104:20, 104:22, 107:20, 108:4, 109:17, 110:5, 112:16, 113:12, 113:17, 114:11, 114:19, 115:10, 117:4, 118:7, 119:10, 119:15, 119:21, 120:11, 120:17, 121:9, 121:14, 121:19, 122:1, 122:6, 122:11, 122:15, 124:10, 124:16, 125:3, 125:16, 126:1, 126:4, 126:9, 126:16, 126:20, 127:2, 127:13, 127:19, 129:10, 129:18, 129:22, 130:19, 131:2, 131:10, 132:1, 132:5, 132:8, 132:10, 132:18, 132:19, 133:2, 133:10, 134:5, 135:4, 135:11, 135:22, 136:3, 137:5, 137:9, 138:16, 140:12, 140:17, 141:3, 142:5, 142:7, 142:17, 145:14, 147:11, 147:16, 148:15, 150:1, 150:4, 150:5, 151:2, 151:4, 151:8, 151:15, 152:13, 152:22, 153:3, 153:8, 153:12, 154:21, 155:7, 155:8, 155:19, 157:18, 159:7, 160:3, 161:16, 162:17. 163:2. 163:6. 163:7, 166:16, 166:19, 168:22, 169:21, 170:9, 170:15, 173:8, 173:11, 173:14, 173:18, 174:7, 174:13, 174:14, 174:16, 174:17, 175:2, 175:22, 176:9, 176:13, 177:3, 178:3,

81:16, 82:13

29:4, 95:14

178:9, 178:10, 123:11, 123:22, 4:10, 5:12 143:21 critique [1] - 21:12 178:16, 179:2, 179:9, 124:8, 126:1, 133:3, critiques [2] - 18:4, **De** [2] - 101:11, demonstration [2] -179:19, 181:13, 133:13, 133:15, 26:4 103:1 49:18, 125:10 182:9, 182:12, 185:8, 133:18, 133:22, debate [1] - 65:3 denied [1] - 26:17 Cross [2] - 2:11, 2:12 185:9, 185:17, 188:14 134:2, 134:5, 134:8, debris [6] - 34:15, **DENNIS** [1] - 1:14 cross [7] - 6:17, Correct [1] - 105:14 135:1, 137:7, 137:9, 8:21, 9:3, 11:11, 38:6, 39:11, 81:6, densely [1] - 181:18 137:13, 137:16, correctly [3] -11:14, 13:11, 97:13 83:3, 83:16 density [9] - 74:21, 138:1, 139:17, 112:17, 163:15, CROSS [2] - 91:3, decade [1] - 82:12 75:1, 157:10, 157:12, 149:17, 156:14, 164:22 185:1 decades [1] - 81:6 158:9, 158:17, 156:19, 156:20, correspondence [1] cross-check [1] -December [1] -159:14, 159:20, - 154:10 157:5, 158:10, 97:13 71:15 181:22 158:20, 159:2, cost [14] - 61:22, **Cross-Examination** decide [2] - 111:19, Department [4] -178:22, 181:3, 181:4, 62:4, 62:5, 66:14, 109:10, 109:16, [2] - 2:11, 2:12 112:4 181:9, 181:12, 66:16, 67:19, 68:22, cross-examination decided [2] - 100:12, 131:15, 131:22 181:19, 181:21, 73:2, 74:6, 79:18, [3] - 6:17, 9:3, 11:14 170:7 dependent [1] -182:2, 182:6, 182:7, 79:20, 141:9, 145:2, **CROSS**decides [1] - 172:7 123:17 182:10, 183:5, 159:15 depicted [1] - 47:3 **EXAMINATION**[2] deciding [1] - 49:7 183:19, 188:5, 188:21 costs [9] - 62:13, depiction [5] - 47:22, 91:3, 185:1 **decision** [8] - 6:9, **COUNTY** [1] - 188:2 84:13, 140:9, 140:16, 49:20, 127:4, 127:16, 11:22, 13:16, 118:20, cross-examine [1] county [10] - 16:11, 141:20, 142:5, 127:19 119:6, 120:2, 142:9, 8:21 144:16, 144:20, 148:1 17:13, 17:14, 51:6, Deposition [1] cross-examined [2] 142:17 56:12, 59:7, 82:8, council [15] - 4:9, - 11:11, 13:11 declining [1] - 83:1 169:7 4:17, 5:14, 6:8, 7:1, 159:7, 177:16, 181:18 Crossing [1] - 1:9 decreased [2] deposits [1] - 61:4 **County's** [1] - 157:3 7:2, 8:13, 10:15, CRT [1] - 46:12 56:10, 57:19 **DERKE** [1] - 1:13 10:22, 11:22, 20:18, couple [7] - 55:8, curb [2] - 17:7, 61:18 Derke [2] - 3:6, 48:22 decreases [1] - 74:7 55:11, 55:12, 63:16, 26:16, 65:5, 154:20 describe [2] - 31:12, current [10] - 37:6, decreasing [3] -78:20, 118:7, 131:21 counsel [3] - 25:1, 37:7, 71:16, 95:15, 56:9, 57:1, 88:16 127:9 101:5, 110:22 course [2] - 8:22, 116:12, 120:16, deemed [1] - 4:10 described [5] - 59:7, 158:16 count [1] - 135:19 122:13, 143:5, 179:3, deeper [2] - 53:3, 100:7, 103:18, 138:7, COURT [3] - 29:15, counted [1] - 135:18 180:20 63:2 139:19 64:5, 170:20 counties [4] - 49:21, **custom** [1] - 46:1 defer [1] - 184:16 describing [2] - 36:6, court [5] - 3:12, 6:12, 50:10, 53:7, 82:4 51:10 customer [7] - 35:16, deficiencies [1] -11:18, 29:13, 90:4 countries [1] - 81:14 43:1, 77:17, 143:2, 68:5 design [3] - 18:1, courtesy [2] - 83:22, country [2] - 63:19, 143:6, 151:4, 159:15 31:16, 58:10 **define** [1] - 49:9 86:9 138:15 designate [1] - 25:3 customers [1] defined [2] - 5:6, courthouses [1] -County [116] - 16:10, 78:20 desire [2] - 15:10, 95:13 16:12, 17:12, 24:6, cut [2] - 124:9, 130:6 142:16 definitely [1] courtroom [1] - 12:2 27:16, 50:3, 50:5, cuts [1] - 41:18 134:21 despite [1] - 134:22 50:7, 50:9, 51:6, covered [2] - 17:10, cutting [1] - 115:4 degree [3] - 89:11, destroy [1] - 48:7 55:9 56:17, 57:10, 57:11, cycle [1] - 33:3 160:20, 161:1 detail [4] - 16:22, 57:15, 57:16, 58:14, create [5] - 6:12, 31:16, 49:1, 185:7 **DeKalb** [1] - 151:13 58:16, 74:16, 74:19, 11:20, 25:12, 160:16, detailed [2] - 89:1, DeLaRosa [6] - 1:19, D 74:22, 75:2, 75:3, 161:3 8:20, 27:4, 29:1, 89:3 75:5, 75:11, 82:10, created [2] - 41:3, **details** [1] - 74:5 184:16 82:20, 83:1, 83:12, daily [3] - 117:10, detention [1] - 36:2 deLaRosa [4] - 2:6, 86:13, 86:15, 86:17, creates [1] - 160:17 119:1, 161:13 26:21, 90:15, 184:14 determination [3] -86:18, 86:19, 86:22, creating [2] - 160:10, **Dale** [1] - 20:4 deleted [2] - 23:17, 95:9, 100:18, 140:8 87:9, 87:11, 87:19, damage [2] - 41:7 163:22 determinations [1] -26:11 87:21, 89:3, 91:17, damaged [1] - 42:15 criminal [1] - 7:15 delivering[1] - 63:9 143:10 92:7, 92:14, 96:15, damaging [1] - 41:15 Criteria [1] - 12:21 determine [12] delivery [1] - 103:19 96:17, 96:19, 97:14, criteria [11] - 5:17, data [9] - 25:20, demand [2] - 78:9, 5:15, 11:17, 91:15, 99:11, 99:15, 105:16, 5:18, 6:1, 6:3, 12:19, 50:12, 143:1, 143:20, 78:16 92:19, 93:11, 93:18, 106:5, 108:17, 17:1, 20:15, 26:18, 145:6, 145:8, 159:13, demolishing[1] -94:10, 94:17, 97:10, 108:21, 109:21, 27:18, 49:6, 167:5 161:5, 166:2 39.15 98:2, 99:21, 119:19 109:22, 111:4, 111:5, date [4] - 5:2, 83:17, Criterion [8] - 25:10, determined [3] - 5:8, demolition [6] -111:6, 114:9, 117:4, 117:20, 118:2 30:18, 31:6, 48:19, 107:22, 127:1 34:15, 38:5, 39:11, 120:11, 121:18, 89:20, 112:11, Daylighting [4] determining [1] -71:6, 81:6, 83:16 121:22, 122:9, 127:22, 180:22 77:15, 77:16, 78:17, 91:13 demolitioning[1] -122:15, 122:17, criterion [1] - 16:5 79.5 **developed** [2] - 6:9, 39:15 122:22, 123:4, criticism [1] - 20:1 days [4] - 4:5, 4:6, 32:10 demonstrated [1] -

development [2] -101:18, 174:5 Development [1] -102.14 **Devin** [1] - 167:17 devote [1] - 10:1 diesel [1] - 80:13 difference [1] -140:10 differences [2] -12:1, 158:17 different [10] - 23:15, 94:2, 98:1, 126:8, 129:14, 142:10, 155:5, 157:12, 157:13, 172:7 differential [1] -140:15 differently [1] -97:19 difficult [2] - 38:8, 144:7 dig [1] - 41:20 digs [1] - 79:3 diminish [1] - 70:17 diminished [1] -74:12 diminishing [1] -147:21 dioxide [1] - 80:14 direct [2] - 30:15, 88.22 Direct [1] - 2:10 direction [3] - 66:9, 70:7, 70:9 directly [5] - 33:14, 34:6, 44:8, 58:19, 135:10 disagree [9] - 101:8, 105:12, 107:8, 128:6, 135:14, 138:19, 139:4, 139:7, 168:5 disagreed [2] -168:12, 170:13 disagreeing [1] -136.1 disappear [1] - 74:12 discharge [5] - 17:8, 19:5, 19:7, 47:4, 48:12 discharged [3] -18:22, 43:8, 43:20 discharging [1] -47:10 discuss [2] - 150:15, 167:14 discussed [3] -105:3, 127:7, 147:21 discussing [1] -32:12

40:18, 44:21, 53:16, discussion [2] -48:17, 142:13 60:7, 61:6, 61:10, Discussion [1] -64:22, 66:13, 66:19, 73:2, 75:12, 79:22, 110.19 dismiss [1] - 111:1 dispatch [2] - 35:16, dispersion [1] -157:4 disposal [16] - 33:4, 49:15, 50:11, 56:5, 60:17, 61:22, 62:6, 71:12, 85:17, 85:18, 146:9, 146:14, 146:18, 148:1, 149:16, 149:18 159:21 Disposal [7] - 60:9, 63:11, 63:12, 63:18, 64:1, 64:10, 131:4 disposed [1] - 61:7 dispute [2] - 101:17, 105:8 distance [2] - 88:17, 176:15 distant [1] - 43:13 distinction [2] - 5:20, 11:6 38:12 diverse [1] - 32:20 diverted [2] - 66:6, 176:15 diverting [1] - 33:8 divest [2] - 131:1, 131:5 divestiture [1] -132:4 document [2] - 93:6, 169:6 documents [2] -87:8 14:10, 22:7 **DOJ** [4] - 130:16, 130:21, 131:7, 131:18 dollar [5] - 143:1, 143:2, 144:3, 161:4, 161:10 dollars [2] - 145:1, 145:14 188:8 done [14] - 4:16, 21:10, 21:18, 22:20, 23:4, 27:20, 95:18, 95:21, 96:12, 96:14, 85:21 144:13, 144:15, 144:18, 184:7

door [4] - 47:8, 47:9,

47:11, 47:12

doors [1] - 47:2

dot [1] - 59:22

26:16, 143:19

doubt [3] - 25:7,

down [28] - 9:18,

11:2, 35:22, 40:6,

84:13, 114:13, 120:9, 122:14, 122:19, 124:14, 140:20, 143:4, 146:8, 149:18, 159:10, 160:6 downtown [2] -19:21, 19:22 draft [8] - 23:5, 23:8, 66:1, 121:15, 121:17, 133:4, 168:1, 169:13 drafted [1] - 153:20 dramatically [1] drawing [1] - 34:11 drive [10] - 19:9, 35:22, 37:2, 47:6, 47:12, 61:3, 74:13, 75:11, 79:15, 149:18 driven [1] - 145:3 drivers [1] - 178:19 driveway [5] - 37:4, 37:6, 37:7, 37:9, driving [5] - 76:1, 78:2, 79:18, 166:11, drop [13] - 37:9, 38:16, 38:17, 45:5, 45:8, 45:16, 46:6, 46:8, 83:22, 84:2, 84:7, 86:9, 87:8 drop-off [8] - 37:9, 38:16, 45:5, 46:6, 83:22, 84:7, 86:9, **DU** [1] - 188:2 due [4] - 8:22, 33:19, 147:22, 179:18 **DuKane** [6] - 51:3, 52:12, 53:17, 85:3, 86:20, 183:19 **duly** [2] - 28:16, **dumb** [1] - 145:10 dump [1] - 115:14 dumpsters [1] -DuPage [92] - 8:18, 16:10, 17:11, 18:10, 18:12, 24:7, 27:5, 27:16, 30:18, 33:15, 34:4, 34:6, 37:19, 50:3, 50:9, 56:13, 57:10, 57:14, 76:9, 82:9, 86:12, 86:15, 86:16, 86:18, 86:19,

86:21, 86:22, 87:9, 87:10, 87:18, 87:21, 89:3, 89:13, 89:19, 91:17, 91:21, 92:7, 92:14, 96:14, 96:17, 96:19, 97:13, 99:11, 99:14, 105:16, 106:5, 108:16, 108:21, 109:21, 109:22, 111:5, 111:6, 114:9, 120:11, 122:19, 122:21, 123:3, 123:4, 123:12, 123:20, 124:7, 126:1, 133:13, 133:14, 133:18, 133:22, 134:2, 134:4, 134:7, 135:1, 139:16, 140:7. 147:15. 149:17. 157:5. 158:20, 163:12, 174:6, 178:22, 180:16, 181:3, 181:4, 181:8, 181:12, 181:19, 182:2, 182:6, 182:10, 182:19, 188:5, 188:21 during [5] - 7:22, 9:21, 14:19, 84:8, 138:19

Ε

e-mails [4] - 154:13, 154:15, 154:19 e-waste [5] - 45:7, 45:9, 46:9, 82:17, 86:10 easier [1] - 82:1 east [13] - 19:18, 19:21, 34:8, 35:20, 36:2, 38:22, 50:6, 55:8, 55:13, 55:17, 55:19, 57:17, 181:22 east-west [1] - 19:21 eastern [3] - 37:15, 50:4, 181:17 economic [6] - 16:3, 16:18, 70:2, 144:3, 159:13, 161:3 economical [2] -142:12, 163:4 economically [2] -81:12, 161:2 economist [1] -142:19 economists [1] -161:12 Ed [1] - 78:22 edge [1] - 176:7

effect [2] - 73:16,

144:4 effective [2] - 44:4, 68:22 effectively [3] - 69:3, 70:17. 83:21 efficiencies [4] -80:1, 84:12, 88:14 **efficiency** [1] - 44:18 efficient [4] - 4:19, 142:15, 143:15, 166:10 efficiently [1] - 43:19 effort [1] - 95:13 eight [8] - 57:11, 135:9, 135:15, 135:20, 135:21, 155:21, 156:10, 156:11 either [10] - 9:8, 30:15, 68:11, 108:17, 109:12, 109:21, 115:8, 115:10, 143:8, 143.9 **EJ&E** [11] - 106:11, 106:15, 106:19, 107:1, 107:9, 107:13, 107:17, 107:20, 110:8, 110:13, 111:9 **Elburn** [16] - 55:16, 65:20, 66:8, 66:10, 66:17, 68:19, 122:11, 137:6, 139:18, 139:22, 140:12, 140:17, 151:14, 176:8, 176:11, 176:15 elected [2] - 7:21, 11:3 electric [2] - 37:13, 41:8 electronic [11] -37:11, 45:6, 82:17, 84:1, 95:16, 146:4, 146:9. 146:11. 146:14, 146:18, 188:17 electronics [1] -145:22 **Elgin** [2] - 53:21, 54:9 eliminate [1] - 52:1 emissions [13] -19:10, 80:6, 80:15, 164:13, 165:15, 165:17, 166:3, 166:6, 166:13, 176:20, 176:22, 177:3, 177:5 emphasize [1] -

employed [1] - 86:4

employee [1] - 45:10

enclosed [3] - 17:6, 17:16, 18:17 encourage [1] -71.21 end [12] - 17:16, 26:14, 37:6, 48:12, 63:8, 65:8, 72:17, 82:13, 115:5, 115:13, 148:19, 182:21 ends [4] - 42:7, 56:8, 61:18, 70:7 engineer [7] - 30:2, 117:3, 167:1, 170:18, 171:5, 171:10, 172:1 engineering [2] -89:12, 172:11 ensure [5] - 24:20, 130:9, 131:9, 131:10 ensuring [1] - 130:18 enter [3] - 35:5, 47:3, 47:7 **entered** [1] - 33:5 entering [1] - 37:7 entire [7] - 3:15, 24:6, 30:3, 51:17, 129:2, 144:2, 181:8 entirety [1] - 128:20 entities [5] - 39:21, 82:4, 93:12, 94:11, 107:3 entitled [1] - 1:8 entity [3] - 92:20, 108:22, 160:21 entrance [2] - 37:21, 46:20 environmental [7] -16:18, 18:19, 70:2, 80:3, 80:18, 80:20, 88:15 Environmental [7] -3:16, 8:19, 29:12, 29:18, 32:5, 59:4, 60:8 **EPA**[2] - 118:5, episodes [1] - 27:11 equipment [2] -41:12, 83:20 equipped [1] - 77:12 equivalent [2] - 95:6, 96.7 ERDS [1] - 118:22 **ERGS** [2] - 117:3, 117:7 essence [2] - 22:5, 36:12 essentially [7] - 6:2, 7:1, 12:6, 38:22, 50:17, 65:18, 74:20 establish [3] - 36:21,

37:3, 37:9 established [2] -45:2, 82:7 Estate [3] - 101:18, 101:19, 102:14 estimate [6] -116:12, 127:12, 134:10, 134:13, 134:14, 182:13 estimates [1] - 80:7 estimating [2] -178:14, 180:1 evaluate [3] - 49:15, 49:16, 166:12 evaluation [3] - 49:5, 183:18, 185:11 evening [6] - 3:6, 9:18, 10:3, 91:5, 186:8, 187:4 event [1] - 87:17 events [1] - 86:1 eventually [1] -40:12 evidence [18] - 6:14, 6:19, 8:5, 8:22, 11:7, 11:8, 11:16, 12:5, 13:20, 15:6, 16:9, 18:12, 20:17, 28:8, 115:7, 115:9, 115:18, 183:11 evidenced[1]-163:10 exact [14] - 25:17, 25:18, 35:3, 71:22, 77:2, 83:13, 104:18, 117:16, 118:16, 146:10, 147:21, 150:13, 154:2, 176:15 exactly [10] - 83:5, 109:13. 119:12. 154:3, 157:1, 165:13, 165:22, 166:12, 182:16, 183:6 examination [6] -5:12, 6:17, 9:3, 11:14, 184:15, 188:7 EXAMINATION[3] -28:18, 91:3, 185:1 Examination [3] -2:10, 2:11, 2:12 examine [1] - 8:21 examined [3] -11:11, 13:11, 28:16 example [4] - 22:12, 62:2, 72:7, 113:17 examples [5] - 76:3, 143:12, 143:18, 161:8, 175:4 excavate [2] - 41:5, 101:20, 156:4

41:15

excavating [1] -41:10 excavation [25] -36:17, 38:10, 40:19, 40:22, 41:21, 44:6, 44:19, 76:13, 76:16, 77:2, 77:13, 77:18, 78:8, 79:2, 79:4, 84:20, 85:10, 85:13, 87:5, 88:12, 88:18, 161:21, 162:3, 162:12, 185:15 Excavation [1] -78:16 excavations [2] -42:10, 42:13 exceeded [1] -185:17 except [1] - 22:7 excess [1] - 44:10 excited [2] - 79:7, 87:22 excuse [2] - 56:19, 91:19 excused [1] - 65:11 exhaust [1] - 48:7 Exhibit [16] - 13:21, 14:1, 14:5, 14:10, 14:15, 14:16, 14:17, 15:1, 15:12, 91:6, 120:21, 121:2, 133:2, 169:4, 169:5, 169:7 **EXHIBIT** [2] - 2:15, 2:18 exhibits [1] - 14:9 exist [1] - 114:1 existing [9] - 24:15, 31:12, 36:8, 37:21, 38:4, 42:20, 46:22, 48:16. 52:11 exists [1] - 49:18 exit [2] - 35:5, 38:14 expand [1] - 34:1 expected [2] - 50:15, experience [3] -96:12, 96:22, 184:6 experienced [1] -41.9 expert [1] - 20:4 expertise [1] - 32:10 explain [3] - 3:12, 16:21, 161:9 exponentially [1] -78:15 expose [1] - 41:14 Express [1] - 101:10 express [3] - 101:10, 77:14 extent [3] - 33:17, 139:21, 187:6 extra [6] - 29:3, 29:4, 76:1, 76:2, 106:20 eye [1] - 130:16 eyesight [1] - 123:21 F facilitate [2] - 83:15, 88:19 facilities [12] - 3:19,

32:11, 33:19, 40:4, 43:6, 62:21, 76:17, 84:2, 84:12, 158:5, 171:7, 171:11 facility [118] - 5:6, 5:7, 5:16, 16:7, 17:6, 18:6, 18:14, 19:3, 19:5, 19:8, 20:2, 20:7, 21:18, 23:6, 31:7, 31:15, 32:21, 33:13, 33:18, 34:3, 34:21, 35:2, 35:5, 35:6, 36:10, 37:15, 37:16, 38:11, 39:10, 39:17, 42:17, 44:2, 44:14, 45:20, 46:3, 46:20, 47:5, 47:7, 48:17, 48:20, 49:8, 49:17, 49:19, 51:5, 59:21, 60:2, 62:19, 69:7, 72:14, 73:12, 74:10, 75:17, 76:21, 77:1, 77:3, 77:10, 77:18, 78:5, 78:6, 78:12, 78:21, 79:8, 79:14, 80:7, 83:14, 83:15, 83:19, 84:11, 84:18, 85:6, 86:5, 86:6, 86:13, 88:4, 88:9, 88:12, 89:2, 93:5, 114:13, 114:17, 114:22, 115:4, 115:15, 115:19, 117:4, 117:7, 120:9, 123:6, 124:7, 139:17, 140:7, 140:11, 143:5, 144:21, 146:13, 146:17, 156:1, 159:11, 160:7, 160:8, 161:16, 162:5, 162:7, 162:17, 163:20, 167:20, 176:8, 176:9, 176:12, 178:8, 178:16, 178:18, 180:6, 180:16,

180:22, 185:5

fact [33] - 10:12,

18:11, 22:9, 23:6, 40:14, 61:8, 72:19, 101:17, 113:2, 115:17, 124:22, 125:18, 126:6, 126:15, 129:12, 130:15, 132:22, 134:18, 135:9, 138:11, 146:3, 147:10, 147:14, 148:4, 151:13, 151:15, 152:14, 153:14, 156:14, 157:22, 175:7, 176:11, 179:6 factor [4] - 67:19, 67:20, 141:6, 141:9 factors [5] - 71:22, 123:18, 142:10, 143:11, 160:22 facts [1] - 89:10 fails [1] - 26:18 fair [13] - 7:20, 124:15, 127:3, 127:12, 128:1, 136:22, 145:4, 155:21, 156:9, 160:18, 170:3, 187:2 fairly [1] - 40:9 fairness [2] - 11:20, 12:15 faith [2] - 168:8, 168:14 **fallon** [1] - 26:9 familiar [1] - 76:3 family [3] - 60:8, 71:13, 73:5 family-run [1] - 60:8 fancy [1] - 40:8 fans [2] - 48:3, 48:5 far [8] - 18:9, 35:19, 35:20, 36:2, 61:3, 79:11, 139:21, 157:6 favorable [1] - 85:17 federal [1] - 130:15 fee [6] - 84:19, 86:19, 87:13, 177:15, 177:20 fees [6] - 87:1, 87:4, 141:17, 153:5, 178:7, 181:5 feet [2] - 92:11, 92:13 felt [1] - 72:3 few [13] - 12:7, 41:1, 46:14, 55:12, 62:2, 67:5, 73:11, 76:16, 111:19, 118:10, 125:22, 126:3, 149:20

fewer [1] - 59:16

field [1] - 85:8

extensively [1] -

fifth [2] - 139:8, 182:15 150:16 handle [1] - 77:12 footprint [2] -152:20 164:14, 165:16 grassy [1] - 46:18 handling [1] - 43:8 figure [4] - 34:9, forced [1] - 72:17 G greater [3] - 156:14, hanging [1] - 81:22 54:22, 58:10, 59:20 foregoing [1] -159:14, 159:21 Hanover [1] - 124:6 file [1] - 13:21 greatest [1] - 83:9 188:13 hard [4] - 95:6, gallon [1] - 177:6 filed [2] - 4:3, 13:19 foreign [1] - 81:14 green [3] - 54:6, 95:14, 96:6, 96:8 gallons [1] - 80:13 filing [9] - 4:6, 4:10, Forest [10] - 44:14, 54:13, 58:10 harder [3] - 68:3, game [1] - 23:14 5:10, 14:13, 91:9, 45:20, 77:1, 77:19, Greene [2] - 76:19, 82:3 garbage [5] - 17:6, 110:10, 110:14, 78:5, 78:21, 79:13, 77:4 harms [1] - 18:19 19:4, 40:1, 40:4, 111:1, 111:2 137:10, 151:7, 151:10 greenish [1] - 34:22 hat [1] - 72:16 68:20 filings [1] - 13:18 forgetting [1] - 56:14 Greenwood [2] hatched [2] - 34:21, gas [1] - 41:8 fills [2] - 61:10, 61:12 form [7] - 12:6, 19:1, 148:6, 148:17 50:1 gated [1] - 38:17 filtration [1] - 48:1 154:15, 154:17, grew [1] - 32:15 haul [4] - 45:13, Gauchetière [2] final [2] - 22:21, 155:4, 155:8, 188:13 grocery [1] - 7:10 63:5, 135:7, 140:22 101:11, 103:1 121:9 formal [2] - 11:8, Groot [29] - 53:15, hauler [2] - 72:20, **Gaylord** [1] - 45:12 169:1 53:18, 54:10, 58:7, findings [2] - 10:12, 163:18 general [10] - 43:10, forms [1] - 9:18 14:3 64:22, 65:15, 66:13, haulers [12] - 71:1, 50:19, 70:7, 70:8, forth [3] - 5:9, 22:9, fine [2] - 186:17, 66:19, 70:16, 72:8, 76:10, 130:8, 130:9, 94:1, 94:4, 132:15, 177:7 114:13, 114:17, 186:21 149:21, 153:19, 162:19, 165:10, 114:22, 115:3, forward [7] - 4:19, finish [1] - 68:11 153:21, 153:22, 168:10 7:7, 10:18, 28:1, 39:7, firm [1] - 100:17 115:11, 115:15, 158:6, 163:11, generally [6] - 19:15, firmer [1] - 44:11 143:15, 174:21 115:19, 119:2, 120:9, 163:13, 164:4 44:18, 50:5, 55:1, 126:12, 146:8, first [22] - 8:15, foundation [1] hauling [13] - 25:15, 96:18, 119:4 146:13, 146:17, 73:19 35:3, 62:1, 62:3, 63:4, 15:19, 16:5, 18:6, generate [2] - 18:7, 160:7, 160:14, 175:5, four [13] - 43:11, 69:19, 72:9, 84:17, 23:5, 28:15, 39:10, 19:3 43:12, 47:2, 49:6, 178:8, 182:11 130:17, 140:10, 42:19, 49:6, 49:9, generated [16] -55:16, 71:3, 121:18, Groot's [1] - 176:1 175:6, 180:2, 182:4 56:5, 60:15, 61:22, 17:13, 18:21, 40:21, 90:8, 90:14, 90:21, 122:8, 124:13, 126:8, gross [4] - 178:2, hauls [2] - 53:10, 44:16, 49:10, 49:14, 93:16, 101:3, 140:2, 137:19, 150:18 179:16, 179:17, 53:20 50:14, 51:18, 78:14, 182:10 151:1, 171:18 fourth [3] - 63:19, haves [1] - 67:4 85:16, 86:16, 112:15, groundwork [1] fit [1] - 72:6 151:20, 167:3 hazardous [1] -167:11, 179:4, Fox [1] - 50:6 93:4 five [10] - 24:16, 87:11 179:12, 182:5 73:2, 73:6, 90:6, franchise [2] - 32:17, group [5] - 8:18, health [1] - 16:3 generation [1] -51:22, 95:10, 96:10, 139:5 90:18, 129:13, hear [15] - 6:14, 71:16 137:22, 150:20, 116:9 frankly [1] - 71:18 16:13, 18:11, 18:18, Geneva [1] - 54:16 grow [2] - 89:6, 150:21, 184:11 free [5] - 84:8, 85:17, 21:19, 25:10, 25:12, GEORGE [1] - 1:21 179:5 five-year [2] - 73:2, 85:21, 86:1, 146:9 25:14, 25:19, 26:4, George [10] - 8:7, growing [5] - 32:6, 73:6 freedom [1] - 93:8 26:5, 29:16, 52:7, 33:13, 43:7, 48:8, 32:15, 78:14, 78:15. fleet [1] - 77:21 front [11] - 7:16, 118:18, 154:16 50:2, 71:16, 71:22, 78.18 flexibility [1] - 76:11 9:18, 35:17, 35:21, heard [11] - 18:3, 147:6, 147:13, 147:17 flight [1] - 33:21 37:22, 38:16, 46:21, guarantee [2] -19:2, 20:1, 23:19, GERALD[1] - 1:18 83:22, 101:4, 142:7 174:20, 175:2 81:10, 81:13, 120:5, Flood [1] - 54:19 gerald [1] - 8:10 floor [7] - 17:17, fruit [1] - 82:1 guess [9] - 96:11, 130:5, 154:11, GFL [3] - 58:12, 116:17, 129:5, 154:19, 154:22 22:18, 43:21, 48:10, fuel [6] - 19:9, 80:5, 132:3, 132:12 131:12, 138:17, **HEARING** [39] - 3:1, 114:22, 115:21 80:13, 165:4, 177:6 **GIS** [1] - 92:3 157:9, 167:13, 14:22, 15:5, 20:20, full [4] - 24:3, 33:2, flow [1] - 16:19 given [6] - 6:18, 61:1, 136:11 175:19, 177:4 21:2, 25:4, 26:19, focus [2] - 32:10, 141:1, 143:18, guessing [1] - 92:5 28:3, 28:6, 29:13, fully [5] - 67:5, 169:14, 171:11, 52:6, 65:2, 68:14, 67:11, 67:13, 69:4, focused [1] - 6:2 188:10 143:14 н 73:20, 90:3, 90:13, **FOIA**[1] - 22:8 goal [3] - 141:2, 90:20, 93:3, 110:18, **fun** [1] - 61:8 folks [10] - 67:6, 141:4 111:12, 111:18, 77:8, 78:10, 84:9, fundamental [2] goals [1] - 82:6 half [1] - 51:16 112:2, 112:7, 145:17, 85:9, 86:4, 94:1, 94:2, 11:20, 12:15 hand [6] - 38:2, governance [1] -171:15, 171:20, fundamentally [2] -103:21, 184:2 58:12, 103:21, 31:19 172:3, 172:10, 7:19, 62:20 follow [1] - 13:2 111:17, 169:3, 188:17 governed [2] - 3:15, 172:14, 172:20, followed [3] - 5:13, fundraisers [1] handed [2] - 14:9, 3:17 184:10, 184:13, 34:8. 90:15 147:15 101:5 government [3] -184:18, 186:1, 186:4, funds [1] - 68:4 following [3] - 4:12, handful [1] - 53:19 3:9, 85:19, 130:16 186:17, 187:3, 7:18, 12:16 future [5] - 10:16, handing [1] - 112:5 governments [1] -187:14, 187:16 12:12, 144:6, 179:5, follows [1] - 28:17

hearing [39] - 1:8, 3:3, 3:7, 3:8, 3:10, 3:11, 4:2, 4:5, 4:8, 4:13, 5:1, 5:13, 6:15, 7:5, 9:6, 10:6, 11:17, 17:3, 18:4, 28:1, 28:22. 31:17. 65:3. 110:17. 110:20. 111:15, 117:7, 117:11, 117:15, 118:22, 119:9, 119:15, 119:17, 119:19, 120:4, 163:1, 163:6, 163:8 Hearing [1] - 1:13 hearings [3] - 12:10, 14:8, 15:9 heavier [1] - 53:8 heavy [1] - 20:6 held [3] - 4:5, 72:9, help [10] - 19:19, 31:14, 84:13, 88:7, 106:1, 106:9, 160:19, 182:22, 185:10 helpful [2] - 66:4, 168:11 **helps** [2] - 75:12, 160:20 hereby [1] - 188:5 herein [2] - 28:15, 188:8 hereto [1] - 188:10 hereunto [1] -188:17 hide [1] - 23:14 high [8] - 5:1, 10:3, 40:21, 41:4, 42:8, 83:10, 88:8, 145:3 high-pressure [3] -40:21, 41:4, 42:8 high-quality [2] -83:10. 88:8 higher [2] - 65:16, 140:9 highest [1] - 20:12 highlighted [3] -34:21, 35:18, 55:6 highlighting [1] -35:15 **highlights** [1] - 88:3 highly [1] - 71:13 Hills [1] - 64:18 Hinckley [4] - 151:7, 151:10, 151:13, 151:19 hired [2] - 171:12, 172:1 hiring [1] - 21:11 historical [2] - 27:11,

85:15 historically [2] -33:6, 52:16 history [2] - 27:8 hit [3] - 41:12, 82:6, 83:5 hitting [1] - 88:2 Hock [15] - 14:17,

hitting [1] - 88:2 Hock [15] - 14:17, 15:7, 16:21, 17:2, 28:10, 29:10, 30:1, 30:10, 30:17, 31:5, 89:9, 90:4, 91:5, 120:22, 171:13 HOCK [3] - 2:9, 28:14, 29:11

Hock's [1] - 14:18 hold [1] - 68:14 holding [1] - 4:8 hole [1] - 159:20 home [1] - 74:13 homes [2] - 43:18,

60:22 Homewood [3] -110:9, 110:14, 111:10 honest [1] - 157:16 honestly [1] - 133:4 hope [2] - 16:1, 66:20

hopefully [3] - 52:19, 62:10, 143:16 host [14] - 84:17, 86:11, 86:14, 86:19, 87:1, 87:4, 87:13, 87:16, 89:1, 89:4, 153:5, 177:15, 177:20 hour [2] - 1:11, 3:2 hours [13] - 43:22,

hours [13] - 43:22, 62:17, 75:20, 76:10, 78:8, 79:15, 79:16, 84:9, 180:12, 180:15, 180:17, 180:19, 180:21

household [2] -87:18, 128:13 households [1] -35:11

houses [1] - 40:2 hydro [24] - 36:17, 38:9, 40:19, 40:22, 44:6, 44:19, 76:13, 76:16, 77:2, 77:13, 77:18, 78:8, 79:4, 84:20, 85:10, 85:13, 87:5, 88:12, 88:18, 161:15, 161:20, 162:2, 162:11, 185:15 hydro-excavation

[17] - 36:17, 40:19, 40:22, 44:6, 44:19, 76:13, 76:16, 77:2, 77:13, 77:18, 78:8, 79:4, 84:20, 85:10, 88:12, 88:18, 185:15 **hydro-waste** [4] - 161:15, 161:20, 162:2, 162:11 **hydrovac** [2] - 41:22, 77:20

I-355 [1] - 50:4 I-80 [1] - 58:21 ID [2] - 2:15, 2:18 idea [3] - 34:12, 56:1, 175:22 ideally [1] - 67:6 identification [4] -14:6, 15:14, 121:3, 169:8 identified [1] - 173:3 IEPA[3] - 118:21, 120:1, 162:6 ignored [1] - 131:15 ignoring [2] - 131:19 illegal [1] - 130:20 **ILLINOIS** [1] - 188:1 Illinois [25] - 1:10, 3:17, 5:16, 16:4, 17:11, 32:20, 56:18, 58:2, 59:4, 59:6, 60:3, 79:10, 82:7, 109:10, 109:16, 110:9, 111:11, 126:16, 131:16, 138:12, 167:2, 170:19, 171:6, 177:14, 188:5 imagine [2] - 42:5, 76:20 impact [3] - 20:2, 88:15, 179:11 impacts [4] - 70:3, 80:3, 80:18, 80:20 implement [1] - 82:5 **implied** [1] - 68:18 importance [3] -7:12, 83:3, 163:22 important [19] - 5:20, 6:20, 6:21, 8:12, 10:20, 43:14, 45:5, 54:21, 56:5, 60:15, 60:16, 75:16, 82:15, 84:4, 85:7, 89:8, 127:1, 141:6, 173:21 impossible [3] -161:11, 166:1, 182:14 improve [2] - 20:8,

improvements [8] -36:11, 36:12, 37:12, 37:14, 38:21, 42:17, 80:18, 88:21 IN [1] - 188:16 inappropriate [1] -65:9 inaudible [1] - 62:22 inception [1] - 34:17 include [5] - 62:4, 62:5, 78:21, 113:11, 114:9 included [7] - 23:20, 23:22, 50:10, 121:17, 121:21, 122:10, 157:2 includes [4] - 6:13, 54:16, 56:13, 122:16 including [4] - 71:4,

inclusive [2] - 99:20, 103:9 income [1] - 59:15 incompatible [1] -20:9

152:8, 166:19, 183:12

incorrect [2] - 52:3, 118:4

increase [10] - 50:15, 50:18, 78:16, 88:4, 119:9, 149:16, 179:7, 181:22, 182:19, 182:20 Increased [1] -

146:21 increased [7] - 57:5, 73:6, 118:11, 119:20, 144:4, 166:4 increases [4] -

50:20, 83:10, 182:22 increasing [2] - 78:9, 161:21 independent [2] -

149:1, 183:8 Indiana [1] - 173:11 indicated [1] - 99:8 indicator [1] - 75:8 indoors [3] - 18:8,

43:10, 44:5
industrial [3] - 20:6,
33:18, 34:10
industry [13] - 16:3,
20:6, 33:2, 67:4,
118:18, 130:17,
130:19, 131:16,
138:5, 139:11,

149:13, 173:20 **inefficiency** [1] - 68:13

influence [1] - 58:17 information [20] -21:12, 31:18, 55:21, 41:6, 88:21 inside [2] - 43:20, 48:9 installed [1] - 48:2

instance [6] - 13:13, 53:20, 54:17, 98:10, 141:22, 183:3 instead [4] - 22:15, 23:16, 79:17, 110:2 integrated [12] - 25:13, 67:5, 67:11, 67:14, 69:4, 70:18, 70:19, 128:18, 120:3

25:13, 67:5, 67:11, 67:14, 69:4, 70:18, 70:19, 128:18, 129:3, 143:14, 160:22, 174:20 integration [18] -

25:12, 25:14, 60:15, 62:11, 128:1, 128:4, 132:18, 132:20, 132:22, 133:9, 133:13, 133:14, 134:1, 134:19, 135:1, 137:4, 174:16, 175:1 intend [2] - 48:21, 49:8 intended [4] - 16:8,

30:21, 89:15, 89:22 intent [2] - 25:8, 97:6 intention [1] - 9:22 interest [1] - 28:2 interesting [2] -23:3, 27:22 internal [1] - 76:5

Internet [1] - 98:4 interrupt [3] - 36:5, 51:20, 94:3 introduce [1] - 15:19

intuitively [1] -

181:20 invest [1] - 68:4 investing [1] - 67:22 investment [1] -

investments [3] -68:1, 68:4, 88:20 involve [1] - 9:3

83:20

involved [9] - 96:16, 118:12, 118:14, 118:19, 119:11, 131:7, 131:11, 131:18, 155:1 involvement [2] -

improved [1] - 37:9

82:2

131:22, 175:18
involves[1] - 5:10
lowa [1] - 58:20
issue [1] - 186:19
issues [4] - 23:11,
82:13, 170:4, 184:21
items [2] - 73:7,
145:2
itself [1] - 17:4

J

January [3] - 1:10, 187:20, 188:18 job [5] - 7:19, 21:10, 97:6, 97:9, 179:16 jobs [7] - 86:6, 178:12, 178:15, 179:17, 179:18, 180:4, 180:5 JOHN [2] - 2:9, 28:14 John [15] - 14:17, 14:18, 19:17, 28:10, 29:10, 29:19, 31:1, 31:5, 36:5, 46:6, 51:20, 52:6, 68:17, 73:15, 80:19 **jump** [3] - 6:4, 40:6, 172:16 **June** [1] - 63:8 jurisdictional [1] -186:19 jurors [1] - 7:15 jury [3] - 7:1, 7:7, 7:14 Justice [1] - 131:15 Justice's [1] -131:22 justified [1] - 20:17

K

Kane [19] - 16:11, 50:5, 51:6, 56:13, 57:10, 57:14, 69:15, 82:20, 82:22, 83:12, 121:18, 122:9, 133:3, 133:22, 138:1, 139:17, 147:15, 178:22, 183:5 **keep** [5] - 65:10, 67:6, 84:13, 93:8, keeps [1] - 130:16 Kendall [1] - 57:14 key [7] - 57:18, 66:20, 76:7, 86:10, 86:14, 147:20, 160:4 kind [12] - 15:11,

39:12, 46:19, 50:1, 74:13, 92:4, 140:13, 169:22, 177:2 kinds [1] - 12:10 Kirk [3] - 19:18, 19:20 Kleszynski [2] -20:5, 187:12 knowledge [7] -128:14, 129:10, 129:11, 130:12, 132:14, 170:18, 171:5 **known** [2] - 126:12, 177:5 knows [4] - 25:1, 171:21, 172:6, 172:9 Knox [2] - 58:14, 58:16 KRISTI [2] - 188:3, 188:20 Kristi [1] - 188:20

34:22, 38:6, 38:10,

L

labeled [5] - 37:21,

38:12, 49:21, 49:22,

lack [8] - 111:1,

laborers [1] - 178:18

127:22, 128:1, 128:3,

128:4, 134:22, 148:1,

53:7

149:1

laid [1] - 180:6 Lake [4] - 56:14, 56:17, 58:13, 82:22 LAKESHORE [1] -Lakeshore [45] -15:20, 15:21, 32:13, 35:2, 44:13, 45:20, 54:14, 55:13, 58:21, 63:3, 63:8, 63:14, 64:11, 64:14, 64:16, 65:14, 65:19, 66:2, 67:8, 67:14, 67:15, 68:6, 68:18, 71:15, 72:6, 72:12, 73:8, 73:11, 74:7, 74:9, 77:17, 84:12, 133:6, 139:14, 142:1, 144:14, 147:11, 147:12, 152:9, 152:10, 152:11, 152:13, 160:6, 175:16 lakeshore [1] - 58:22 Lakeshore's [3] -66:10, 72:12, 76:22 landfill [30] - 17:10,

33:6, 34:5, 43:13, 43:19, 44:8, 44:22, 46:18, 56:8, 58:15, 58:16, 58:18, 58:21, 61:2, 61:6, 63:13, 64:18, 64:19, 66:10, 67:18, 82:16, 132:10, 132:12, 148:9, 148:19, 149:8, 150:12, 153:3, 153:10, 173:17 landfilling[1] - 83:8 landfills [33] - 17:11, 21:15, 25:15, 33:8, 50:21, 56:6, 56:9, 56:18, 56:22, 57:19, 57:21, 58:2, 59:12, 59:14, 59:18, 61:19, 61:21, 63:21, 69:10, 69:11, 70:9, 82:18, 136:11, 149:7, 167:1, 173:6, 173:7, 173:10, 173:14, 173:16, 173:21 LANDOLINA[2] -188:3, 188:20 landolina [1] - 90:5

Landolina [1] - 188:20
landscape [1] - 81:7
language [4] - 23:18, 48:19, 170:5, 183:5
large [23] - 17:20, 19:3, 31:22, 33:7, 33:17, 33:19, 35:10, 36:2, 39:1, 41:11, 48:9, 48:13, 58:3, 58:9, 60:5, 61:15, 67:1, 69:19, 72:2, 87:14, 129:17, 130:8, 138:9

larger [11] - 38:19, 43:9, 43:12, 45:15, 45:17, 47:15, 48:14, 61:5, 122:5, 138:17, 162:22 largest [9] - 32:16,

largest [9] - 32:16, 54:5, 54:14, 58:5, 63:18, 63:19, 77:20, 138:11, 138:14 last [13] - 20:1, 28:21, 29:8, 29:10, 56:11, 64:7, 78:19, 82:12, 90:12, 147:16, 149:14, 165:3, 171:17 late [1] - 62:18 law [2] - 4:11, 7:19 leader [1] - 32:8 least [15] - 17:3, 21:11, 23:15, 24:15, 34:18, 44:5, 45:16, 107:1, 128:9, 128:15, 129:9, 132:4, 139:16, 158:21, 176:6

leave [5] - 37:3, 37:8, 47:11, 68:9, 187:6 leaves [1] - 47:17 leaving [1] - 113:7 left [15] - 8:5, 34:4, 34:5, 35:7, 37:19, 37:20, 41:22, 46:15, 46:17, 47:14, 52:2, 53:11, 149:21,

158:14, 187:5 legislation [1] -27:18

legislature [1] - 65:6 length [1] - 167:21 less [13] - 6:18, 11:12, 19:9, 19:10, 24:3, 50:16, 68:22, 74:20, 75:3, 166:11, 178:9

lessened [1] - 80:10

letter [26] - 22:14, 22:17, 71:20, 78:11, 147:8, 148:4, 148:12, 149:11, 150:2, 150:4, 150:7, 151:20, 151:21, 152:3, 152:6, 152:10, 152:15, 152:17, 152:18, 152:20, 153:14, 154:3, 154:17, 154:18, 155:4, 155:8 letterhead [1] - 150:5 letters [17] - 71:1, 71:4, 71:8, 150:15, 150:21, 153:18, 153:20, 154:4, 154:13, 155:4, 155:6, 156:8, 163:10,

Leutkehans [12] 2:11, 8:17, 21:2,
26:20, 51:21, 90:21,
91:1, 93:7, 111:20,
172:9, 172:21, 184:20
LEUTKEHANS [23] 21:7, 25:6, 28:22,
73:19, 91:4, 93:1,

leutkehans [1] - 2:5

163:17, 163:19,

163:21, 184:1

21:7, 25:6, 28:22, 73:19, 91:4, 93:1, 93:10, 110:16, 110:20, 111:16, 111:22, 112:5, 112:10, 171:2, 171:4,

171:9, 171:18, 172:2,

172:5, 172:15, 172:22, 184:8, 187:15 level [4] - 21:11, 85:8, 88:8, 145:4 life [1] - 33:3 lifeline [1] - 149:20 light [1] - 34:22 lighter [1] - 47:1 likely [2] - 45:19

likely [2] - 45:19, 79:20

limited [3] - 75:20, 77:11, 78:7 line [4] - 41:12, 51:6, 53:8, 115:4

lines [5] - 41:8, 41:14, 42:14 link [1] - 92:4

liquids [1] - 18:21 Lisle [6] - 54:16, 71:5, 74:4, 140:2, 151:22, 152:7 list [2] - 36:11, 106:1

list [7] - 36:11, 106:1, 106:9, 107:2, 107:4, 126:19

listed [16] - 73:8, 91:6, 94:2, 94:20, 97:22, 100:4, 101:21, 103:6, 135:6, 136:2, 136:12, 155:22, 177:9, 180:18, 180:20, 180:22

litter [1] - 44:4 live [2] - 75:11, 155:10

lived [1] - 27:10 living [1] - 84:5 LLC [1] - 1:4 load [5] - 43:12,

47:4, 47:11, 61:4, 115:14 **loaded** [3] - 44:1,

47:16, 61:5 loader [1] - 48:13

loader [1] - 48:13 loading [2] - 43:9, 47:19

loads [3] - 17:8, 19:5 local [12] - 3:9, 5:19, 5:21, 17:7, 32:8, 58:15, 96:16, 109:5, 151:21, 162:8, 162:20, 163:8 located [13] - 16:14,

17:19, 18:9, 33:14, 34:13, 51:4, 57:20, 69:10, 79:12, 88:11, 113:13, 126:19,

153:10 location [4] - 55:22, 87:13, 153:9, 159:8 locations [4] - 78:1,

learned [3] - 95:19,

96:5, 96:9

78:3, 132:21, 182:3 170:4 32:17, 62:1, 64:1, 65:5 minimize [1] - 78:2 LUETKEHANS[1] logistics [2] - 43:17, 69:3, 69:4, 69:9, meets [4] - 5:15, minor [1] - 36:13 147:22, 149:17, 164:8 5:16, 12:14, 89:19 minority [1] - 27:10 markets [2] - 81:11, memo [1] - 168:21 long-term [2] luxuriously [1] minus [1] - 51:12 52:17. 74:11 17:20 82:12 memos [3] - 21:20, minute [1] - 3:13 look [30] - 3:20, 28:1, match [1] - 102:17 22:8, 26:9 minutes [7] - 43:22, material [14] - 33:8, 49:4, 49:5, 49:10, M mention [6] - 59:21, 47:10, 62:2, 90:6, 34:19, 39:19, 40:1, 51:7, 59:2, 59:10, 116:3, 152:21, 111:19, 184:14, 186:7 91:18, 92:7, 92:14, 40:3, 40:20, 44:7, 153:15, 156:13, minutes' [1] - 184:11 machinery [1] -97:22, 100:6, 101:2, 44:9, 47:16, 56:6, 185:12 mishear [1] - 100:14 83:20 81:17, 81:18, 84:21, 102:20, 108:11, mentioned [21] miss [2] - 99:12, mail [3] - 104:3, 112:18, 113:5, 116:20 32:4, 33:13, 43:7, 166:7 104:7, 104:13 113:20, 122:18, materials [11] -48:8, 48:22, 50:2, missing [2] - 87:2, mails [4] - 154:13, 125:8, 127:13, 38:18, 39:9, 39:14, 51:3, 55:3, 63:3, 143:20 154:15, 154:19 129:16, 134:11, 39:18, 41:19, 77:6, 65:22, 75:14, 78:19, misspoke [2] - 54:8, main [5] - 12:1, 135:12, 135:17, 81:8, 81:12, 81:13, 86:3, 137:13, 141:11, 54:10 34:14, 69:7, 71:16, 151:18, 163:17, 81:21, 82:19 147:4, 147:5, 152:4, mistake [1] - 19:17 127:21 167:10, 180:19 math [1] - 4:7 153:9, 159:9, 178:12 misunderstood [2] maintain [2] - 83:10, looked [1] - 113:1 Matter [1] - 1:2 merged [1] - 131:4 10:21, 94:7 88:7 looking [8] - 15:1, matter [3] - 1:9, merger [2] - 64:9, mix [3] - 44:9, 44:12, major [4] - 49:21, 38:7, 56:3, 71:2, 11:16, 171:21 64:19 44:15 78:21, 141:9, 145:2 95:14, 164:2, 173:5 matters [4] - 7:12, Mesa [2] - 155:14, mixed [1] - 81:17 majority [3] - 27:9, Loomacres [1] - 23:4 146:7, 155:13, 188:9 155:19 mixture [1] - 39:17 66:7, 69:19 loosen [1] - 42:8 metal [1] - 39:13 max [1] - 85:1 mobility [1] - 27:8 man [1] - 17:2 metropolitan [1] lose [1] - 182:11 maximize [1] - 75:13 modest [1] - 85:6 manage [4] - 76:17, loses [2] - 41:11 maximum [1] -139:2 modified [2] - 26:6, 77:2, 77:10, 88:12 losing [1] - 182:2 116:13 meza [1] - 8:17 118:6 managed [5] - 49:11, lost [4] - 98:14, mayor [2] - 7:10, Meza [1] - 21:3 modify [2] - 22:17, 51:8, 53:4, 86:22, 140:13, 179:18, 181:5 8:14 MEZA [1] - 1:16 62:18 167:12 love [2] - 8:13, 71:7 Maywood [5] - 66:9, Michael [1] - 187:13 mold [1] - 44:21 Management [22] low [1] - 81:22 137:11, 137:21, Michigan [1] - 58:14 moment [4] - 37:16, 16:3, 54:6, 54:9, 140:4, 149:5 microphone [1] lower [8] - 38:2, 71:10, 110:16, 139:22 55:10, 58:5, 60:10, 72:18, 73:1, 75:1, McHenry [2] - 56:14, 29:20 moments [1] - 41:2 63:17, 64:10, 69:12, 149:19, 152:8, 175:7, 57:15 middle [7] - 38:11, Monday [1] - 186:22 69:17, 70:16, 81:3, 175:13 Meadows [1] -39:2, 50:1, 59:22, money [4] - 25:22, 126:11, 127:17, lowering [1] - 73:17 137:17 68:9, 75:22, 136:10 68:1, 144:17, 182:1 128:18, 129:2, LRS [57] - 21:20, mean [22] - 113:16, midsize [1] - 32:15 monitor [1] - 102:4 130:22, 131:3, 22:1, 22:9, 22:16, 123:16, 127:5, 130:6, midsized [1] - 138:7 monitors [1] - 46:13 133:13, 134:8, 23:12, 23:17, 24:19, 131:17, 137:18, Midwest [1] - 32:7 monopoly[1] -159:11, 183:20 25:13, 25:15, 25:19, 143:6, 149:4, 154:14, might [7] - 135:20, 131:10 management [1] -26:10, 36:7, 100:20, 156:2, 158:5, 159:8, 135:21, 144:10, Montreal [2] -183:4 122:11, 126:13, 160:2, 165:17, 144:11, 164:6, 164:7 101:12, 103:1 mandate [1] - 79:3 126:15, 126:18, 168:10, 168:13, mile [3] - 24:3, 24:5, moose [12] - 21:11, mandating [1] - 79:2 132:11, 132:17, 170:10, 176:14, 160:9 21:13, 21:19, 22:10, mandatory [2] -178:15, 179:1, 179:3, 132:22, 133:8, 135:1, mileage [1] - 76:2 22:13, 22:18, 26:9, 4:12, 4:16 135:2, 136:5, 137:4, 179:16 miles [19] - 19:9, 171:7, 171:21, 172:4, manipulated [1] -137:8, 138:2, 138:4, meaning [3] - 17:17, 58:20, 76:22, 80:8, 172:8, 172:11 24:19 138:20, 139:10, 49:12, 76:5 80:9, 80:11, 125:2, moose's [1] - 23:17 manual [1] - 95:13 140:21, 141:19, means [8] - 7:8, 125:22, 126:3, 126:7, morning [2] - 60:20, map [8] - 60:3, 147:16, 147:20, 11:11, 17:22, 19:8, 126:20, 126:22, 68:12 105:5, 122:18, 123:2, 149:22, 150:3, 150:4, 25:15, 39:19, 85:11, 127:11, 127:18, most [12] - 16:10, 135:17, 137:16, 150:8, 151:4, 152:4, 188:11 153:11, 165:4, 61:14, 61:17, 93:21, 139:22, 151:18 153:2, 153:5, 153:22, meantime [1] - 65:19 166:11, 166:13 98:2, 98:6, 139:14, maps [3] - 95:7, 154:5, 154:13, meanwhile [1] million [4] - 73:3, 142:12, 142:15, 96:9, 97:3 155:22, 156:7, 111:14 80:11, 80:13, 80:15 154:8, 181:18, 184:21 March [1] - 139:4 157:22, 161:20, mechanical [1] millions [1] - 144:22 mostly [1] - 169:22 marked [7] - 14:5, 162:2, 162:15, 39:18 mind [6] - 49:17, motion [3] - 110:22, 15:13, 120:21, 121:2, 164:12, 166:4, 174:6, meet [2] - 26:18, 103:21, 175:20, 111:3, 186:11 169:3, 169:5, 169:8 174:12, 175:8, 179:6 186.9 176:1, 176:3 move [9] - 4:19, market [10] - 31:20, LRS's [2] - 139:17, meeting [2] - 10:17, mine [1] - 62:9 10:18, 13:4, 17:14,

25:9, 29:19, 39:7, 81:2, 181:22 moved [2] - 57:2, 133:4 movement [1] - 18:2 moves [1] - 41:19 moving [1] - 38:19 MR [62] - 1:13, 1:14, 1:15, 1:16, 1:18, 1:19, 1:21, 14:7, 15:4, 15:18, 21:1, 21:7, 24:22, 25:6, 27:4, 28:5, 28:10, 28:19, 28:22, 29:4, 29:6, 29:19, 29:21, 36:5, 46:5, 52:5, 68:16, 73:19, 90:1, 90:10, 91:4, 92:21, 93:1, 93:10, 110:16, 110:20, 111:16, 111:22, 112:5, 112:10, 145:16, 170:22, 171:2, 171:4, 171:8, 171:9, 171:18, 172:2, 172:5, 172:15, 172:22, 184:8, 184:12, 184:16, 184:19, 185:2, 185:22, 186:3, 186:15, 187:2, 187:12, 187:15 MSW [5] - 46:16, 120:16, 139:15, 162:12, 185:14 mud [1] - 44:7 mueller [1] - 20:21 MUELLER [22] -1:21, 14:7, 15:18, 24:22, 28:10, 28:19, 29:4, 29:6, 29:19, 29:21, 36:5, 46:5, 52:5, 68:16, 90:1, 92:21, 145:16, 170:22, 171:8, 186:15, 187:2, 187:12 Mueller [15] - 2:3, 2:10, 8:7, 12:7, 13:1, 13:12, 14:2, 15:9, 26:3, 28:9, 52:8, 93:4, 186:10, 186:13, 187:11 muffled [1] - 29:15 multiple [3] - 78:1, 158:5, 163:11 multiunit [2] - 84:4 municipal [23] -36:14, 38:1, 39:22, 43:4, 51:1, 72:8, 76:13, 84:20, 85:9, 85:12, 87:5, 88:17,

114:14, 120:12, 120:16, 135:3, 138:5, 138:22, 139:15, 150:16, 161:21, 162:12, 165:18 municipalities [6] -32:19, 70:6, 71:3, 135:7, 135:10, 139:6 municipality [6] -5:11, 53:9, 53:10, 143:7, 145:14, 177:16 must [3] - 4:5, 4:9, 5:14

Ν

name [13] - 3:6,

28:20, 28:21, 29:8, 29:10, 29:16, 29:17, 31:5, 72:16, 137:14, 155:7 names [1] - 100:12 Naperville [3] - 32:6, 53:22, 77:8 narrative [2] - 12:6, 137:1 National [13] - 99:1, 99:21, 99:22, 100:11, 101:1, 101:9, 102:22, 103:16, 104:2, 104:19, 104:20, 107:18, 110:3 national [1] - 32:8 nature [3] - 17:3, 21:17, 81:7 near [4] - 58:13, 64:18, 153:7, 164:4 **nearby** [1] - 57:3 necessarily [1] - 6:6 necessary [13] - 8:2, 9:19, 16:7, 16:16, 30:19, 31:7, 31:15, 48:18, 48:20, 88:11, 89:14, 89:21, 119:1 need [32] - 4:18, 9:11, 9:17, 10:4, 10:9, 10:22, 13:15, 13:16, 16:17, 24:4, 25:11, 31:2, 44:9, 51:11, 69:3, 75:5, 76:11, 78:13, 82:18, 83:4, 83:9, 87:12, 143:13, 162:8, 162:11, 162:16, 163:5, 163:7, 167:8, 171:18, 184:21, 185:11 needed [6] - 4:22, 5:1, 19:19, 25:21, 36:20, 49:19

needs [15] - 9:13, 13:1, 16:6, 16:8, 23:16, 30:20, 44:8, 48:21, 63:12, 83:6, 89:15, 89:21, 116:10, 160:2, 167:5 **negative** [1] - 70:2 negatively [1] - 20:2 neighbor [1] - 18:13 neighborhood [1] -61:10 net [4] - 178:2, 179:16, 179:22, 182:9 never [7] - 110:12, 115:3, 115:12, 119:8, 144:18, 152:3, 154:22 nevertheless [1] -

6:19 new [7] - 41:1, 46:2, 132:19, 143:3, 144:16, 160:16, 175:6 newer [1] - 177:21 newly [1] - 138:21 Newton [1] - 173:11 next [17] - 5:2, 8:17, 13:5, 38:22, 56:19, 68:12, 100:19, 111:19, 151:6, 156:13, 174:18, 175:3, 178:11, 180:3, 186:16, 187:11, 187:13 nice [1] - 33:21

nine-county [2] -56:12, 59:7 non [4] - 50:1, 70:18, 70:19, 94:17 non-blue [1] - 50:1 non-railroad [1] -94:17

non-vertically [2] -

Nicor [1] - 78:22

nine [4] - 27:18,

56:12, 59:7, 135:21

night [1] - 5:4

70:18, 70:19 **none** [2] - 18:20, 163:18 **nonnational** [1] -

149:20 nonrecyclable [1] -81:16

normal [3] - 84:8, 180:11, 180:14 normally [2] - 22:6, 95:18

north [10] - 19:16, 34:10, 35:14, 51:4, 55:12, 57:16, 58:2, 125:22, 126:3, 151:16

North [1] - 102:14 Northbrook [1] -137:17 northeast [3] -55:17, 57:12, 59:6 northern [5] - 37:8, 38:12, 50:7, 79:10, 131:16 northwest [3] - 18:9, 33:16, 59:10 Nos [2] - 2:17, 15:12 Notary [2] - 188:4, 188:21 note [2] - 14:12, 54:22 noted [1] - 121:16 notes [2] - 100:6, 188:15 nothing [8] - 27:20, 38:22, 70:13, 146:12, 155:5, 172:9, 184:3, 185:22 **notice** [14] - 5:10, 10:7, 14:12, 14:13, 47:21, 91:9, 93:12, 97:6, 100:2, 107:5, 110:10, 110:14, 111:2, 111:8 notices [4] - 98:7, 107:3, 108:1, 108:14 **nots** [1] - 67:3 nowhere [6] -141:10. 141:21. 142:22, 145:11, 146:16, 164:4 number [34] - 18:4, 21:14, 35:1, 35:9, 45:1, 54:18, 56:9, 56:22, 57:4, 57:19, 58:14, 61:21, 67:22, 70:22, 81:5, 82:3, 98:1, 102:9, 103:5, 106:7, 117:16, 123:18, 129:17, 135:13, 143:11, 146:6, 156:2, 157:7, 157:21, 159:21, 161:8, 161:22, 177:12, 179:1 numbers [8] - 59:13, 87:6, 118:3, 119:5, 157:4, 173:1, 177:11 numerous [1] -

0

o'clock [4] - 3:2, 77:9, 90:18, 187:9

135:3

objection [4] - 15:3, 25:1, 73:19, 171:8 objectionable [1] -12:5 objects [1] - 47:21 obtain [2] - 68:4, 143:3 obtained [3] - 135:3, 154:1, 154:3 obtaining [1] -130:18 obvious [3] - 40:9, 124:8, 175:18 obviously [12] -60:19, 76:1, 80:4, 81:5, 123:17, 133:20, 138:8, 140:15, 141:6, 155:20, 157:11, 165:18 occasions [1] -23:15 occur [6] - 33:22, 47:20, 80:12, 119:13, 166:1, 166:13 occurred [3] -119:12, 120:6, 143:12 occurring[2] -25:22, 115:9 occurs [1] - 35:6 odor [1] - 44:4 odors [3] - 18:7, 18:16, 48:7 OF 161 - 1:7. 15:16. 21:5, 27:2, 188:1, 188:2 offerings [1] - 88:19 **Office** [5] - 105:17, 108:17, 108:21, 111:4, 111:5 office [13] - 32:5, 35:17, 94:20, 94:22, 95:11, 105:16, 105:20, 105:21, 108:4, 108:9, 108:19, 109:5, 169:20 OFFICER [39] - 3:1, 14:22, 15:5, 20:20, 21:2, 25:4, 26:19, 28:3, 28:6, 29:13, 52:6, 65:2, 68:14, 73:20, 90:3, 90:13, 90:20, 93:3, 110:18, 111:12, 111:18, 112:2, 112:7, 145:17, 171:15, 171:20, 172:3, 172:10, 172:14, 172:20, 184:10, 184:13,

184:18, 186:1, 186:4,

186:17, 187:3,

187:14, 187:16 onward [1] - 172:14 organizations [1] -Pacific [5] - 98:16, past [4] - 57:12, Officer [4] - 1:13, 8.14 98:19, 99:4, 101:16, 95:22, 96:2, 96:17 open [9] - 37:6, 28:22, 110:17, 110:20 46:18, 47:8, 47:12, original [8] - 117:6, 102:13 paved [2] - 39:3, officer [3] - 3:8, 3:11, 62:18. 76:4. 84:8. 117:10. 117:11. pack [1] - 87:20 87:15 11.17 180:9, 180:17 122:8, 124:12, 133:1, PAGE [3] - 2:2, 2:8, pay [7] - 7:4, 67:9, offices [2] - 98:9, **OPENING** [4] - 2:2, 133:4. 133:9 188:2 140:22, 141:18, originally [3] - 23:20, 100.5 15:16, 21:5, 27:2 Page [8] - 136:4, 177:15, 177:19, 145:22, 146:22, 177:20 officials [2] - 7:21, 117:22, 133:6 opening [5] - 15:11, 11:3 20:21, 21:1, 26:22, otherwise [1] - 187:8 156:12, 163:9, pencil [1] - 175:19 164:11, 172:18, 181:3 ourselves [1] - 87:16 often [1] - 10:20 27:5 pendency [1] - 7:22 **old** [1] - 46:13 operate [1] - 51:16 outline [1] - 4:1 page [6] - 136:6, **People** [1] - 8:18 ON [3] - 15:16, 21:5, operated [6] - 5:8, outside [8] - 55:15, 146:6, 148:15, people [15] - 3:14, 58:11, 58:21, 60:4, 64:15, 86:17, 113:9, 156:13, 164:16, 4:13, 9:4, 9:10, 10:8, once [4] - 13:6, 60:7, 126:8 114:5, 114:6, 129:1, 173:22 32:22, 39:8, 54:3, pages [1] - 131:21 43:20, 44:11, 49:9 operates [2] -133:21 87:17, 94:5, 155:4, one [96] - 6:5, 6:7, 126:15, 126:18 ovals [1] - 55:1 paid [1] - 153:5 155:10, 156:3, 156:6, operating [6] overconservative[1] paper [2] - 40:9, 164:2 12:1, 12:18, 12:19, 62:14, 62:17, 78:7, 112:3 Peoria [1] - 58:2 17:14, 23:2, 23:22, - 99:7 24:2, 24:11, 24:12, 116:6, 180:19, 180:21 paragraph [3] - 64:7, per [25] - 50:14, overflow [2] -24:17, 24:20, 37:2, operation [12] - 4:11, 136:11, 165:3 50:16, 51:9, 51:11, 114:21, 115:21 40:16, 42:2, 43:12, 17:4, 31:16, 34:14, overlap [2] - 50:9, Parcel [2] - 101:10, 52:15, 52:17, 79:16, 44:20, 47:15, 48:4, 34:16, 35:8, 44:13, 80:9, 85:4, 85:5, 113:15 110:4 51:2, 51:5, 52:1, 52:2, 115:1, 162:5, 180:12, 85:18, 112:21, overlaps [1] - 113:16 parcel [2] - 105:3, 55:7, 55:9, 55:10, 180:14, 180:17 114:14, 114:19, overly [2] - 99:20, 107:15 55:15, 55:22, 56:14, operational [8] -116:16, 117:8, 119:3, 103:8 parcels [3] - 97:20, 56:17, 57:10, 57:13, 68:5, 70:12, 75:18, 119:10, 157:7, 177:5, 100:19, 103:4 overnight [2] -57:14, 58:3, 58:10, 88:10, 88:21, 116:6, park [3] - 35:1, 35:5, 177:22, 185:14. 17:18, 103:19 59:2, 59:3, 59:6, 60:7, 141:7, 185:4 overruled [2] -42:22 185:15 63:22, 65:21, 69:12, percent [16] - 33:20, operations [19] -73:20, 93:9 parked [2] - 43:5, 69:15, 71:11, 74:13, 18:8, 18:16, 31:13, 34:19, 56:10, 59:12, oversaw [1] - 170:1 60:20 76:18, 85:22, 87:10, 33:21, 34:1, 34:13, 64:12, 64:13, 64:20, parking [3] - 35:6, overview [3] - 31:10, 94:20, 98:16, 100:19, 36:9, 42:19, 42:20, 65:16, 74:18, 74:19, 39:6, 140:6 49:1, 185:10 101:7, 105:20, 45:4, 48:16, 62:19, 75:4, 79:12, 86:15, part [18] - 3:8, 9:6, own [14] - 25:3, 106:17, 106:20, 75:20, 79:6, 83:18, 86:16, 87:3, 181:8 12:12, 13:5, 16:4, 61:20, 62:7, 62:13, 107:1, 107:11, 115:22, 162:14, 185:5 percentage [1] -40:14, 44:20, 90:16, 62:14, 66:2, 76:4, 108:16, 109:4, 120:7, opinion [8] - 89:11, 161:4 96:11, 133:6, 139:17, 93:5, 113:11, 114:10, 121:12, 121:22, 89:17, 89:18, 171:12, perfectly [1] - 12:9 123:11, 123:13. 141:19, 149:5, 158:3, 127:21, 128:12, 171:13, 183:11, perform [1] - 42:21 158:8 124:19, 155:18, 130:18, 132:7, 137:6, 183:14, 183:16 156:17, 181:18, performing [1] owned [15] - 15:21, 137:17, 143:8, 143:9, opportunities [2] -183.18 34:16 32:1, 34:6, 58:2, 151:20, 155:3, 9:1, 12:13 partially [2] - 183:16 performs [3] - 36:7, 60:10, 63:11, 67:9, 155:14, 158:12, opportunity [9] -44:14, 63:4 69:12, 69:13, 69:15, participate [2] - 9:2, 158:14, 159:6, 159:9, 11:5. 12:22. 13:10. period [8] - 4:12, 69:16, 99:22, 100:19, 12:13 159:10, 160:4, 13:13. 17:22. 18:2. 4:17, 5:12, 9:4, 9:21, 128:9, 128:15 participated [1] -167:10, 168:2, 111:14, 119:8, 164:7 10:10, 73:2, 169:15 175:16 owner [4] - 53:15, 171:17, 171:18, **Opposing** [1] - 8:18 60:4, 97:7, 100:4 permanent [2] particular [8] -171:19, 173:2, 175:3, opposite [1] - 90:11 owner-operated [1] -17:19, 107:10, 108:4, 85:2, 160:7 177:17 option [2] - 82:15, permit [2] - 72:14, 108:9, 109:1, 130:16, one-time [1] - 85:22 164:8 owners [7] - 57:21, 166:17, 166:21 118:11 ones [14] - 47:16, options [7] - 27:20, permitted [5] -63:22. 91:20. 92:18. parties [11] - 3:14, 55:5, 57:1, 57:2, 57:3, 71:3, 71:7, 82:18, 52:14, 57:13, 112:18, 93:19. 94:6. 94:10 5:10, 8:2, 8:15, 8:20, 57:20, 58:8, 60:10, 148:8, 148:18, 164:3 113:5, 114:14 10:10, 11:13, 12:22, owns [1] - 68:18 127:9, 127:10, oral [2] - 9:16, 10:5 13:12, 15:1, 111:2 permitting [3] ozone [1] - 48:6 127:13, 167:6, orange [1] - 55:18 27:15, 27:19, 162:17 parts [5] - 39:20, 176:22, 177:21 Orchard [1] - 64:18 40:13, 44:19, 114:10, person [2] - 71:17, Ρ ongoing [1] - 83:17 order [3] - 6:7, 7:6, 176:6 73:4 online [1] - 3:20 party [4] - 8:3, 8:4, 12.17 personal [4] onsite [1] - 43:15 **P.E** [1] - 2:9 103:16, 103:18, ordinance [8] - 3:18, 27:14, 100:1 Ontario [2] - 101:20, **p.m** [3] - 1:11, 5:4, 7:2, 8:3, 9:15, 10:11, 103:22, 104:10 passed [2] - 79:21, 187:21 102:15 10:13, 12:11, 90:11 personally [4] -79:22

71:18, 103:20, 83:21, 129:16, 52:20, 89:10, 156:17 - 15:21 plants [1] - 82:6 109:15, 115:8 plat [3] - 95:7, 96:8, 135:20, 141:3, presented [1] - 121:7 problem [5] - 66:4, perspective [2] -97:3 145:20, 159:18 presenting [1] - 13:7 116:21, 144:19, 17:21, 18:15 post [2] - 94:19, 145:1, 149:11 president [5] - 29:12, player [1] - 138:4 pertaining [1] -94:22 problematic [1] players [1] - 59:16 147:11, 149:22, potential [1] - 174:5 188:10 150:3, 150:8 119.21 playing [1] - 85:8 **phil** [1] - 60:13 plenty [2] - 17:22, potentially [1] pressure [3] - 40:21, problems [1] - 21:22 **Phil** [2] - 65:22, 50:12 59:15 41:4, 42:8 procedural [1] - 6:22 pounds [1] - 80:15 172:9 PODER [6] - 1:20, pretty [7] - 16:14, procedures [2] - 4:1, **PHILLIP** [1] - 1:15 2:6, 8:18, 13:9, 27:2, power [1] - 41:11 42:12, 76:7, 80:17, 12:16 **phone** [2] - 98:5, PowerPoint [3] -81:22, 116:17, 120:5 proceeding [1] -29:2, 172:17, 172:19 prevalent [1] -22:10 108:12 point [29] - 10:14, PowerPoints [2] -177:19 photo [1] - 35:19 10:20, 14:22, 25:8, proceedings [1] photograph [1] -57:22, 66:20, 70:15, 14:18, 14:20 prevention[1] -187:18 34:2 74:14, 86:21, 110:21, Powis [12] - 19:16, 22:22 PROCEEDINGS [1] physical [4] - 36:12, 118:5, 120:8, 138:13, 32:21, 33:14, 34:3, previous [2] - 73:1, 142:13, 143:13, 35:22, 37:18, 38:8, 188:6 39:19, 42:17, 94:21 process [21] - 3:9. 144:1, 149:3, 152:6, 38:13, 51:4, 156:1, Price [1] - 3:7 3:15, 4:2, 5:9, 7:20, physically [2] -155:17, 158:18, 156:7, 162:16 11:14, 21:10, 21:21, price [18] - 14:7, 108:16, 108:19 159:19, 160:1, 166:9, practical [1] - 43:20 15:18, 24:22, 62:7, 27:15, 27:19, 30:3, pick [6] - 17:7, 61:17, 173:19, 174:4, 177:2, pre [10] - 13:17, 14:3, 64:4, 64:19, 66:12, 40:21, 41:5, 41:13, 61:20, 76:1, 143:8, 182:1, 182:17 42:11, 60:17, 65:7, 157:9 14:13, 15:9, 45:2, 67:18, 75:12, 83:10, pointed [1] - 89:5 119:12, 154:2, 91:9, 110:10, 110:14, 92:21, 141:5, 148:8, picked [5] - 40:11, points [1] - 83:4 148:19, 175:7, 174:22, 175:17 44:1, 48:13, 61:5, 111:1, 111:2 175:12, 182:22, 187:2 processing [2] -140:3 political [1] - 147:15 pre-administrative **PRICE** [40] - 1:13, picking [1] - 43:17 **pollution** [9] - 3:19, [1] - 15:9 45:14, 45:19 5:6, 5:7, 22:22, 27:11, pre-approval [1] -3:1, 14:22, 15:5, produces [1] - 166:2 picks [1] - 60:22 pickup [2] - 85:22, 119:21, 162:7, 171:7, 45:2 20:20, 21:2, 25:4, products [2] - 82:17, 171:10 pre-filing [6] - 14:13, 26:19, 28:3, 28:6, 146:1 pond [4] - 36:1, 36:3, 91:9, 110:10, 110:14, 29:13, 52:6, 65:2, picture [1] - 41:22 **profession** [1] - 29:9 68:14, 73:20, 90:3, 39:1 111:1, 111:2 professional [2] pictures [1] - 42:10 90:13, 90:20, 93:3, populated [1] pre-testimony [2] -89:18, 183:10 piece [4] - 17:20, 110:18, 111:12, program [1] - 88:2 181:18 13:17, 14:3 40:8, 41:12, 173:21 predict [3] - 144:7, 111:18, 112:2, 112:7, population [14] programs [1] - 87:12 **pieces** [2] - 37:17, 144:9, 182:14 145:17, 171:15, 50:17, 50:19, 53:12, progress [1] - 187:1 45:22 171:20, 172:3, 74:19, 74:20, 75:1, predicted [1] - 50:18 pin [12] - 102:9, prohibitive [1] -172:10, 172:14, 134:11, 157:4, 157:7, 103:5, 103:12, 106:6, predominantly [2] -66:14 172:20, 184:10, 157:10, 157:12, 106:15, 107:10, 32:7, 81:8 prohibits [1] - 62:20 158:17, 159:20, 184:13, 184:18, 108:4, 108:5, 108:10, preference [2] project [3] - 29:22, 186:1, 186:4, 186:17, 181:11 109:1, 109:11, 110:4 86:7, 158:7 154:21, 178:13 187:3, 187:14, 187:16 portable [2] - 33:11, prefiling [1] - 91:7 **pink** [1] - 35:18 projection [1] prices [6] - 73:17, 35:13 pitch [1] - 150:1 prehearing [1] -144:18 88:7, 130:9, 149:18, place [9] - 5:22, 9:7, portion [15] - 24:11, 14:11 projections [4] -149:19, 152:8 24:14, 33:16, 34:20, 18:8, 18:19, 37:4, preliminary [3] -144:15, 145:9, pricing [14] - 64:10, 37:14, 37:15, 50:5, 94:16, 97:7, 97:8, 121:8, 121:13, 122:3 145:10, 145:13 65:14, 65:17, 66:22, 50:7, 56:20, 88:1, prepare [1] - 30:17 promise [2] - 99:17, 97:10 70:11, 70:17, 72:18, 123:6, 137:1, 178:22, placed [1] - 45:11 prepared [2] - 30:10, 112:1 72:21, 72:22, 73:14, 181:15, 181:17 133:5 promptly [1] - 44:21 places [1] - 7:11 142:14, 149:6, 149:7, portions [4] - 16:11, Plainfield [1] - 54:7 prerogative [1] **proof** [1] - 14:13 150:12 16:12, 25:18, 133:11 plan [7] - 22:22, 25:2 proper [6] - 4:7, primarily [2] - 77:7, portray [1] - 127:3 37:13, 37:20, 49:19, **presence** [3] - 16:2, 93:12, 98:20, 100:1, 78.7 position [2] - 71:2, 83:12, 162:3, 183:13 156:15, 179:10 111:2, 111:8 **Prince** [1] - 1:9 118:22 Plan [1] - 183:20 present [11] - 7:3, properly [1] - 21:18 positions [1] - 144:8 principal [3] - 30:2, 8:4, 8:7, 8:22, 9:22, **planned** [1] - 53:5 properties [3] -30:7, 167:1 possibilities [1] -12:9, 14:4, 15:10, planning [3] - 48:2, 92:10, 93:19, 97:15 private [3] - 66:17, 88:10 65:4, 116:15, 167:4 116:20, 163:19 property [18] - 17:20, 138:11, 138:14 possibility [2] -PRESENT [1] - 1:12 20:3, 20:13, 33:22, plans [1] - 183:4 privately [2] - 15:21, 174:10, 174:15 presentation [9] -34:10. 35:21. 35:22. plant [2] - 82:9 possible [10] - 11:21, 14:19, 16:17, 28:7, 36:7, 37:5, 87:14, **Plant** [2] - 76:20, privately-owned [1] 18:4, 33:9, 65:18, 30:11, 31:6, 31:17, 87:17, 91:20, 94:6, 77:5

94:10, 97:7, 100:20, 103:10, 109:21 proportionately [1] -74:22 proposal [4] - 17:4, 34:1, 174:6, 174:9 proposed [45] - 5:15, 5:16, 10:12, 16:15, 31:13, 36:10, 38:21, 42:18, 48:16, 49:17, 60:1, 69:7, 75:17, 88:4, 88:9, 112:13, 115:20, 116:11, 116:13, 122:9, 122:13, 123:5, 123:6, 123:10, 123:11, 123:14, 124:3, 124:7, 124:19, 125:2, 125:7, 126:4, 128:7, 129:8, 129:19, 133:1, 133:9, 134:19, 137:5, 152:4, 152:15, 176:8, 180:22 proposing [6] - 35:4, 43:3, 77:3, 84:22, 85:1, 116:19 Protect [8] - 1:15, 1:16, 2:4, 8:16, 13:9, 21:3, 90:13, 186:11 **PROTECT** [1] - 21:5 Protection [2] - 3:16, 59:5 **prove** [1] - 16:6 provide [24] - 9:5, 9:16, 21:12, 26:8, 27:20, 33:1, 33:2, 33:9, 69:8, 75:18, 79:10, 88:9, 88:11, 88:22, 89:2, 141:22, 143:1, 143:16, 145:20, 146:11, 158:19, 159:12, 162:15, 167:7 provided [13] - 32:2, 71:8, 78:11, 84:16, 92:18, 94:14, 143:11, 150:17, 153:21, 159:15, 167:17, 167:19, 168:1 provider [2] - 54:5, 139:1 provides [2] - 95:17, 146:8 providing [7] - 32:8, 79:17, 85:20, 163:13, 169:1, 185:6 provision [1] - 46:11 proximate [1] -127:17 **Public** [2] - 188:4, 188:21

public [27] - 3:22, 5:13, 5:20, 6:11, 6:15, 9:2, 9:4, 9:5, 9:10, 9:16, 10:5, 10:8, 10:9, 10:21, 10:22, 11:7, 11:9, 11:10, 12:13, 65:3. 65:8. 67:17. 119:8. 119:19. 119:21, 160:5 **publicly** [10] - 31:22, 58:3, 58:9, 59:11, 60:5, 61:15, 67:9, 67:12, 72:2, 138:9 publicly-owned [1] -67:9 pulled [2] - 40:17, 45:21 pulling [1] - 109:19 purpose [3] - 6:12, 130:18, 185:9 purposes [1] - 3:8 put [21] - 8:5, 9:20, 10:8, 12:18, 12:21, 13:6, 19:9, 44:21, 45:17, 45:22, 48:14, 55:22, 59:5, 61:20, 93:6, 101:7, 127:1, 161:10, 161:11, 172:4, 176:2 putting [6] - 40:15, 43:9, 106:1, 106:9, 116:9, 116:22 PWC [8] - 2:18, 120:21, 120:22, 121:2, 133:2, 169:4, 169:5, 169:7

Q

quality [2] - 83:10, 88.88 quarter [4] - 24:2, 24:5, 33:20, 160:9 quarters [1] - 84:5 Quebec [2] - 101:12, Quest [2] - 101:11, 103:1 questioned [2] -22:13. 22:14 questions [17] -11:5, 12:2, 12:7, 13:1, 13:4, 13:14, 26:7, 90:2, 111:21, 112:8, 184:9, 184:11, 184:17, 184:19, 186:3, 186:4, 186:10 quickly [2] - 31:18,

177:11

quite [1] - 46:3 quote [8] - 95:5, 144:4, 147:20, 148:6, 148:16, 148:19, 163:10, 182:21 quoted [1] - 65:14

R

Racism [1] - 8:19

railroad [9] - 34:7,

36:4, 94:17, 97:20,

99:9, 106:5, 107:13,

108:5, 109:20

Railroad [14] -

100:18, 101:1,

101:16, 102:13,

103:16, 104:2,

106:15, 106:19,

110:13, 111:9

railroads [12] -

107:9, 110:3, 110:8,

92:16, 93:15, 94:13,

97:16, 97:18, 97:21,

99:5, 99:10, 99:14, 100:13, 100:19, 105:6 Railway [1] - 101:9 rate [4] - 46:12, 85:17, 140:10, 157:4 rates [1] - 85:16 rather [2] - 23:9, 66:18 rationale [1] - 161:9 reacts [1] - 27:18 read [5] - 7:4, 71:21, 81:10, 83:4, 163:15 reader [2] - 175:21, 176:3 reading [1] - 148:11 ready [4] - 47:7, 47:11, 90:9, 91:2 real [1] - 22:16 Real [3] - 101:18, 101:19, 102:14 reality [1] - 26:6 really [19] - 23:3, 26:14, 46:3, 49:6, 50:8, 58:17, 59:14, 62:12, 70:15, 77:10, 77:11, 82:4, 84:3, 85:5, 85:7, 96:2, 97:9, 137:18, 184:19 reason [3] - 147:20, 147:21, 175:12 reasonable [3] -89:11, 127:16, 127:18 reasonably [1] -145:20 reasons [3] - 65:21,

161:9, 163:3 receipt [2] - 104:3, 104:7 receive [7] - 84:19, 86:14. 87:3. 93:12. 107:1, 163:8, 168:2 received [8] - 10:6, 26:10, 73:1, 84:21, 100:8, 132:3, 142:14, 178:7 receiving [1] - 65:17 recognize [2] -120:22, 169:6 recognized [4] -82:21, 88:7, 182:21, 183:9 recognizing [1] -164:3 recollection [4] -94:13, 100:3, 100:15, 117:17 recommend [1] -8.13 recommendation [1] - 10:14 reconvene [2] - 90:6, 90:21 record [13] - 6:9, 6:13, 6:19, 7:5, 11:21, 14:10, 24:1, 103:12, 103:15, 110:19, 121:6, 153:2 records [8] - 91:16, 91:19, 95:14, 97:8, 97:11, 97:14, 111:6, 111:7 rectangle [1] - 35:18 recyclable [1] - 38:2 recyclables [18] -35:12, 36:15, 37:10, 40:7, 40:17, 45:8, 45:15, 45:17, 45:21, 46:9, 81:9, 81:17, 84:3, 84:11, 87:8, 162:19, 163:8, 185:16 recycle [3] - 34:18, 38:4, 82:2 recycled [7] - 39:21, 40:13, 44:17, 56:7, 81:13, 81:19, 81:22 recycles [1] - 83:16 Recycling [13] -15:20, 30:19, 32:14, 55:13, 58:22, 60:9, 65:20, 76:9, 89:14, 89:19, 144:14, 147:11 recycling [24] -32:11, 34:14, 35:8, 40:8, 40:11, 42:21,

44:16, 46:1, 81:4,

81:8, 82:3, 82:6, 82:15, 82:19, 84:14, 88:19, 139:14, 146:1, 146:4, 161:15, 162:15, 162:22 **RECYCLING** [1] - 1:3 red [2] - 59:22, 169:17 redirect [1] - 187:10 reduce [9] - 80:8, 82:15, 88:15, 164:13, 164:22, 165:5, 165:9, 165:15, 177:3 reduced [7] - 148:8, 148:18, 166:13, 176:20, 176:21, 188:11 reduces [2] - 59:17, reduction [3] - 80:3, 80:14, 178:7 reductions [3] -165:2, 165:4, 166:1 reemphasizing [1] -70:14 refer [1] - 35:10 reference [4] - 3:21, 5:21, 92:2, 183:5 references [2] -183:12, 183:17 referencing [1] -183:3 referred [1] - 45:7 referring [9] -104:21, 106:20, 132:9, 137:10, 137:12, 158:13, 167:22, 168:3, 177:1 regard [3] - 16:17, 17:1, 46:6 regarding [3] -80:20, 112:11, 154:20 region [2] - 59:6, 59:8 Region [2] - 59:9 regions [4] - 59:2, 59:3, 59:11, 136:11 register [1] - 10:9 registered [2] - 3:14, 104:3 regularly [1] - 95:12 rejected [1] - 81:15 related [2] - 33:10, 106:6 relates [2] - 146:14, 146:18 relation [1] - 188:9 relatively [4] - 32:14, 36:13, 85:6, 176:16 relevance [3] -

170:22, 171:8, 171:9 relevant [3] - 125:9, 172:2, 172:6 remain [1] - 76:4 remaining [7] -56:16, 57:1, 57:2, 57:20, 60:10, 186:7, 186:10 remarks [1] - 27:5 remember [6] -119:15, 137:14, 148:15, 164:22, 167:21, 177:9 reminder [2] - 48:8, 48:18 remodeling[1] -39:14 remote [1] - 17:10 remove [2] - 22:4, 42:9 removed [5] - 22:20, 22:21, 23:1, 23:9, 121:22 removing [2] - 23:12, 48:3 rents [1] - 87:12 repeat [6] - 52:7, 64:6, 64:7, 106:12, 128:11, 170:20 replace [1] - 64:4 report [46] - 10:14, 12:12, 23:2, 23:3, 23:10. 30:17. 61:16. 114:17, 115:18, 116:3, 120:8, 122:3, 122:4, 125:1, 127:8, 127:9, 132:1, 135:19, 136:2, 136:22, 137:14, 141:11, 141:21, 142:22, 144:2, 145:12, 145:22, 146:12, 146:16, 146:21, 147:5, 147:19, 152:12, 152:21, 156:13, 159:12, 161:14, 163:9, 164:11, 165:14, 166:2, 167:18, 176:19, 177:8, 184:3, 184:6 **REPORT** [1] - 1:7 reported [1] - 21:17 REPORTER [3] -29:15, 64:5, 170:20 Reporter [1] - 188:4 reporter [4] - 3:12, 6:12, 29:14, 90:5 reports [3] - 30:4, 30:14, 59:5

represent [1] - 55:1 representative [2] -56.8 15:20, 142:1 Representative [1] represented [5] -8:6, 8:9, 8:16, 8:19, 11.1 Republic [6] - 54:19, 55:17, 58:5, 126:12, 134:18, 160:8 request [1] - 116:16 requested [2] -104:3, 104:7 requirement [1] -12:14 requirements [3] -14:12, 14:14, 89:20 resident [2] - 38:15, 73:4 residential [5] -19:14, 82:22, 83:11, 84:3, 174:7 residents [6] - 27:9, 27:10, 37:10, 38:17, 86:9, 156:8 resolving[1] - 23:16 respect [2] - 7:20, respected [1] - 71:13 respond [4] - 26:10, 111:14, 170:8, 186:14 responded [3] -22:1, 168:21, 170:1 responding [1] -170:9 response [5] - 22:19, 167:22, 169:2, 170:4, 186:22 responsibility [1] -75:18 responsible [3] -30:12, 82:5, 108:22 rest [4] - 104:12, 158:6, 162:10, 180:2 restroom [1] - 33:11 restrooms [1] -35:13 result [5] - 16:19, 73:10, 79:18, 88:13, 175:17 results [3] - 80:5, 80:12, 80:17 resume [1] - 14:17

resumes [1] - 14:20

retention [1] - 39:1

return [2] - 104:3,

reuse [3] - 34:18,

81:12, 146:1

104:7

Revenue [2] -109:10. 109:16 revenue [1] - 83:19 revenues [1] - 178:1 review [3] - 7:5, 89:9, 108:2 reviewed [3] - 13:20, 183:17, 183:21 reviewing [1] - 8:11 rhetorical [1] - 52:19 **RICARDO**[1] - 1:16 right-hand [2] - 38:2, 58:12 rising [1] - 147:22 **River** [1] - 50:6 road [6] - 19:6, 40:18, 53:16, 61:6, 66:13, 66:20 Road [18] - 1:9, 19:16, 19:18, 19:20, 32:21, 33:14, 34:3, 35:22, 37:18, 38:8, 38:13, 51:4, 101:19, 102:15, 156:1, 156:7, 162:16 roads [7] - 19:11, 39:16, 43:11, 76:2, 80:5, 165:5, 165:9 roadways [1] - 49:21 Rockdale [5] - 60:7, 117:12, 117:13, 117:20, 159:10 Rockford [7] - 56:19, 56:20, 56:21, 63:14, 64:18, 66:16, 69:11 role [3] - 3:13, 29:22, 168:18 roll [1] - 35:9 roll-off [1] - 35:9 Rolling [1] - 137:17 Romeoville [1] - 54:8 round [4] - 13:3, 13:15, 140:2, 140:5 route [4] - 19:15, 60:21, 61:12, 68:11 **Route** [1] - 19:16 routed [1] - 19:13 routes [1] - 68:9 routinely [1] - 78:4 Roy [1] - 147:20 RTS [3] - 163:12, 174:6, 182:19 rude [2] - 7:18, 96:1 Rue [2] - 101:11, 103:1 **RUE** [1] - 101:11

reused [2] - 40:13,

run [6] - 60:8, 71:12, 71:13, 77:22, 85:6, 87:11 running [3] - 63:14, 71:17, 80:4 runways [1] - 34:4

S

safe [1] - 18:2 safely [1] - 21:18 safety [1] - 18:14 sake [1] - 3:22 sales [1] - 150:1 save [3] - 25:22, 79:15, 165:4 saved [1] - 80:11 savings [8] - 73:3, 73:6. 79:19. 79:20. 80:20. 143:2. 145:13. 159:15 saw [1] - 74:6 **SBC** [1] - 54:20 scale [5] - 36:18, 36:19, 37:1, 37:22, 47:6 scheduled [1] - 4:20 school [3] - 5:1, 10:3, 187:7 scientific [1] - 89:12 scope [1] - 92:22 screen [1] - 101:7 seasons [1] - 17:2 seat [1] - 104:15 second [19] - 13:3, 23:8, 36:18, 37:1, 37:4, 37:22, 51:21, 54:5, 58:6, 68:15, 111:17, 119:14, 119:17, 119:18, 136:10, 140:4, 148:14, 171:19, 174:4 secondary [1] - 89:4 seconds [1] - 186:7 Section [5] - 3:16, 5:9, 6:1, 26:18, 146:20 section [10] - 23:18, 95:7, 96:9, 97:3, 136:20, 136:21, 147:2, 152:22, 164:19, 181:2 sections [1] - 121:7 sediment [1] - 42:6 see [42] - 9:14, 10:2, 11:3, 12:2, 19:19, 21:19, 22:6, 34:3, 34:4, 37:18, 38:6,

41:21, 43:11, 46:19,

47:14, 49:20, 53:6, 55:6, 55:18, 59:13, 59:22, 100:6, 102:3, 106:4, 118:19, 119:5, 136:10, 136:14, 143:19, 148:20, 152:1, 152:7, 154:8, 155:15, 164:15, 165:6, 166:5, 168:18, 181:6, 183:12, 187:17 seek [1] - 23:14 send [13] - 39:20, 45:18, 66:18, 68:19, 69:2, 91:14, 97:4, 97:6, 97:10, 98:7, 100:2, 107:4, 141:8 sense [3] - 85:2, 163:4. 181:20 sent [22] - 17:10, 45:22, 81:14, 94:20, 97:8, 97:11, 98:16, 105:18, 106:11, 106:15, 106:20, 106:22, 107:3, 107:9, 107:13, 107:17, 107:19, 107:22, 108:1, 108:3, 108:8, 108:13 sentence [1] -149:14 separate [5] - 36:16, 81:9, 128:9, 162:13, 162:14 separated [1] - 40:18 separately [2] -128:9, 128:15 **September** [3] - 4:4, 13:19, 122:4 serve [24] - 16:8, 24:16, 25:17, 30:21, 48:21, 54:7, 54:15, 89:15, 89:22, 94:11, 100:1, 100:22, 103:15, 104:1, 104:2, 104:6, 110:22, 124:19, 127:7, 128:19, 129:14, 134:12, 139:16, 157:17 served [25] - 49:8, 53:13, 91:9, 92:20, 99:1, 99:19, 101:10, 101:17, 102:9, 102:13, 102:21, 102:22, 103:9, 104:10, 104:12, 104:17, 104:18, 104:20, 110:2, 110:8,

110:12, 128:14,

129:9, 158:21

rules [2] - 11:18,

162:10

serves [1] - 127:15 Service [1] - 110:4 service [145] - 16:9, 16:20, 23:19, 23:20, 23:22, 24:8, 24:11, 24:13, 24:17, 24:19, 24:21, 25:3, 35:17, 43:1, 49:20, 49:22, 50:13, 50:18, 50:22, 51:18, 52:1, 52:4, 52:12, 52:22, 53:4, 53:6, 53:7, 54:18, 55:2, 55:7, 55:10, 55:11, 55:15, 55:19, 63:4, 63:7, 64:15, 65:22, 69:10, 69:14, 69:20, 70:15, 74:11, 74:15, 74:17, 74:21, 75:2, 75:7, 75:9, 76:18, 77:20, 78:2, 78:13, 83:11, 88:6, 88:8, 88:19, 89:6, 103:17, 103:19, 103:20, 103:22, 104:11, 112:13, 112:15, 112:20, 113:3, 113:7, 113:8, 113:9, 113:11, 113:12, 113:14, 113:16, 113:17, 113:18, 114:2, 114:3, 114:5, 114:7, 114:10, 114:11, 115:20, 116:5, 120:17, 121:13, 122:5, 122:9, 122:13, 122:14, 123:7, 123:12, 123:14, 124:10, 124:12, 124:19, 124:20, 125:2, 125:7, 125:12, 125:16, 125:20, 126:4, 126:20, 127:4, 127:7, 127:15, 127:17, 128:5, 128:7, 128:8, 128:13, 128:19, 128:20, 129:2, 129:4, 129:8, 129:20, 130:1, 132:19, 133:1, 133:9, 134:19, 135:2, 135:4, 135:8, 137:5, 137:20, 151:11, 153:8, 155:19, 157:6, 158:15, 158:18, 163:11, 163:14, 164:5, 167:11, 181:12, 181:15, 182:20 serviced [2] - 54:19, 128:22

services [15] - 32:2, 32:8, 33:1, 33:9, 33:11, 53:9, 54:9, 73:14, 75:13, 79:10, 79:17, 102:8, 138:22, 146:10, 163:13 Services [6] - 15:21, 54:20, 55:18, 58:6, 60:9, 126:13 servicing [3] - 56:2, 135:10, 163:11 **serving** [5] - 3:10, 111:2, 114:2, 115:19, 116.5 set [5] - 5:9, 5:17, 93:3, 177:7, 188:17 setback [2] - 22:13, setting [3] - 27:14, 83:12, 130:8 seven [1] - 135:20 several [3] - 57:16, 78:19, 138:20 sewer [2] - 42:5, 77:7 sewers [2] - 42:3, 42:7 shaded [2] - 39:2, 47:1 shape [1] - 18:22 **shared** [1] - 82:13 sharpen [1] - 175:19 Shaw [1] - 170:1 shingles [1] - 39:13 Shorewood [3] -122:14, 122:19, 122:20 shorewood [1] -122:22 short [4] - 43:15, 46:10, 90:19, 184:12 shortfall [2] - 51:10, 167:14 Shorthand [1] -188:4 shorthand [2] -188:12, 188:15 show [4] - 16:9, 26:13, 37:15, 120:20 showed [4] - 22:8, 59:20, 69:18, 166:3 showing [3] - 25:20, 39:1, 169:4 shown [9] - 36:11, 37:22, 54:6, 54:15, 55:14, 58:10, 123:2, 137:16, 159:13

shows [3] - 105:5,

173:15, 173:16

shut [1] - 76:6

side [5] - 32:2, 34:9, 53:5, 151:14, 173:11 sides [1] - 35:14 sign [3] - 9:17, 9:19, 155.5 signatory [1] - 30:7 signature [1] -188:18 signed [6] - 8:15, 9:5, 9:10, 18:13, 30:4, 156:7 significant [7] -16:21, 67:19, 79:18, 82:11, 88:13, 132:3, 181:14 significantly [2] -74:12, 75:9 **signify** [1] - 131:14 similar [9] - 33:10, 45:8, 157:5, 157:10, 157:14, 158:10, 158:19, 159:19, 176:16 similarly [2] - 82:21, 86:12 simple [1] - 159:19 simply [10] - 7:18, 43:6, 48:11, 48:13, 51:12, 87:1, 134:11, 135:16, 152:6, 178:4 single [10] - 36:15, 38:1, 40:7, 40:13, 40:17, 46:16, 73:4, 84:10, 129:19, 185:16 single-stream [5] -36:15, 40:7, 40:17, 46:16, 84:10 sit [9] - 17:18, 98:10, 98:21, 99:16, 108:7, 109:2, 109:8, 117:17, 134:15 site [9] - 16:15, 17:21, 18:16, 84:16, 149:8, 149:9, 162:16, 163:1, 173:17 sited [7] - 5:8, 21:15, 99:5, 167:2, 171:6, 171:10, 171:21 siting [26] - 3:18, 7:2, 8:3, 9:15, 10:11, 10:13, 12:11, 20:19, 30:5, 96:17, 117:7, 117:11, 117:15, 118:22, 119:9, 119:15, 119:17, 119:18, 120:4, 151:21, 162:3, 162:8, 162:20, 163:1, 163:5,

141:13 six [4] - 24:16, 48:3, 99:14, 129:13 sixth [1] - 139:9 size [4] - 33:19, 33:21, 75:6, 138:18 Slide [6] - 173:5, 174:1, 175:4, 176:17, 177:13, 182:18 **slide** [9] - 69:17, 74:14, 172:20, 173:1, 173:6, 174:18, 178:11, 180:3, 182:17 sloppy [1] - 44:7 slowly [1] - 83:1 small [7] - 16:11, 16:12, 58:15, 60:8, 71:6, 118:18, 138:4 smaller [7] - 31:22, 36:16, 38:11, 47:16, 54:18, 74:18, 138:8 smarter [1] - 145:11 **soil** [1] - 41:18 sold [8] - 71:15, 71:19, 72:4, 72:6, 147:12, 147:20, 150:6, 150:10 Solid [1] - 183:19 solid [18] - 18:20, 31:19, 36:14, 38:1, 39:22, 43:4, 51:1, 76:14, 82:8, 84:20, 85:9, 85:12, 87:5, 88:17, 114:15, 120:12, 139:15, 183:4 solidification [1] -36:17 solidified [2] - 44:11, 44.21 solution [1] - 22:16 **Solutions** [1] - 54:20 solve [2] - 22:2, 66:4 someone [7] - 45:9, 109:3, 112:9, 141:1, 172:7, 172:8 sometime [2] - 9:11, sometimes [1] -24:15 somewhat [1] -52:18 somewhere [1] -60:20 **sooner** [1] - 4:5 **sorry** [23] - 57:8, 59:8, 64:5, 78:17, 98:14, 106:12, 108:1, 123:8, 123:21, 126:17, 136:6, 136:7,

140:13, 146:15,

147:1, 147:2, 151:17, 162:1, 164:16, 165:1, 173:1, 180:10, 180:11 sort [2] - 10:11, 12:18 sounds [4] - 39:12. 129:17. 135:22. 168:6 sources [3] - 98:1, 98:3. 98:5 **South** [3] - 110:9, 110:13, 111:10 south [8] - 19:20, 34:6, 35:14, 39:4, 55:12, 123:1, 151:17, 151:19 southwest [2] - 55:8, 158:15 space [2] - 18:1, 33:22 span [2] - 73:6, 80:10 **speaking** [3] - 44:18, 93:14, 176:16 special [2] - 32:19, 86.1 **specific** [7] - 47:18, 71:11, 98:15, 99:4, 100:2, 149:15, 166:8 specifically [4] -93:14, 96:19, 100:1, 109:7 spell [2] - 28:20, 29:8 **spelling** [1] - 29:11 spend [3] - 41:1, 144:17, 144:21 spending [1] -144.22 spent [1] - 131:21 spotters [6] - 178:18, 180:4, 180:5, 180:7, 180:8, 180:12 squeeze [1] - 9:11 squeezed [1] - 72:2 ss [1] - 188:1 St [6] - 19:22, 54:17, 74:4, 166:16, 176:5, 176:7 staff [7] - 1:18, 8:9, 8:13, 13:8, 21:11, 97:22, 108:16 **stage** [4] - 8:1, 35:8, 39:5, 42:22 staged [1] - 77:22 staggering [1] -80:17 stand [2] - 31:4, 102:4 standard [4] - 11:19, 95:15, 177:5, 177:12

standpoint [1] -

situation [2] - 74:3,

163.8

161:3 76:9, 85:3, 85:4, Stream [1] - 45:16 supplier [1] - 77:20 tend [1] - 84:6 start [11] - 5:3, 29:7, 86:21, 89:14, 89:19, stream [8] - 36:15, term [6] - 20:12, support [12] - 71:1, 34:17, 43:4, 56:3, 122:1, 124:1, 124:2, 38:1, 40:7, 40:14, 71:4, 71:8, 78:12, 52:17, 59:3, 74:11, 62:21, 67:21, 90:18, 125:19, 133:21, 40:17, 46:16, 84:10, 112:14. 151:20. 148:7, 148:18 91:6, 93:16, 162:4 151:15 185:16 152:10. 153:19. terms [11] - 36:10, started [1] - 98:9 stations [76] - 17:15, street [10] - 18:10, 156:4, 163:10, 183:2, 39:9, 44:6, 50:11, 21:16, 23:21, 24:5, 33:12, 33:15, 37:20, 184:1 starting [1] - 81:15 77:4, 78:8, 81:11, 24:7. 24:16. 25:17. 114:13, 120:10, starts [1] - 60:18 supposed [3] -81:21, 88:3, 92:17, 32:11, 51:1, 51:9, 10:13, 25:21, 41:13 State [6] - 3:17, 5:16, 143:4, 146:8, 159:11, 154:2 51:15, 52:4, 52:12, 160:6 109:10, 170:19, surface [1] - 18:20 test [1] - 8:4 52:21, 55:2, 55:14, 171:6, 188:5 streets [2] - 42:1, surprised [2] tested [1] - 11:8 55:19, 57:4, 57:7, 42:6 state [8] - 28:20, 125:11, 129:13 testified [1] - 28:16 57:9, 59:19, 60:3, 29:8, 46:2, 109:21, strict [1] - 11:18 surprising [1] testifies [1] - 15:7 63:20, 64:15, 67:2, 110:7, 146:16, Strike [1] - 147:3 69:18 testify [5] - 17:3, 69:14, 69:22, 70:10, 147:19, 151:20 **Strom** [6] - 71:16, surrounding [2] -20:5, 28:11, 65:8, 75:4, 75:7, 75:10, **STATE**[1] - 188:1 147:6, 147:10, 3:13, 137:5 188:9 76:4, 76:15, 112:19, state-of-the-art [1] -147:20, 148:5, 149:4 survey [3] - 95:10, TESTIMONY [1] -113:2, 113:8, 113:10, 46:2 stronger [3] - 168:9, 95:20, 96:10 188:16 114:2, 114:5, 114:8, 168:14, 168:19 STATEMENT[4] survive [1] - 75:11 testimony [15] - 1:7, 116:4, 120:17, 2:2, 15:16, 21:5, 27:2 structure [1] - 41:16 swap [1] - 160:14 6:14, 6:18, 12:9, 124:14, 125:1, 125:6, statement [6] structures [1] **sweeping** [1] - 33:12 13:17, 14:3, 20:16, 125:12, 126:7, 28:8, 65:4, 93:6, 15:11, 20:22, 26:22, 39:15 sworn [6] - 6:14, 126:16, 126:19, 133:20, 183:9, 183:21 120:9, 185:4, 185:7, study [4] - 20:5, 6:16, 6:18, 28:12, 128:10, 128:16, statements [3] -183:1, 183:8 28:16, 188:8 188:7, 188:10 128:21, 129:1, 26:2, 161:6, 161:8 stuff [2] - 26:11, system [6] - 48:1, testing [3] - 6:17, 129:10, 129:14, states [1] - 4:4 61:10 48:5, 49:15, 56:5, 9:3, 11:14 130:1, 133:7, 137:4, station [81] - 3:4, subject [2] - 6:17, **THE** [14] - 15:16, 81:3, 127:17 138:1, 141:19, 149:2, 5:5, 16:14, 17:5, 17:6, 162:20 **SYSTEMS**[1] - 1:4 29:15, 29:17, 52:10, 156:21, 157:6, 157:7, 17:19, 19:15, 20:11, submit [3] - 4:13, Systems [1] - 32:14 64:5, 64:8, 65:13, 157:17, 157:19, 24:1, 24:2, 24:13, 74:1, 121:4, 145:18, 10:11, 11:13 158:8, 158:22, 159:2, 24:21, 27:15, 31:2, 169:9, 170:20, submittals [1] - 11:7 Т 159:6, 159:14, 172:13, 173:4 52:1, 53:16, 55:11, submitted [3] -159:22, 166:22, 60:6, 61:2, 61:4, themselves [2] -115:17, 152:19, 174:6 177:14, 177:19, 182:3 Table [2] - 136:13, 61:11, 62:9, 62:16, 11:13, 76:8 subsequent [2] statute [1] - 4:12 136:16 63:7, 63:10, 63:13, 102:18, 185:7 thereafter [1] stay [1] - 182:6 table [7] - 11:3, 64:12, 64:17, 65:1, 188:12 substantial [1] **stayed** [1] - 147:13 53:11, 74:14, 101:22, 65:16, 65:21, 66:2, thereby [2] - 19:21, 138:10 steps [1] - 8:2 102:6, 102:8, 103:6 66:8, 66:15, 66:19, 88:16 substantive [2] tables [2] - 136:20, steve [1] - 27:4 67:18, 68:10, 68:19, therefore [1] - 20:10 77:12, 137:19 Steve [1] - 8:20 187:5 70:8, 72:14, 82:20, suburban [16] they've [1] - 11:12 talks [5] - 71:22, **STEVE** [1] - 1:19 86:17, 115:11, 15:22, 74:15, 74:16, third [5] - 23:9, 83:2, 147:2, 161:14, **still** [13] - 6:20, 120:10, 122:10, 74:18, 74:21, 75:2, 54:14, 58:7, 122:10, 173:6 32:16, 33:7, 42:22, 122:11, 123:15, 75:3, 75:5, 75:10, 181:11 72:20, 82:15, 131:2, tarped [1] - 47:17 124:4, 124:18, 82:22, 156:19, thirds [2] - 50:3, 129:21, 130:10, 135:2, 139:10, tax [17] - 91:15, 181:16 156:20, 157:18, 139:11, 145:6, 91:19, 97:4, 97:8, 133:17, 134:4, 134:9, 158:10, 159:1, 159:7 thoughts [1] -174:12, 174:21 97:11, 105:17, 106:5, 139:9, 140:4, 140:5, successfully [2] -167:19 stockpiles [1] -106:11, 106:14, 142:16, 143:4, 170:19, 171:6 threat [1] - 73:16 107:9, 107:12, 144:16, 149:4, 149:9, 75:22 suction [2] - 41:17, three [20] - 25:16, 149:12, 152:4, stockpiling[1] -107:15, 107:17, 42:9 43:10. 43:12. 56:15. 152:12, 152:15, 108:8, 111:6, 111:7, 22:19 58:3. 58:4. 58:9. 59:1. **suctions** [1] - 41:19 111:9 153:16, 154:6, stop [1] - 156:5 59:11, 60:5, 61:15, sufficient [1] - 70:16 156:15, 158:4, stops [1] - 101:3 taxed [1] - 109:11 67:12, 137:4, 155:9, sufficiently [1] -160:17, 161:2, store [4] - 7:10, 35:8, taxpayers [1] - 79:22 156:10, 156:11, 31:14 162:13, 164:13, tear [3] - 19:11, 76:2, 35:12, 39:5 180:7, 180:8, 180:12 suggested [1] -80:4 166:5, 174:11, 179:7, storm [6] - 22:21, threw [2] - 72:15, 98:11 179:10, 179:19, 181:5 35:20, 42:3, 42:5, technical [1] - 20:12 summary [3] - 49:1, 72:16 **Station** [19] - 30:19, 42:7, 77:7 technique [1] - 79:4 throughout [6] - 4:2, 88:1, 185:6 51:3, 52:13, 52:14, stranglehold [1] ten [5] - 6:2, 6:7, 21:20, 120:8, 139:1, supervision [1] -53:17, 68:8, 75:21, 59:14 75:6, 90:6, 158:22 180:8, 180:11 30:15

throughput [1] -116:11 throw [3] - 35:11, 40:1, 40:10 Thursday [1] - 4:21 tight [1] - 4:15 timing [1] - 6:5 tip [1] - 48:11 tipping [7] - 22:18, 48:10, 114:22, 115:21, 140:10, 178:7 titled [1] - 146:21 today [9] - 20:4, 141:13. 141:14. 141:15, 143:22, 162:18, 162:22, 164:6 today's [1] - 141:17 together [3] - 106:2, 106:9, 161:11 tollway [1] - 19:21 tomorrow [9] - 4:21, 141:13, 141:16, 164:8, 186:9, 187:7, 187:9, 187:10, 187:17 ton [1] - 84:19 tonight [4] - 4:21, 31:8, 32:12, 186:7 tonnage [1] - 118:16 tons [28] - 50:14, 50:16, 51:9, 51:11, 51:17, 52:15, 52:17, 85:2, 85:4, 85:5, 85:11, 85:12, 85:18, 112:21, 114:1, 114:14, 114:19, 116:10, 116:16, 117:21, 117:22, 118:6, 119:2, 119:9, 178:4, 185:14, 185:15 took [5] - 63:22, 118:22, 168:20, 171:14, 171:22 top [3] - 34:11, 42:14, 47:22 total [2] - 60:3, 124:13 totes [3] - 35:10, 85:21 touch [1] - 75:15 toured [1] - 77:5 towards [3] - 46:22, 148:15, 181:21 tower [1] - 34:8 town [1] - 27:12 towns [1] - 159:1 township [7] - 109:5, 123:2, 123:10, 123:22, 124:3, 124:9, 158:18 Township [11] -

122:20, 122:21, 123:3, 123:4, 123:12, 123:20, 124:1, 124:6, 124:7, 158:11, 158:12 townships [4] -32:19, 121:18, 122:8, 122:16 tracking [1] - 102:19 tracks [2] - 34:7, 36.4 **tractor** [1] - 17:9 tractor-trailer [1] -17:9 traded [9] - 31:22, 58:3, 58:9, 59:11, 60:5, 61:15, 67:12, 72:2, 138:9 traditional [1] - 61:9 traffic [2] - 19:4, 119:20 trailer [1] - 17:9 trailers [1] - 19:11 transcribed [1] -188:12 transcript [1] -188:14 transcripts [1] - 7:4 Transfer [22] - 30:19, 51:3, 52:13, 53:17, 68:8, 75:21, 76:9, 85:3, 85:4, 86:20, 89:13, 89:19, 122:1, 124:1, 124:2, 125:19, 133:21, 146:22, 148:7, 148:17, 151:15 transfer [166] - 3:4, 5:5, 16:14, 17:5, 17:15, 17:19, 19:11, 19:15, 20:11, 21:15, 23:21, 24:1, 24:2, 24:5, 24:7, 24:13, 24:16, 24:21, 25:15, 25:16, 27:15, 27:17, 31:2, 32:11, 36:14, 36:17, 38:4, 46:17, 50:22, 51:8, 51:15, 52:1, 52:4, 52:11, 52:21, 53:16, 55:2, 55:14, 55:19, 57:4, 57:7, 57:9, 59:19, 60:3, 60:6, 61:2, 61:3, 61:11, 62:8, 62:16, 63:7, 63:10, 63:13, 63:20, 64:11, 64:14, 64:17, 65:1, 65:15, 65:20, 66:2, 66:8, 66:15, 66:19, 67:2, 67:18, 68:10, 68:19, 69:14, 69:22, 70:8,

70:10, 72:14, 75:4,

75:7, 75:10, 76:3, 76:15, 83:7, 86:17, 88:5, 112:19, 113:2, 113:8, 113:10, 114:2, 114:5, 114:7, 115:11, 116:4, 120:10, 120:16, 122:10, 123:15, 124:4, 124:14, 124:18, 124:22, 125:6, 125:12, 126:7, 126:16, 126:19, 128:10, 128:15, 128:21, 128:22, 129:9, 129:14, 129:21, 130:1, 130:10, 133:6, 133:17, 134:4, 134:8, 137:4. 138:1. 139:9. 140:4, 140:5, 141:19, 142:16, 143:4, 144:16, 149:2, 149:4, 149:9, 149:12, 152:11, 152:14, 153:15, 154:6, 156:15, 156:21, 157:6, 157:7, 157:17, 157:18, 158:4, 158:8, 158:22, 159:2, 159:6, 159:14, 159:21, 160:17, 161:2, 161:20, 162:2, 164:12, 166:4, 166:22, 174:11, 177:14, 177:19, 178:16, 178:17, 179:6, 179:10, 179:19, 181:5, 182:3 transferred [1] - 17:9 transferring [2] -43:18, 84:10 transitioned [1] -138:21 transparent [1] -79:6 transportation [10] -43:16, 69:1, 79:9, 80:1. 80:16. 88:13. 88:14, 140:16. 141:18, 141:20 travel [4] - 123:5, 123:13, 123:16, 123:20 traveling [2] - 66:16, 88:17 travels [2] - 60:20, 61:6 Treasurer's [3] -105:17, 106:6, 111:5 treasurer's [3] -

Treatment [1] -76:20 treatment [1] - 48:5 trees [2] - 36:1, 46:21 trend [5] - 52:17, 57:18, 59:17, 60:11, 81:3 trends [7] - 49:12, 49:13, 49:14, 56:4, 69:6, 70:5, 173:20 tried [2] - 22:2, 55:22 trouble [1] - 64:6 truck [14] - 36:21, 36:22, 43:10, 44:22, 47:5, 47:8, 47:9, 61:1, 61:9, 79:16, 80:8, 80:9, 80:11, 165:4 trucking [1] - 140:9 trucks [27] - 17:7, 19:4, 35:3, 37:2, 38:5, 38:19, 39:6, 41:22, 42:3, 43:5, 43:9, 43:11, 47:3, 47:15, 48:11, 68:6, 68:8, 76:5, 76:6, 77:20, 78:19, 80:3, 115:4, 115:13, 165:21, 166:10 true [5] - 25:4, 52:2, 125:5, 130:6, 188:14 trust [1] - 151:19 truth [2] - 26:13, 188:9 try [9] - 7:21, 67:21, 68:11, 78:2, 82:2, 98:1, 98:5, 144:2, 158:19 trying [20] - 11:20, 25:9, 82:5, 92:5, 96:1, 127:3, 142:11, 145:7, 148:10, 149:8, 149:9, 154:5, 157:9, 157:14, 161:9, 168:15, 168:17, 168:18, 182:14, 183:7 tucked [1] - 38:6 **Tuesday** [1] - 186:22 turn [1] - 28:7 TV [1] - 11:19 twice [1] - 75:6 two [55] - 8:14, 9:9, 23:15, 23:20, 24:5, 24:14, 24:15, 37:17, 44:19, 47:10, 50:3, 50:22, 51:8, 52:3, 52:11, 52:18, 52:21, 55:3, 56:16, 56:17, 57:15, 59:3, 59:10,

105:21, 108:3, 108:9

69:11, 69:14, 72:11, 79:15, 79:16, 83:4, 103:9, 104:9, 104:21, 112:19, 113:4, 120:15, 121:21, 122:16, 124:15, 125:15, 128:9, 128:15, 129:9, 132:16, 137:9, 137:12, 137:15, 144:11, 151:6, 159:2, 159:9, 162:13, 181:16 two-thirds [2] - 50:3, 181:16 type [12] - 32:3, 33:20, 34:12, 35:3, 39:16, 40:2, 41:14, 42:4, 42:11, 95:11, 116:19, 182:13 types [1] - 170:16 typewritten [1] -188:13

U

ultimate [1] - 160:2 ultimately [1] -186:19 unable [2] - 73:12, 74:9 uncertainty [2] -68:2, 68:3 uncommon [1] - 6:4 under [11] - 4:11, 7:2, 8:2, 9:15, 10:11, 10:13, 30:15, 50:13, 67:20, 90:11, 111:13 Underground [1] -78:10 underlying[1] -41:15 underserved [3] -75:9, 84:6, 158:20 understood [2] -94:8, 112:17 unfair [1] - 130:19 Union [2] - 98:16, 98:19 unique [1] - 33:18 unit [1] - 73:5 **United** [2] - 101:10, 110:4 unknown [1] - 67:20 unless [1] - 135:19 unofficially [1] -34:18 unused [1] - 37:5 **up** [68] - 3:20, 8:1, 8:15, 9:5, 9:10, 9:17,

9:19, 10:16, 13:2, 13:9, 17:7, 19:14, 22:15, 22:18, 24:15, 27:14, 32:15, 32:17, 35:17, 37:6, 37:22, 38:13, 38:16, 40:11, 42:7, 43:12, 43:17, 44:1, 45:12, 48:12, 48:13, 54:2, 56:8, 58:12, 60:22, 61:5, 61:11, 61:12, 61:17, 61:18, 61:20, 64:12, 64:13, 64:20, 65:10, 70:7, 72:10, 73:11, 76:1, 83:22, 85:18, 87:20, 90:8, 90:14, 91:18, 102:4, 112:20, 113:14, 114:4, 118:1, 134:12, 135:12, 135:18, 140:3, 157:14, 168:16 **upper** [1] - 27:8 **UPS** [2] - 101:10, 101:20 urban [1] - 19:14 usage [1] - 177:6 users [1] - 82:13 utilities [1] - 79:3 utility [5] - 37:12, 42:14, 78:22, 79:19, 79:21 utilizing [1] - 114:18

V

vacuum [1] - 41:4 Valley [2] - 76:19, 77:5 **values** [1] - 20:3 variety [4] - 33:1, 73:7, 98:4, 163:3 various [7] - 5:10, 8:8, 12:14, 30:4, 39:20, 91:20, 188:7 vary [2] - 70:10, 70:11 vehicle [4] - 48:14, 60:18, 60:19, 61:5 vehicles [9] - 18:2, 35:1, 36:20, 37:7, 38:14, 42:5, 42:22, 77:22, 88:18 ventilation [1] - 48:1 version [2] - 121:15, 121:17 versions [1] - 121:12 versus [4] - 140:17, 141:20, 166:6, 172:6 vertical [19] - 25:12,

25:14, 42:13, 60:14, 62:11, 128:1, 128:4, 132:18, 132:20, 132:22, 133:8, 133:12, 133:14, 134:1, 134:19, 134:22, 137:3, 174:15, 175:1 vertically [12] -25:13, 67:5, 67:11, 67:13, 69:4, 70:18, 70:19, 128:18, 129:3, 143:14, 160:21, 174:19 vetted [1] - 21:10 via [7] - 101:20, 103:16, 104:2, 104:6, 104:10, 104:12, 110:3 vice [5] - 29:12, 147:10, 149:22, 150:3, 150:8 view [4] - 37:13, 37:21, 53:6, 70:21 View [10] - 44:15, 45:21, 77:1, 77:19, 78:5, 78:21, 79:13, 137:10, 151:7, 151:10 Village [4] - 151:6, 151:7, 151:22, 152:20 village [1] - 71:12 village-run [1] -71:12 volatile [1] - 82:12 volume [1] - 185:13 volumes [2] - 50:12,

W

waiting [2] - 47:3,

vote [1] - 10:17

77:11

115:4 waivable [1] - 186:20 walls [1] - 42:13 WALSH[3] - 1:14, 28:5, 186:3 walsh [1] - 186:5 Walsh [4] - 11:2, 28:4, 90:16, 186:2 wants [2] - 13:6, 65:10 waste [208] - 3:4, 16:8, 16:14, 17:7, 17:13, 17:18, 18:20, 18:21, 19:12, 21:15, 24:20, 25:15, 27:11, 27:17, 30:20, 31:20, 32:9, 33:2, 33:10, 35:4, 35:12, 36:15,

36:18, 36:22, 37:11, 38:1, 39:22, 40:5, 40:19, 40:22, 42:9, 43:4, 43:8, 43:15, 43:16, 44:1, 44:6, 44:19, 45:6, 45:7, 45:9, 46:9, 48:12, 48:21, 49:10, 49:13, 49:14, 50:11, 50:14, 50:20, 51:1, 51:7, 52:22, 53:4, 53:10, 53:18, 53:21, 54:8, 56:4, 56:21, 60:16, 60:22, 61:4, 61:14, 61:17, 61:20, 62:8, 62:15, 63:9, 63:18, 63:21, 64:16, 66:6, 66:14, 67:3, 67:10, 67:17, 70:1, 70:6, 71:12, 76:13, 76:14, 76:16, 77:2, 77:13, 77:17, 77:18, 78:8, 78:13, 81:7, 82:8, 82:16, 82:17, 82:20, 83:6, 83:7, 84:1. 84:20, 85:10, 85:12, 85:18, 86:10, 86:16, 86:21, 87:5, 87:11, 87:16, 87:18, 88:12, 88:17, 89:5, 89:14, 89:21, 94:5, 112:14, 113:7, 114:6, 114:15, 114:22, 115:21, 116:4, 118:17, 120:10, 120:12, 120:16, 122:10, 123:14, 124:3, 124:13, 124:17, 124:22, 125:6, 125:19, 126:6, 126:16, 126:18, 128:10, 128:15, 129:9, 129:14, 130:1, 130:10, 130:17, 131:16, 133:17, 134:4, 134:8, 137:19, 137:22, 138:5, 138:12, 138:15, 139:1, 139:8, 139:9, 139:15, 140:11, 140:22, 141:8, 143:4, 144:16, 146:11, 149:1, 149:9, 149:12, 149:20, 152:4, 153:15, 153:19, 153:21, 153:22, 154:6, 156:14, 156:21, 157:19, 158:3, 159:2, 159:6,

162:2, 162:11, 162:12, 163:18, 164:12, 166:4, 167:11, 174:7, 174:11, 175:6, 177:13, 177:19, 178:16, 178:21, 179:8, 179:11, 180:2, 181:3, 181:4, 182:4, 182:5, 182:12, 183:4, 185:15 Waste [39] - 16:3, 53:15, 53:17, 53:20, 54:5, 54:20, 55:5, 55:10, 58:4, 58:6, 60:10, 63:17, 64:9, 65:15, 69:12, 69:13, 69:15, 69:16, 70:15, 72:17, 72:18, 72:21, 81:3, 126:11, 126:12, 127:16, 128:17, 128:18, 129:2, 129:3, 130:22, 131:3, 133:13, 134:8, 159:11, 175:18, 176:1, 176:3, 183:20 waster [1] - 129:21 Wastewater [2] -76:20, 77:5 water [10] - 22:22, 35:20, 37:13, 40:20, 40:22, 41:4, 41:5, 41:18, 42:8, 44:10 ways [2] - 12:14, 66:3 wear [5] - 19:11, 76:2, 80:4, 165:5, 165:9 website [16] - 13:22, 49:3, 91:18, 91:21, 92:2, 92:8, 92:15, 93:18, 94:2, 95:1, 97:14, 100:4, 100:10, 100:11, 100:12, 106:6 websites [1] - 95:17 week [2] - 9:12, 186:16 weighs [1] - 36:19 weight [2] - 6:18, 11:12 well-established [1] - 45:2 Werthmann [1] -187.13 **WEST**[1] - 21:5 West [76] - 1:9, 1:15, 1:17, 2:4, 3:4, 3:18,

8:16, 9:15, 12:11,

13:9, 18:9, 19:22,

20:3, 21:3, 21:9, 24:4,

27:7, 27:9, 27:13, 27:21, 30:18, 32:21, 33:16, 42:2, 49:3, 53:22, 60:1, 62:2, 72:8, 72:20, 72:22, 73:5, 73:18, 74:3, 76:8, 79:8, 79:13, 79:14, 84:5, 84:18, 85:14, 86:2, 86:4, 86:8, 86:9, 86:20, 88:16, 89:1, 89:13, 89:19, 90:14, 90:22, 105:1, 105:7, 105:9, 116:21, 140:6, 154:12, 154:15, 154:20, 155:10, 156:8, 163:12, 165:9, 165:11, 165:16, 166:3, 166:14, 174:6, 176:9, 176:12, 178:1. 178:8, 180:16, 182:19, 186:12 west [13] - 16:11, 19:18, 19:21, 35:7, 35:19, 50:2, 50:4, 55:16, 58:19, 72:11, 151:16, 176:14, 176:15 western [5] - 17:10, 33:17, 37:14, 139:21, 176:7 whatsoever [2] -115:18, 161:5 Wheaton [8] - 54:16, 71:5, 74:4, 140:1, 140:11, 140:16, 140:17, 151:2 WHEREOF [1] -188:16 WHEREUPON [2] -28:13, 187:18 whole [4] - 22:20, 71:5, 142:12, 142:13 **wildlife** [3] - 23:3, 23:7, 23:11 willing [2] - 87:22, 185:19 win [1] - 182:15 window [2] - 4:8, 4:15 winning [1] - 174:20 Wisconsin [1] -58:13 wish [3] - 9:16, 13:8, 14:4 witness [10] - 6:6, 12:3, 12:8, 12:19, 12:21, 13:2, 28:15, 65:4, 65:7

Witness [1] - 28:12

159:14, 161:15,

161:20, 161:22,

WITNESS [11] - 2:8, yesterday [1] -29:17, 52:10, 64:8, 141:16 65:13, 74:1, 121:4, young [1] - 32:14 145:18, 169:9, yourself [4] - 51:14, 172:13, 173:4 51:19, 73:13, 110:22 witnesses [8] - 8:8, 8:21, 13:8, 13:10, Ζ 14:21, 20:15, 188:8, 188:11 **Zion** [2] - 132:7, won [1] - 139:13 132:9 wood [1] - 39:13 **zoning** [3] - 5:19, woodchips [4] -5:21, 105:5 44:10, 44:15, 44:16, 44:20 Woodridge [6] -54:1, 76:19, 76:21, 77:4, 77:7, 78:6 word [7] - 40:8, 40:14, 78:15, 154:7, 154:8, 175:15, 175:20 words [4] - 91:14, 150:14, 152:14, 153:15 workforce [1] -32:20 works [2] - 47:5, 60:17 world [2] - 67:3, 180:2 worry [1] - 23:13 worship [1] - 7:11 worth [2] - 170:8, 184:11 wrapped [1] - 45:12 writes [1] - 150:7 writing [3] - 150:9, 168:21, 188:11 written [5] - 4:14, 9:20, 10:9, 30:14, 71:4 wrote [3] - 21:20, 150:4, 169:18 Υ year [9] - 73:2, 73:6, 80:9, 85:13, 85:18, 87:7, 144:11, 147:16, 178:1 years [20] - 21:14, 27:16, 31:20, 32:9, 45:1, 53:19, 56:11, 57:12, 63:16, 71:14,

72:10, 73:11, 78:20, 118:7, 118:10, 121:9, 138:20, 144:12, 183:11, 184:7 yellow [4] - 35:15, 41:12, 54:2, 55:6

KATHLEEN W. BONO, CSR, LIMITED 630-834-7779