

WHERE HISTORY & PROGRESS MEET

Approved October 10, 2022

MINUTES

DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

August 8, 2022 7:00 P.M.

1. Call to Order, Roll Call, and Establishment of a Quorum.

Tom Dabareiner Alderman Rebecca Stout called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Roll call found Aldermen James Beifuss, Melissa Birch Ferguson, Christine Dettmann, Matthew Garling, Jayme Sheahan and Rebecca Stout present.

Also in attendance was Community Development Director, Tom Dabareiner.

- 2. Approval of Minutes.
 - A. May 9, 2022.

Alderman Sheahan moved and Alderman Dettman seconded a motion to approve the minutes with changes. Voting Aye: Aldermen Beifuss, Birch Ferguson, Dettmann, Garling, Sheahan and Stout.

- 3. Public Participation. None.
- 4. Items for Consent.
 - **A. Zoning Text Amendments** Staff is proposing a number of clarifications and corrections to the Zoning Ordinance. There are no new regulations contained in this set of text amendments. Plan Commission voted unanimously in favor of the amendments.

Alderman Garling requested this Item be removed for further discussion.

Tom Dabareiner provided a staff update. He explained there are no new regulations. Rather, the changes proposed come from frequent questions staff receives. The first part attempts to clear up the confusion regarding fences and site triangles, while the second part attempts to clarify the requirements for driveway expansions.

Development Committee Minutes August 8, 2022

Alderman Garling asked for clarification about the text for new driveways whereby they shall lead directly from a street/alleyway to the entrance of a garage and whereby no portion of any driveway may be located in front of a non-garage portion of the residence. He wondered about the instances around town where driveways lead to carports and not garages and other properties with circular driveways. Mr. Dabareiner pointed out they are not enforcing legal, nonconforming situations such that people would need to change their driveways or garages. He indicated that if you build a new home today, the City would require a garage. Carports and circular driveways have been prohibited for a while and no new ones are allowed. The new text amendments intend to clarify the existing regulations about brand new driveways or when modifying an existing driveway for purposes such as widening or upgrading from gravel to a hard surface.

Alderman Sheahan expressed concern about properties that do not have garages, and yet have driveways that go from the street to the back of the property. She asked if those owners would be allowed to change from asphalt to concrete, given that these types of driveways do not lead to a garage. Mr. Dabareiner assured her that existing legal nonconforming driveways like these would not be asked to make this change. Alderman Sheahan asked if this language could be added, as she would not be comfortable voting for this if the text amendment changes do not state this. Mr. Dabareiner pointed out that the language regarding legal, nonconforming situations is in a different section of the ordinance, which is not being changed at this time.

Alderman Beifuss stated these changes appear to have been written for the instances of where driveways are located in the front of the house. Alderman Birch Ferguson then read aloud proposed Section 3.8 to clarify the matter of existing driveways that shall be deemed legal nonconforming. Discussion followed among the Aldermen and Mr. Dabareiner.

Alderman Beifuss shared history of back when people were paving too much of their yards. While at that time they considered different geometries, it was decided instead to impose lot coverage restraints. He asked whether the geometries of the driveways are again being considered. Mr. Dabareiner replied that they are not going to enforce anything that is legal nonconforming. Staff has worked to make the requirements clear for the thickness of the materials used to construct driveways. Discussion followed regarding residences with driveways located in an interior side yard with no garage and garages converted to living space.

Alderman Garling asked about the significance of December 20, 2021, mentioned in the proposed Text Amendment language of Section 3.(A)8. Mr. Dabareiner replied he was unsure and that he would find out.

Alderman Sheahan asked why the change in fence height from four to three feet. Alderman Stout stated this only applies to the visibility triangle, which usually happens at corners to ensure there is a clear site line. Alderman Beifuss asked about the

Development Committee Minutes August 8, 2022 definition of a visibility triangle vis-à-vis this ordinance. Mr. Dabareiner agreed to provide more clarification on this Section, 2.c. Discussion followed regarding chainlink fences.

Alderman Birch Ferguson moved, and Alderman Beifuss seconded a motion to request that staff further clarify the two sections of the proposed Zoning Text Amendments. The motion was approved unanimously by voice vote.

- 5. Items for Discussion. None.
- 6. Unfinished Business. None.
- 7. New Business. None.
- 8. Reports from Staff. None.
- 9. Adjournment.

Alderman Birch Ferguson moved, and Alderman Sheahan seconded the motion to adjourn the Development Committee meeting at 8:18 p.m. The motion was approved unanimously by voice vote.

Respectfully submitted,

Jane Burke

